

2.1 Population

-		Total populatio	n	Average annu growt	al population h rate	Age dep ra	endency tio	Popula 60 and	tion age d above	Wo age 60 a	men and above
	1980	millions	2010	1920-96	% 1996_2010	depend proportion age pop	ents as of working- pulation	% of	total	per 10	00 men
								1000		2000	2020
Albania	3.		4	1.3	. 0.9	. 0.7	0.6	9.3	11.5	118	114
Algeria	. 19	. 29	. 31	2.7	1.9	. 1.0	. 0.7	5.8	6.5	112	113
Angola		. 11	16	2.9	2.7	0.9	1.0	4.6	4.3	121	119
Argentina	. 28		41	1.4	1.0	. 0.6	0.6	13.2	14.1	134	134
Armenia	3	4		1.2	0.4	. 0.6	0.5	11.7	14.0	138	143
Australia	. 15	. 18 .	. 20	1.4	. 0.7	0.5	0.5	15.6	193	122	115
Austria	8 .	. 8.	. 8.	0.4	0.0	. 0.6	0.5	19.4	23.3	154	129
Azerbaijan		8	8	. 1.3	0.5	0.7	0.6	9.5	10.2	145	154
Bangladesh	8/ .	. 122	150	2,1	1.5	. 1.0	0.8	. 5.0	6.0	82	95
Belarus	. 10	. 10	10	0.4	-0.3	. 0.5	0.5	18.1	19.0	180	1/2
Beigium	. 10	10.	<u>10</u>		0.0	. 0.5	0.5	∠⊥.Z	23.9	137	130
Bolivia			0	3.0	. 2.1	 	T.0	4.4	4.0 E 4	120	100
Bosnia and Herzedovina	Э л	· • ·		2.2	2.1	0.9	0.8	0.0	0.4	120	123
Rotewana	· · **. 1		· · · · ·	" .	1 4	1.0			35	169	 150
Brazil	121	[⊥] . 161	190	1.8	1.2	. 1.0 .	0.6	. 7.2	2.5	100	102.
Bulgaria	<u>12</u>		7	-04		0.5	0.0	20.7	24.4	127	138
Burkina Faso			15	27	2.4	1.0	1.0	4 7	3.8	111	140
Burundi		+.*			2.4	0.9	1.0	 4 3	34	151	147
Cambodia	:	0.		2.9	1.8	0.7	0.8	4.8	5.8	174	159
Cameroon		14	19	2.8	2.4	0.9	0.9	5.5	4.9	117	114
Canada	25	30	33	1.2	0.6	0.5	0.5	16.2	20.4	127	118
Central African Republic	2		4	2.3	1.9	0.8	0.9	6.1	5.2	132	134
Chad	4		9	2.4	2.3	0.8	0.9	5.7	5.2	123	121
Chile	11	14	17	1.6	1.1	0.6	0.6	9.7	12.6	134	130
China	981	1,215	1,349	1.3	0.7	0.7	0.5	9.8	11.9	101	100
Hong Kong, China	5	6	7	1.4	0.5	0.5	0.4	14.1	17.7	103	98
Colombia	28	37	45	1.8	1.3	0.8	. 0.6	7.8	9.2	109	125
Congo, Dem. Rep.	27	45	69	3.2	3.0	1.0	1.0	4.5	4.3	130	123
Congo, Rep.	2	3	4	3.0	2.4	0.9	1.0	5.6	4.1	145	144
Costa Rica	2	3	4	2.6	1.4	0.7	0.6	7.1	9.5	114	114
Côte d'Ivoire	8	14	19	3.5	2.1	1.0	0.9	4.6	4.8	94	90
Croatia	. 5	5	5	0.2	-0.3	0,5	0.5	21.3	23.3	155	143
Cuba	10	. 11	. 12	0.8	0.4	0.7	0.5	12.6	17.2	107	114
Czech Republic	10	. 10	10	0.1	-0.2	0.6	0.5	17.4	22.6	145	133
Denmark	5	5	5	0.2	0.0	0.5	0.5	19.5	23.0	132	121
Dominican Republic	, ⁶ .	8	. 10	2.1	. 1.4	0.8	0.6	6.3	7.9	102	106
Ecuador	8	. 12	. 15	2.4	1.6	0.9	0.7	6.5	7.6	. 113	119
Egypt, Arab Rep.	41 .	59	7.4		1.6	0.8	0.7	6.6	7.7	116	114
El Salvador	5 .	6		1.5	2.0	1.0 .	0.7	6.5	6.7	120	133
Eritrea			. 6				.0.9	4.8	4.7	114	110
Estonia	. 1.	1		0.1	1.0	0.5	0.5	18.6	23.9	187	191
Ethiopia		5.8	. 89	. 2.(. 3.0	1.0	. 1.0	4.5	4.3	122	111
Finiand	. 5.	5 .	5	0,4	0.2	. 0.5	. 0.5	19.0	24.3	101	131
Cohen	. 94 .			. 0.5		0.0	0.9	20.2	22.0	118	100
Gambia The	. I . 1	⊥. 			. ∠.⊥ 	0.7	0.0	. 0.5 . A Q	5.0	110	110
Georgia	· · [⊥] ·	<u>L</u>	/			9.0 0.5	0.0	4.0 16.7	18.7	155	⊥⊥∠ 157
Germany	 	. o	ຸນ 	0.4 .		0.5	0.5	21.0	25.1	152	109
Ghana	(0.	04 .	. <u>ot</u>	31	<u>~</u> ∨.∔ ??	0.5	0.5	21.U 1.8	∠.J.⊥ 5.1	118	11Q
Greece	. 10	±0 . 10	.∠ ? 11	, 3.±, , , 0.5	0.1	0.5	0.5	22.0	25.5	121	125
Guatemala		. .	 15	. 0.5	2.3	1.0	0.9	53	5.3	108	117
Guinea	' 4		10	2.6	2.6	0.9	1.0	4.2	4.1	109	106
Guinea-Bissau	. ". 1			1.9	2.2	0.8	0.9	6.4	5.7	115	115
Haiti		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2.0	1.6	0.8	0.8	5.9	5.8	119	129
Honduras	4	6	9	3.2	2.4	1.0	0.9	4.9	4.9	113	116

2.1

Women

.

		Total population	I	Average anno growt	ual population th rate	Age depe rat	endency io	Populat 60 and	ion age above	Won age 60 ai	nen nd above
		millions			%	depende proportion age pop	ents as of working- ulation	% of	total	per 10	0 men
	1980	1996	2010	1980-96	1996-2010	1980	1996	1996	2010	1996	2010
Hungary	11	10	10	-0,3	-0.4	0.5	0.5	19.3	21.5	153	152
India	687	945	1,129	2.0	1.3	0.7	0.6	7.3	8.6	106	106
Indonesia	148	197	236	1.8	1.3	0.8	0.6	6.7	8,4	114	117
Iran, Islamic Rep.		63	81	2.9	1.9	0,9	0.8	6.4	6.5	79	84
Iraq	13	21	31	3.1	2.8	0,9	0.8	4.7	5.6	112	111
Ireland	3			0.4	0.5	0.7	0.5	15.1	17.4	126	120
Israel					1.7	0.7		10.9	11.7	122	116
Italy	56			0.1	-0.3	0.5	0.5		26.1	136	132
Jamaica		3		1.1	0.8	0.9	0.6	8.8	9.3	121	122
Japan	<u>1,1 /</u>	120	127	0.5	0.1	0.5	0.4		29.8	131	123
Jordan				4.3	2.0	Δ.e. Τ'T	0.0	4.0	11.0	174	150
Kanya		27	<u>+</u> (26			1 1	0.0	4.2	71.0	114	114
Korea Dem Ren		21			<u>, 2,0</u>		0.5	4. ∠ 7.4	9.6	168	110
Korea Ren			50	1 1	0.9	0,0	0.5		13.6	146	130
Kuwait		2		0.9	2.3	0.7	0.6		6.9	62	74
Kvrgvz Republic	 4			1.4	1.1	0.8	0.7	8.5	7.8	156	146
Lao PDR				2.4	2.4	0.8	0.9	5.6	5.0	109	125
Latvia	3		2	-0.1	-0.7	0.5	0.5	19.1	23.3	194	191
Lebanon	3	4	5	1.9	1.4	0.8	0.6	8.3	8.3	115	125
Lesotho	1	2	3	2.4	2.0	0.9	0.8	6.0	6.6	126	119
Libya	3	5	7	3.3	2.3	1.0	0.8	4.9	6.3	83	87
Lithuania	3	4	4	0.5	-0.2	0.5	0.5	17.6	20.0	175	176
Macedonia, FYR	2	2	2	0.3	0.7	0.6	0.5	12.7	15.5	118	119
Madagascar	9	14	20	2.8	2.8	0.9	0.9	4.7	4.8	119	118
Malawi	6	10	14	3.1	2.3	1.0	1.0	4.2	4.0	118	107
Malaysia			26	2.5	1.6	0.8	0.7	6.0	7.9	117	114
Mali	7	10	15	2.6	2.8	1.0	1.0	4.2	3.9	131	140
Mauritania	2	2	3	2.6	2.3	0.9	0.8	5.1	5.2	124	117
Mauritius		1	1	1.0	1.0	0.6	0.5	8.5	11.0	130	131
Mexico		. 93	115	2.1	1.5	1.0	0.6	6.2	8.1	120	125
Moldova				0.5	0.0	0.5	0.5	13.6	14.8	15/	152
Morgona			3	2.0	1.9			5.8	5,9	123	107
Mozambique	<u>1</u> 9 12	<u>2</u> 7 18		2.1	T'0	0.9	0.7	/ 1	1.±	126	120
Myanmar		46	55	19		0.8	0.7	<u>+</u> : 88	····· ⁻ ⁻ ··	116	118
Namihia		······ 70 2	· ···· ·····	27	21	0.0	0.8	5.7		120	115
Nepal				2.6	2.3	0.8	0.9	5.5	5.7		101
Netherlands	14	16	16	0.6	0.3	0.5	0.5	17.9	22.3	135	119
New Zealand	3	4	4	1.0	0.7	0.6	0.5	15.4	17.9	123	120
Nicaragua	3	5	6	3.0	2.4	1.0	0.9	4.5	5.1	117	116
Niger	6	9	14	3.3	3.0	1.0	1.0	3.9	3.7	123	130
Nigeria	71	115	166	3.0	2.6	0.9	0.9	4.1	4.3	130	127
Norway				0.4	0.3	0.6	0.5	20.1	22.0	130	119
Oman	1	2	4	4.2	3.8	0.9	. 1.0	3.9	4.8	99	
Pakistan	83	134	190	3.0	2.5	0.9	0.9	4.9	5.5	96	97
Panama				2.0	1.3	0.8	0.6	7.6	9.6	103	105
Papua New Guinea				2.2	2.0	0.8	0.7	4.9	5.8	103	109
Paraguay				2.9	2.2		0.8		6.0	132	117
Philippinge	11		<u></u>	2.1	1.5	0.8	0.7	6.7		114	116
Poland	48 26	21		2.5	1.8	U.8	0.7		19.0	115	116
Portugal			!! \ 10	0.5	2		0.5	21.0	10.2 21.2	140 140	1/7
Puerto Rico		<u>+0</u>		1 በ	0.2	0.0	0.5	127	<u></u> 16.6	125 L	<u>.</u>
Romania				0.1	-0.3	0.6	0.5	17.4	19.2	130	138
Russian Federation	139	148	143	0.4	-0.2	0.5	0.5	17.1	18.3	198	181



		Total population	n	Average annu growti	al population h rate	Age depo rat	endency io	Populat 60 and	tion age I above	Woi age 60 a	nen nd above
	1980	millions 1996	2010	1980-96	6 1996-2010	depende proportion age pop 1980	ents as of working- pulation 1996	% of 1996	total 2010	per 10 1996	0 men 2010
Rwanda	5	7		1.7	3.5	1.0	1.0	3.6	3.1	122	125
Saudi Arabia	9	19	31	4.6	3.3	0.9	0.8	4.4	5.6	92	
Senegal	6	9	12	2.7	2.3	0.9	0.9	4,6	3.9	111	111
Sierra Leone	3	5	6	2.2	2.2	0.9	1.0	4.4	4.2	130	131
Singapore	2	3	3	1.8	0.9	0.5	0.4	9,5	14.5	117	113
Slovak Republic	5	5	6	0.4	0.2	0.6	0.5	15.0	17.6	148	148
Slovenia	2	2	2	0.3	-0.1	0.5	0.4	17.8	22.3	160	138
South Africa	27	38	46	2.0	1.4	0.8	0.6	6.5	7.3	142	140
Spain	37	39	38	0.3	-0.1	0.6	0.5	20.6	22.8	134	141
Sri Lanka	15	18	21	1.4	1.0	0.7	0.5	8.9	11.8	106	120
Sudan	19	27	37	2.4	2.2	0.9	0.8	4.9	5.7	116	110
Sweden	8	9	9	0.4	0.0	0.6	0.6	21,9	26.0	129	11.9
Switzerland	6	7	7	0.7	0.3	0.5	0.5	19.3	23.6	137	126
Syrian Arab Republic	9	15	20	3.2	2.3	1.1	0.9	4.6	4.9	108	120
Tajikistan	4	6	7	2.5	1.3	0.9	0.8	6.5	6.4	131	124
Tanzania		30	42	3.1	2.2	1.0	0.9	4.1	3.9	120	114
Thailand		60	. 66	1.6	0.6	0.8	0.5	7.8	9.8	123	121
Togo			6	3.0	2.5	0.9	1.0	4.9	4.4	121	117
Trinidad and Tobago	1	1	1	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.6	9.0	11.1	102	101
Tunisia	6		11	2.2	1.4	0.8	0.6	7.1	7.8	102	1,17
Turkey	44	63	76	2.1	1.3	0.8	0.6	8.2	9.7	113	119
Turkmenistan		5	6	3.0	1.5	0.8	0.8	6.3	6.2	143	133
Uganda	13	20	27	2.7		1.0	1.0	3.6	2.5	116	99
Ukraine				0.1	-0.6	0.5	0.5	19.4	20.9	184	170
United Arab Emirates		3	3	5.5	1.9	. 0.4	0.4	3.2	10.2	. 45	·· .
United Kingdom	. 56	. 59		0.3	0.1	0.6	0.5	20.7	23.3	131	121
United States	. 227	265	. 294	1.0	0.7	0.5	0.5	16.4	18.8	134	126
Uruguay	3	3 .		0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	17.0	17.2	133	141
Uzbekistan	. 16	. 23	. 29	2.3	1.6	0.9	0.8	6.6	6.5	141	130
Venezuela	. 15	22		2.5	. 1.6	. 0.8	0.7	6.2	8.4	116	116
Vietnam		75		2.1	1.6	0.9	0.7	7.2	6.8	136	142
West Bank and Gaza	1.	2 .		4.0	3.5	0.9	0.9	4.4	4.3	103	119
Yemen, Rep.				3.8	3.3	1.1	1.0	3.9	3.4	126	148
Yugoslavia, FR (Serb./Mont.)		11		0.5		. 0.5	0.5	18.0	19.1	122	123
Zimbabwe			12 14	3.0	1.9	1.1	0.8	3.7 4.7	3.3 4.3	101 112	106
						· ·	· ·				
World	4,427 t	5,754 t	6,788 t	1.6 w	1.2 w	0.7 w	0.6 w	9.6 w	10.8 w	121 w	117 w
Low income	2,375	3,236	3,948	1.9	1.4	0.8	0.7	7.4	8,5	105	105
Exci. China & India	706	1,076	1,471	2.6	2.2	0.9	0.9	5.1	5.2	114	115
Middle income	1,227	1,599	1.875	1.7	1.1	0.7	0.6	9.1	10.1	137	132
Lower middle income	867	1,125	1,313	1.6	1.1	0.7	0.6	9.2	10.1	140	133
upper middle income	360	473	562	1.7	1.2	0.7	0.6	8.8	10.3	131	130
Low & middle income	3,602	4,835	5,824	1.8	1.3	0.8	0.6		9,0	116	114
East Asia & Pacific	1,359	1,732	1,975	1.5	0.9	0.7	0.5	8.7	10.6	106	104
Europe & Central Asia	428	4/8	490	0.7	0.2	U.6	0.5	14.6	16.0	103	107
Latin America & Carib.	358	486	588	T.9	1.4	U.8	U.6	1.5	9.1	101	102
windle Last & N. Atrica	1/5	276	3/1	2.9	Z.1	0.9	U.8	0.8 	0.3 	TOT	104
South Asia	902	1,200	1,555	2.1	1.5	U.8		0.1 A F	1.9	103 103	100
Sup-Sanaran Africa	379	596	844	2.8	2.5	0.9	0.9	4.6	4.5	123	
nign income	825	919	964	U./	0.3	U.5	U.5		21,8		126

Knowing the size of a country's population, its growth rate, and its age distribution is important for evaluating the welfare of its citizens, assessing the productive capacity of its economy, and estimating the quantity of goods and services that will be needed to meet future needs. Thus governments, businesses, and anyone interested in analyzing economic performance must have accurate population estimates.

Population estimates are usually based on national censuses, but the frequency and quality of these censuses vary by country. Most countries conduct a complete enumeration no more than once a decade. Precensus and postcensus estimates are interpolations or extrapolations based on demographic models. Errors and undercounting occur even in high-income countries; in developing countries such errors may be substantial because of limits on transportation, communication, and resources required to conduct a full census. Moreover, the international comparability of population indicators is limited by differences in the concepts, definitions, data collection procedures, and estimation methods used by national statistical agencies and other organizations that collect population data.

Of the 148 economies listed in the table, 129 conducted a census between 1987 and 1997. The currentness of a census, along with the availability of complementary data from surveys or registration systems, is one of many objective ways to judge the quality of demographic data. In some European countries registration systems offer complete information on population in the absence of a census. See *Primary data documentation* for the most recent census or survey year and for registration completeness.

Current population estimates for developing countries that lack recent census-based population data, and precensus and postcensus estimates for countries with census data, are provided by national statistical offices or by the United Nations Population Division. The estimation methods require fertility, mortality, and net migration data, which are often collected from sample surveys, some of which may be small or have limited coverage. These estimates are the product of demographic modeling and so are also susceptible to biases and errors due to shortcomings of the model, as well as the data.

The quality and reliability of official demographic data are also affected by public trust in the government, the government's commitment to full and accurate enumeration, the confidentiality of and protection against misuse accorded to census data, and the independence of census agencies from undue political influence.

Population projections are made using the cohort component method. This method compiles separate projections of future fertility, mortality, and net migration levels by age and gender, then applies them to the 1995 base year age and gender structure. Future fertility, mortality, and net migration levels are determined from demographic models that use current levels and trends as inputs. Countries where fertility has been failing are assumed to have further declines at the rate of the previous 10 years until fertility reaches the replacement level of about two children. In countries where fertility has remained high, the transition to smaller families is assumed to occur at the average rate of decline of countries that are currently making this transition. Countries where fertility is below two children per woman are assumed to remain at this level for another decade, after which fertility rates will gradually return to replacement level. Similarly, mortality changes are modeled by assuming that the rate of change in the previous decade will continue in the near future. Future mortality in countries with high levels of HIV infection is adjusted to reflect the lagged impact of the disease on mortality.

Figure 2.1a





The works's population is expected to increase by more than 1 billion people over the next 14 rears. Of this increase, 9 out of 10 people will be added in developing countries. While the highest growth rates will continue to be in Sub-Saharan Africa and the Middle East and North Africa, the variation in growth rates differs from the partern of absoune increase in population. The largest population increases are expected in South Asia. East Asia, and Sub-Saharan Africa.

Definitions

· Total population of an economy includes all residents regardless of legal status or citizenship--except for refugees not permanently settled in the country of asylum, who are generally considered part of the population of their country of origin. The indicators shown are midyear estimates for 1980 and 1996 and projections for 2010. • Average annual population growth rate is the exponential change for the period indicated. See Statistical methods for more information. . Age dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents-people younger than 15 and older than 65-to the working-age population-those age 15-64. • Population age 60 and above is the percentage of the total population that is 60 or older. · Women age 60 and above is the ratio of women to men in that age group.

21

Data sources



The World Bank's population estimates are produced by the Human Development Network and the Development Data Group in consultation with the Bank's operational staff. Important inputs to the World Bank's demographic work

come from the following sources; census reports and other statistical publications and electronic bulletins from country statistical offices; demographic and health surveys conducted by national sources; United Nations Department of Economic and Social Information and Policy Analysis, Statistics Division, Population and Vital Statistics Report (quarterly), and Population Division, World Population Prospects: The 1996 Edition; Eurostat, Demographic Statistics (various years); Council of Europe, Recent Demographic Developments in Europe and North America 1996; South Pacific Commission, Pacific Island Populations Data Sheet 1997; Centro Latinoamericano de Demografia, Boletin Demografico (various years); Economic and Social Commission for Western Asia, Demographic and Related Socio-Economic Data Sheets 1995; and U.S. Bureau of the Census, World Population Profile 1996. Projections are based on the methods discussed in Bos and others, World Population Projections 1994-95.



2.2 Population dynamics

	Crude ta	death ate	Crude ra	ə birth nte	Projected additional population from 2000	Population momentum	Future	population due to	growth	Ave p gr	rage ann opulatio owth rat	nual In :es
						1		Above-		Age	Age	Age
	per :	1.000	per :	1.000				replacement	Mortality	0-14	15-64	65+
	pec 1980	ple 1996	peo 1980	1996	millions	1996	Momentum millions	millions	millions	% 1990–96	% 1990-96	% 1990–96
Albania				20		1.4	1 2	0.1	0.5	0.0	0.1	26
Algeria			42	26	31	16	. 18.4	4.8	.0.3	-0.8	35	. 2.0.
Angola	23	19	50	48		1.5	6.4	23.7	6.5	3.3	2.8	2.7
Argentina	9	8	24	19	20	1.4	13.5	0.8	5.9	0.2	1.7	2.3
Armenia	6	7	23	13	1	1.2	0.9	-1.0	0.6	-0.5	1.1	6.4
Australia	7	7	15	14	2	1.2	3.2	-1.6	0.8	0.7	1.1	2.1
Austria	. 12	. 10	. 12	11	2	1.0	0.0	-2.0	0.2	0.8	0.7	0.5
Azerbaijan	7 .		25	. 17		1.3	2.6	-1.8	1.7	0.0	1.0	4.6
Bangladesh	. 18	10	44	. 28		1.5	69.3	7.8	49.6	0.5	2.6	1.0
Belarus	10	. 13	16	9		1.0	0.0	-3.2	1.7	-1.5	0.0	3.0
Beigium	12	11	13	. 11	-2	1.0	0.0 .	-1.9	0.4	-0.1	0.2	1.2
Bolivia		. <u>1</u> .	. 49 . 30		12	1.6		0.9	2.0	2.2	3.7	3.3
Bosnia and Herzegovina	<u></u>	··· ·· ·· ·· ·	19	. • • .			, 7,0	. 4.0	, <u><u> </u></u>	, 2 .0	2.0	ں .ں
Botswana	14	13	48	33		1.4	0.6	0.4	0.9	1.7	3.1	2.1
Brazil		7	31	21	107	1.4	61.6	0.3	44.6	-0.4	2.3	3.2
Bulgaria	11	14	15	9	-3	0.9	-0.6	-2.9	1.0	-2.9	-06	1.5
Burkina Faso	20	18	47	45	31	1.4	4.8	19.3	6.6	2.5	2.9	4.0
Burundi	. 18	. 17	. 46	. 43	18	1.4	. 3.1	11.1	3.8	3.0	2.4	0.7
Cambodia	. 27 .	. 13	. 40		14	1.4	5.0	. 5.1	4.3	3.7	2.0	3,2
Cameroon	15	11	. 47 .	40	29	1,5	8.0	12.6	. 8.1	2.7	3.1	2.7
Canada			15	13	1	1.1		-3.8	0.8	0.6	1.2	2.6
Central African Republic	19	1	43	38			1.5	.2.4	1.7	2.0	2.4	1.9
Chile			44 . 24	19		1.0	62	0.0	3.0 1.5	2.0	2.0	31
China	' 6			<u>-?</u> . 17	348	1.2	276.7	-184.5	255.2	0.1	1.3	3.6
Hong Kong, China			17	10	-1	1.0	0.3	-2.1	0.5	-0.8	1.8	4.7
Colombia	7	6	30	23	28	1.4	17.5	0.4	. 9.8	0.8	2.1	5.0
Congo, Dem. Rep.	17	14	48	45	156	1.6	29.8	100.9	25.7	3.3	3.0	2.8
Congo, Rep.	16	15	46	43		1.5	1.5	4.5	1.4	3.1	2.6	3.8
Costa Rica	4.	,4	30	. 23	3	1.6	2.2	0.1	0.4	1.1	2,5	4.2
Côte d'Ivoire	16	. 12	51	. 37	. 24	1.5	8.4	9.1	6.9	2.2	3.6	3.8
Croatia		11		. 11	1	0.9	0.3	-1.0	0.6	,-2.2	-0.4	4.9
Cuba	0		14	14		1.2	2.0	-3,0	1.0	-0.1	0.7	1.9
Denmark			11		~		-0.1	-3.9 -0.5	0.4	-2.5	0.0	-0.4
Dominican Republic	. +	12 5	33	26		1.5	4.6	0.4	1.8	0.8	2.4	4.5
Ecuador		6	36	26	11	1.6	7.0	1.0	3.0	0.8	2.9	3.2
Egypt, Arab Rep.	13	8	39	26	58	1.5	31.3	9.0	17.7	0.9	2.6	3.3
El Salvador	11	6	39	31		1.6	4.1	1.2	1.7	0.5	3.6	4.2
Eritrea		13	<i></i>	40		1.5	2.1	6.1	2.3	2.8	2.6	3,3
Estonia	. 12	. 13	. 15	. 9	. 0	0.9	-0.1	0.5	0.2	-3.1	-1.0	0.9
Ethiopia		17	.47.	. 48	216	1.5	34.8	148.5	33.0	2.5	1.9	1.8
Finland		. 10	. 13	12	0	0.9	-0.7	-0,4	1.0	0.1	0.3	1.5
Cohon	10		. 15	13	1	1.1	0.3	-8.0	0.4	-0,4	0.4	2.0
Gambia The		<u>∔</u> 4 1⊿	. 3.3 . 	40	····· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	14	0.5	. 1.0	0.4	3.3	3.8	3.7
Georgia	- 9	 7	18			1.1	0.6	-1.5	0.7	-1.2	-0.4	3.6
Germany	. 12		11	 9	23	0.9	-5.5	-19.9	2.8	0.4	0.4	1.0
Ghana	15	10	45	36	33	1.6	12.0	14.3	7.0	2.4	2,9	3.7
Greece	9	10	15	10	-2	1.0	0.2	-2.7	0.3	-2.0	0.6	3.4
Guatemala	11	. 7	43	35	.20	1.7	8.2	7.5	4.0	2.3	3.2	4.3
Guinea	. 24	18	46	43	17	1.5	3.5	10.2	3.4	2.5	2.8	2.9
Guinea-Bissau	25	. 22	43	. 44		1.4	. 0.4	1.4	0.6	2,4	.1.8 	1.(
Hondurae	10	<u>1</u> 2	31		· 11		3.3 A ⊗	. 2.1. 	3.5 2.1	2.0	2.1	⊥.⊥. ∡1
			., -, -,								0.0	

.....

.

	Crude ra	e death ate	Crude ra	birth te	Projected additional population from 2000	Population momentum	Future p	opulation due to	growth	Ave p gro	rage ann opulation owth rate	ual 1 es
								Above-		Age	Age	Age
	per : pe 1980	1,000 opie 1996	per 1 pec 1980	.,000 ple 1996	millions	1996	t Momentum millions	eplacemen fertility millions	t Mortality improvements millions	0-14 %	15-64 % 1990-96	65+ % 1990-96
Hungary	14	14		10	-2	1.0	-0.4	-2.5	1.5	-2.3	0.1	0.6
India	13	9	35	25	724	1.4	391.9	53.5	278.9	0.9	2.2	3.1
Indonesia	12	8	34	23	144	1.4	81.0	1.9	61.0	0.2	2.3	3.8
Iran, Islamic Rep.	11	5	. 44	26	66	1.6	37.1	13.1	16.1	0.6	3.8	5.3
Iraq	9	9	41	. 37	51	1.7	17.2	27.6	5.9	2.1	3.3	3.5
Ireland	10		22	14		1.3		-0.5	0.3	2.1	1.4	0.5
Israel	<u>(</u> 10	10				1.4		0.5	1 1	1.8	4.2	1.5
lamaica			28		2	1.5	1.3	-0.3	0.5	0.3	1.4	0.0
Japan	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 14	10	-30	0.8	-21.0	-28.8	19.4	-2.3	0.2	4.0
Jordan	 	5	••	31	7	1.7	3.4	2.3	1.3	4.0	6.0	5,7
Kazakhstan	8	10	24	15	6	1.2	3.3	-1.7	4.3	-1.5	0.0	2.7
Kenya	13		51	. 34	42	1.6	. 18.2	10.3	13.4	1.4	3.8	1.3
Korea, Dem. Rep.					10	1.2	5.0	-0.9	6.1	1.6	1.4	3.5
Korea. Rep.	. 6	6	. 22	15				-9.4		-1.0	1.4	3.5
Kuwait			3/			1.5	0.9	0.3	1.4	-4.5	-5.2	2.4
Lao PDR	20		45	40	10	1.5	27	4.7	22	27	2.3	6.2
Latvia	1.3		15			1.0	-0.1	-0.9	0.3	-2.3	-1.2	0.8
Lebanon	9		30	24	3	1.5	2.1	0.1	1.0	1.4	2.1	3,2
Lesotho	15	11	41	32	3	1.5	1.1	1.0	0.8	1.2	2.8	1.9
Libya	12	5	46	28	9	1.6	3.6	2.9	1.9	1.1	3.6	5.8
Lithuania	10	. 12	16	. 11		1.0	0.1	-1.0	0.5	1.1	-0.1	2.0
Macedonia, FYR	7		. 21	16	1	1.2	0.4	0.0	0.3	-0.7	0.9	3.5
Madagascar			46	. 41				21.6	6.8	2.1	. 3.1	4.7
Malawi				40		<u>1.4</u> 	4.0	13.7	5.0	2.9	2.9	1.8
Mali			49	49		1.6	6.5	17.5	5.9	3.0	2.5	3.2
Mauritania	19	14	43		4	1.5	1.3	2.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	2.2
Mauritius	6	7	24	18	1	1.3	0.3	0.0	0.2	-0.3	1.6	3.1
Mexico	7	5	33	26	82	1.6	60.2	2.9	19.1	0.3	2.8	2.3
Moldova	10	12	20	12	1	1.1	0.5	-0.9	0.9	-1.4	0.2	1.9
Mongolia	11		38	28		1.6	1.6	0.4	0.8	0.7	3.1	1.5
Morocco			38	25	25	1.5	15.1	2.2		0.4	2.7	3.9
Mozambique	20		. 46			1.5	9.1	27.9	9.9	4.4	3.8	-0.9
Namibia	14	10	30 				0.8	1 3	15.3		28	30
Nepal	17	 11		37	42	1.5	12.6	20.4	9.3	2.6	2.7	2.5
Netherlands		9	13	12	-2	1.0	0.7	-2.8	0.6	0.8	0.5	1.3
New Zealand	9	8	16	16	1	1.2	0.8	-0.1	0.3	0.8	1.2	2.0
Nicaragua	. 11	6	45	33		1.7	3.7	1.9	1.5	1.9	3.9	4.6
Niger	23			51		1.5	5.6	21.7	5.7	3.5	3.0	2.9
Nigeria	18	13	50	41	280	1.5	68.3	152.7	59.0	2.8	3.1	1.4
Oman	10		12	14		1.0		-0.3	0.4	0.9	0.5	0.1
Pakistan	<u>+0</u> 15			37	260	17	105.1	121.1	34.0	29	28	20
Panama	6				200	1.5	1.5	0.0	0.4	0.6	2.4	2.9
Papua New Guinea			37	32		1.4		2.7	2.0	1.8	2.4	5.6
Paraguay	7	5	36	30	7	1.7	3.6	1.8	1.3	2.3	3.0	2.0
Peru	11	6	35	25	22	1.5	13.0	1.5	7.1	0.7	2.7	3.8
Philippines	9		35	29	80	1.5	41.0	14.7	24.7	1.6	2.7	3.0
Poland	10	10	19	11	1	1.1	3.7	-8.6	5.5	-1.9	0.7	2.0
Puerto Pico	. 10		16			1.0	0.2	-2.7	0.9	-1.9	0.0	2.8
Romania			∠3 19	· ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1.3	1.1	-0.3	0.5	-0.5	1.6	1.8
Russian Federation	11	14	16	<u></u> . 9		1.0	-4.1	-42.6	26.5	-1.8	0.0	3.2



	Crud	le death rate	Crude rat	birth te	Projected additional population from 2000	Population momentum	Future	population due to	growth	Ave p gr	rage an opulatio owth rat	nual en :es
	pe p 1980	r 1,000 eople 1996	per 1 peo 1980	,000 ple 1996	millions	1996	Momentum millions	Above- replacement fertility millions	t Mortality Improvements millions	Age 0-14 % 1990-96	Age 1564 % 1990-96	Age 65+ % 1 990-96
Rwanda	19	21	51	40	18	1.4	3.5	9.3	4.8	-0.3	-0.5	-3.4
Saudi Arabia			43	35		1.6	14.2	46,5	8.0	3.4	3.7	4.7
Senegal	20	14	46	40	18	1.4	3.8	8.9	5.2	2.2	2.8	2.6
Sierra Leone	29	27	49	48		1.4	1.8	5.1	2.7	3.3	1.8	-0.5
Singapore	. 5		17	16	0	1.1	0.4	-0.4	0.3	2.5	1.5	4.3
Slovak Republic	10	10	19	11	0	1.1	0.6	-1.5	0.8	-2.0	0.8	1.1
Slovenia	10		15	10	1	0.9		-0.6	0.3	-2.8	0.3	1.8
South Africa	. 12	8	36	27	32	1.5	18.5	1.5	12.3	0.0	2,8	1.1
Spain	8	9	15	9	-10	1.0	0.7	-12.9	1.8	-3.0	0.5	2.3
Sri Lanka	6	6	28	19	10	1.4	6.8	-0.4	3,5	0.9	1.8	3.6
Sudan	17			34		1.5	13.8	21.6	13.0	0.9	3.0	3.0
Sweden	. 11		12		-1	0.9	0.9	-1.4	1.4	1.3	0.4	0.1
Switzerland	. 9		12	12	1	1.0	0.1	1.1	0.1	1.5	0.7	1.0
Syrian Arab Republic	9	5	46				11.6	. 6.2	5.0	1.5	4.1	4.5
Tajikistan	8			. 22		1.6	3,9	0,0	1.8	1.0	2.3	4.1
Tanzania	15		. 47	41		1.5	16.3	32.8	17.2	2.7	3.1	3.2
Thailand	8	7		. 17	17	1.3	18.1	-14.8	13.2	-1.3	2.2	4.0
Togo	16	15	45	42	12	1.5	. 2.2	. 7.6	2.3	3.1	2.9	2.7
Trinidad and Tobago	7.		29	16		1.3	0.4	0.0	0.2	-1.3	1.8	1.2
Tunisia			35	. 23			4,7	0.1	2.4	.0.4	2.6	3.9
Turkey	10		32	. 22		1.4	27.5	0.7	14.8	,-0,4	. 2.7 .	5.3
Turkmenistan	. 8				4	1.5		0.3	1.5	3.0	4.1	5.5
Uganda			49	49	50			27.2	14.2	3.3	.3.0	1.7
Ukraine	. 11	15	15	9	-10	0.9	2,6	-15.1	8.1	1.7	-0,3	2.1
United Arab Emirates	5		30	19	2	1.2	0.6	0.6	0.3	3.8	5.8	6.8
United Kingdom	. 12	11	13	12	-3	1.1	3.5	-7.8	1.8	0.4	0.3	0.4
United States	9			15	70	1.2	49.1	.11.4	9.9	1.1	1,0	1.2
Uruguay	. 10	10	19	17		1.2		0.0	0.4	-0.4	0.8	1.6
Uzbekistan			34			1.6	14.9	1.9	6.8	. 1.4	2.4	3.7
Venezuela				25	20	1.5	13.2	1.7	5.1	1.1	. 2.8	4.3
Vietnam			36	25	70	1.5	42.8	. 5.2	, 22.0	1.2	2.8	2.1
West Bank and Gaza				44		1.8	2.0	4.5	1,1	4.6	3.9	4.3
Yemen, Rep.	. 19			47	66	1.6	. 11.1	45.7	9.2	4.3	. 5.2	3.9
Yugoslavia, FR (Serb./Mont.)		11	18	13		1.0	0.4	-1.1	1.8	-1.4	-0.1	4.3
Zambia	. 15		50	43	15	1.4		5.4		1.9	3.6	1.8
ZIMDapwe	13	10	49	31	13	1.5	9.6	1.5	5.6	1.6	2.9	4.2
World	10	-9.14	27.14	- <u></u>	4 199 +	1.4.1	1 946 2 +	807.4.+	1 445 6 1	0.8-1	1.8.1	28 w
l ou incomo	10 W	9 w	21 W	22 W	2 1 20	<u>1.4 w</u>	1,940.21	967 9	992.1	1.2	1.0 W	2.0 W
Low income	1¢			20	0.057	1.5	1,210.0	001.0	392.I	1.Z	2.0	2.2
Exci. China & huia	10		40		2,007	1 A	616.7		400.0 207 7	2.1 0.2	1 0	2.5
	<u>+</u> U	ö	23	∠⊥ 21	1,010 701	1 /	010.1 A19.0	16 5	296.7	0.2	10	3.1
Lower midale income					/21	L.4	418.0	10.5	280.7	0.2	1.9	3.4
upper middle income		·····	2ð		301	1.4 1 <i>A</i>	1 905 5	41.3	1 200 0		∠.⊥ 1 0	2.4
				24	4,207	⊥.4	1,893.5	921.0 I	1120	0.9	1.9 	3.2
Last Asia & Pacific		7	22	19	/01	1.3	509.3 -	-100.0	412.0	0.3	1.0 	3.0
Europe & Central Asia	10	11	19	13	50	1.1	8.10	-91.D	09.1	-1.2	0.5	2.0
Latin America & Carib.			31	23	385	1.4	∠38.3	28.3	118.3	0.4	2.4	3.0
Middle East & N. Africa	11	7	41	29	433	1.6	1/3.8	1/2.3	86.4	1.5	3.5	4.1
South Asia		9	37	27	1,163	1.4	585.7	202.4	375.3	1.1	2.3	3.0
Sub-Saharan Africa	18	14	47	41	1,416	1.5	330.6	//6.8	308.1	2.4	2.9	2.1
High income			15	12		1.1	50.7 -	-114.2	55.8	U.4	. 1.1	2.1

The vital rates shown in the table are based on data derived from registration systems, censuses, and sample surveys conducted by national statistical offices. As with the basic demographic data in table 2.1, estimates for 1996 are based on projections from censuses or surveys from earlier years, and hence international comparisons are limited by differences in definitions and data collection and estimation methods.

Vital registers are the preferred source of these data, but in many developing countries systems for registering births and deaths do not exist or are incomplete because of deficiencies in geographic coverage or population coverage. For these countries, vital rates are estimated by applying various demographic methods to incomplete vital registration data or to data from surveys and censuses. The United Nations Department of Economic and Social Information and Policy Analysis has monitored vital registration systems for many years. Its quarterly publication, Population and Vital Statistics Report, shows that the proportion of countries with at least 90 percent complete vital registration increased from 46 percent in 1990 to 52 percent in 1997. Still, some of the most populous developing countries-China, India, Indonesia, Brazil, Pakistan, Nigeria, Bangladesh-do not have complete vital registration systems. As a result less than 25 percent of vital events worldwide are thought to be recorded.

In many countries fertility rates have fallen to near the two-child replacement level, and in some countries they have fallen well below that. But almost all these countries will continue to have growing populations over the next several decades as large cohorts born in previous years move through the reproductive ages, generating more births than are offset by deaths in the smaller, older cohorts. The reverse may happen in countries with aging populations and a history of low fertility rates. This phenomenon, called population momentum, is measured here as the ratio of the population when zero growth has been achieved to the population in 2000, assuming that fertility remains at replacement level from 2000 onward. A momentum ratio greater than one indicates that population will continue to grow even after replacement-level fertility has been achieved; a ratio of less than one indicates that population will decline.

Population will continue to grow in most countries for several reasons: fertility will remain above replacement level, increasing the size of each generation; population momentum in the age structure will lead to more births than deaths (momentum greater than one); mortality will keep falling (the situation in most countries), with the greatest effect on population growth in countries where infant and child mortality are currently high; and net migration will be positive.

The table shows the contribution that each of these components makes to future population growth (mortality and migration are combined). For example, Algeria's population is projected to grow to 62 million before it stabilizes. Of the 31 million increase, about 18 million is the result of population momentum, 5 million is due to excess fertility, and 8 million is due to projected mortality decline. A negative value for any component indicates that current conditions are such that they would lead to population decline. A momentum indicator of less than one indicates that even a recovery to replacement-level fertility by 2000 will not prevent a decline in population.

Figure 2.2a





Source: World Bank staff estimates.

Between 2000 and when stationary population is reached, the world's population will increase by about 4.2 billion people. This figure shows the contributions that population momentum, high fertility, and mortality improvements will make to future population growth. Population momentum is likely to be a major source of population growth in all regions except Sub-Saharan Africa. In Sub-Saharan Africa the persistence of fertility well above replacement level will account for more than half of the region's future population growth. In contrast, low fertility in Europe and Central Asia and East Asia will reduce overall population growth.

Definitions

· Crude death rate and crude birth rate are the number of deaths and the number of live births occurring during the year, per 1,000 midyear population. The difference between the crude birth rate and crude death rate is the rate of natural increase. Projected additional population from 2000 is the projected increase in population between 2000 and the projected stationary population that is reached after fertility has been at replacement level for many decades. A negative number indicates a projected decline in population. • Population momentum is the ratio of the population when zero growth has been achieved to the population in year t (in this case the year 2000), given the assumption that fertility remains at replacement level from year t onward. • Future population growth due to momentum is the projected increase in population from 2000 onward that would occur if fertility were at replacement level. A negative number indicates that negative momentum has built up in the age structure as the result of fertility being below replacement level for several decades. • Future population growth due to above-replacement fertility is the projected change in population from 2000 onward that would occur if fertility were not at replacement level. · Future population growth due to mortality improvements is the projected increase in population from 2000 onward due to projected changes in mortality and net migration. • Average annual population growth rates are calculated using the exponential end-point method (see Statistical methods for more information).

Data sources



The World Bank's population estimates are produced by the Human Development Network and the Development Data Group in consultation with the Bank's operational staff. Important inputs to the World Bank's demographic work

come from the following sources: United Nations Department of Economic and Social Information and Policy Analysis, Statistics Division, *Population and Vital Statistics Report* (quarterly), and Population Division, *World Population Prospects: The 1996 Edition*; census reports and other statistical publications from country statistical offices; demographic and health surveys conducted by national sources; and Eurostat, *Demographic Statistics* (various years). Projections are based on the methods discussed in Bos and others, *World Population Projections 1994–95*.

讈



2.3 Labor force structure

Population age 15–64

Labor force

Population age 15–64

Labor force

2.3

• 、

			Total		Averag grov	ge annual vth rate	Fem	ale	Childrei	n 10–14	
	1980	millions 1996	1980	millions 1996	2010	1980-96	% 1996-2010	% of labo	1996	% of ag 1980	e group, 1996
Uundoru			5	5		-0.4	_0 4	/13			
India	395	574		408	519	1.8	16				
Indonesia	83	124	59		124	2.6	2.0		40	13	
Iran Islamic Ren	20	35		19		2.8	3.3	20	25	14	4
iran		12	 4			2.8	3.3	17		11	
Ireland	· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ·	2				0.8	1.0	28	33	<u></u> 1	0
Israel	2					2.8	2.5	34	40	0	0
Italy	36	39	23	25	24	0.6	-0.2	33	38	2	0
Jamaica	1	2			2	1.9	1.3	46	46	0	0
Japan	79		57	66	66	0.9	0.0	38	41	0	0
Jordan	1	2	1	1	2	4.7	3.8	15	22	4	1
Kazakhstan	9	11	7	8	8	0.6	0.5	48	47	0	0
Kenya		14	8	13	18	3.1	2.2	46	46	45	41
Korea, Dem. Rep.	10	15	8	11	13	2.3	1.1	45	45	3	0
Korea, Rep.	24	32	16	22	26	2.1	1.2	39	41	0	0
Kuwait	1	1	0	1	1	2.6	1.7	13	29	0	0
Kyrgyz Republic	2	3	2	2	3	1.4	1.7	48	47	0	0
Lao PDR	2	2	2	2	3	1.9	2.5	45	47	31	27
Latvia	2	2	1	1	1	-0.3	-0.6	51	50	0	0
Lebanon	2	2	1	1	2	2.7	2.5	23	28	5	0
Lesotho	1	1	1	1	1	2.2	2.1	38	37	28	22
Libya	2	3		1	2	2.6	2.4	19	21	9	0
Lithuania	2	2				0.3	-0.1	50	48	0	0
Macedonia, FYR	1		1		1	0.7	0.8	36		1	0
Madagascar			4		10	2.5	2.8	45	45	40	35
Malawi				5		2.6	2.1		49	45	
Malaysia		12			12	2.6	2.4			8	3
Mali						2.2	2.6	. 47	46	61	54
Mauritania			1	1	2	2.1	2.3	45			
Mauritius		1	0		1	1.9	1.2			5	3
Mexico	34	57			52	3.0	2.3		31		
Moldova						0.1	0.2	50	49		
Mongolia			1			2.8			46		2
Morocco			·····		15	2.4	2.4		35		
Nuerman	40				13	1.8	4.5	49	48	39	34
Nomihio	тэ ТЭ				49	τ.o	1,D	44	43	20	
Naniuud		⊥ 10			<u>+</u>		2.4	30		56	
Nethorlande	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					1.0	<u> </u>	21	40		
New Zealand		····· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ···		·····'··· 2	···· ·····! ···		0,0	34	40	······································	····· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ···
Nicaragua	<u>+</u> 1		· ··· ·····. 1		·		3.4	28	36	19	14
Niger				· ·· ··· · · 4		2.8	2.8	45	44	48	
Nigeria		60	30	45	68	2.5	2.6	36	36	29	25
Norway	3	3	2	2	2	0.8	0.3	40	46	0	0
Oman	1	1	0	1	 1	3.6	4.1	7	15	6	0
Pakistan	44	72	29	48	76	2.9	3.1	23	27	23	17
Panama	1	2	1	1	1	2.7	1.9	30	34	6	3
Papua New Guinea	2	3	2	2	3	2.0	2.1	42	42	28	19
Paraguay	2	3	1	2	3	2.7	2.7	27	29	15	7
Peru	9	15	5	9	13	2.9	2.4	24	29	4	2
Philippines	27	42	19	30	42	2.7	2.3	35	37	14	8
Poland	23	26	19	19	20	0.3	0.3	45	46	0	0
Portugal	6		5		5	0.4	0.0	39	43	8	2
Puerto Rico	2	2		1		1.7	1.3	32	36	0	0
Romania	14	15		11	10	-0.1	-0.1	46	44	0	0
Russian Federation	95	99	76	78	78	0.1	0.0	49	49	0	0

Population age 15–64

Labor force

	1980	millions	1980	Total millions	2010	Averag grow	e annual th rate % 1996_2010	Fem % of labo	ale or force	Children % of age	10–14 ; group 1995
							25		. 40	42	
Rwanga			°			5.0	2.0	. 49	49	43	.42.
Sauul Alabia		······································						. 0	. 14	.0	
Sierre Leane		4		4.			2.3	44	. 43	43	31
Sierra Leone			····· [⊥] ·	4 .	· · · · ·	· 1.9	. 2.3	30	. 30	19	. To
	······ ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ···			· · · · · ·		2.0	0.8	. 35	. 38	2	
				· · 3	3	0.8 .	0.2	45	. 48	0	
Siovenía	1				1	0.2		46	. 46.	0	0
South Africa			10	15		2.2	1.6	. 35	3/	.1 .	
Spain						1.1	0.2	28	36	0	. 0
Sri Lanka				8	10	2.0	1.6	. 27	35	4	. 2 .
Sudan	10			10	. 15	2.3	2.4	.27	.29	33	29
Sweden							0.2	. 44	. 48 .	0	0 .
Switzerland				4 .	4	1.2	0.2	. 37	40	0	0.
Syrian Arab Republic	4		2			2.9	. 3.1	.23	26	14	5.
Tajikistan			2		³	2.0	2.3	. 47	44	0	⁰ .
Tanzania			10	16	22	2.9	2.2	50	49	43	39
Thailand				35	. 39	2.1	0.8	.47	46	25	15
Togo	1		1		3	2.5	2.6	39	. 40	36	. 28
Trinidad and Tobago		1			<u>1</u>	1.3	1.8	32	37	1	Ô,
Tunisia						2.7	. 2.4	29	31	6	, O
Turkey	25			. 29		2.5	1.8	35	36	21	23
Turkmenistan			1	2		2.6	2.5	. 47	45	0	0
Uganda	6	10		10	14	2.3	. 2.4	48	48	49	45
Ukraine			26	25	24	-0.2	~-0.4	50	. 49	. 0	0 .
United Arab Emirates			1	1		4.3	1.8	5	14	0	. O .
United Kingdom		38				0.5	0.1	. 39	43	. O .	
United States	151	174	110	134	150	1.2	0.7	42	46	0	0 .
Uruguay			1	. 1.	2	1.3	0.9	31	41 .	4	2
Uzbekistan	9	13	6			2.3	2.4	48	46	0	. 0
Venezuela	8	13		9	13	3.2	2.4	27	33	4	1
Vietnam			26	38	. 48	2.3	1.6	48	49	22	. 8, .
West Bank and Gaza										·· .	
Yemen, Rep.	4 .			5	9	3.9	. 3.8	33	29	26	20
Yugoslavia, FR (Serb./Mont.)	6			5	5	0.7	0.2	38	42	0	Q
Zambia		5			5	2.8	2.3	45	45	19	16
Zimbabwe		6	3	5		2.9	1.8	44	. 44	37	29
World	2,595 t	3,586 t	2,034 t	2,739 t	3,343 t	1.7 w	1.3 w	39 w	40 w	20 w	13 w
Low income	1,352	1,973	1,153	1,604	2,000	1.9	1.5	40	40	28	18
Excl. China & India	371	578	315	478	678	2.4	2.3	40	40	31	27
Middle income	715	997	509	695	877	1.8	1.6	37	38	11	7
Lower middle income	506	700	367	494	626	1.7	1.6	39	39	11	7
Upper middle income	209	279	142	201	252	2.0	1.5	32	35	10	7
Low & middle income	2.067	2,970	1,663	2,299	2,878	1.9	1.5	39	39	23	14
East Asia & Pacific	796	1,140	704	966	1,127	1.9	1.0	42	44	27	11
Europe & Central Asia	276	313	215	234	250	0.5	0.4	47	46	3	4
Latin America & Carib.	200	300	130	201	266	2.6	1.9	28	33	13	9
Middle East & N. Africa	91	156		89	140	2.9	3.0	24	26	14	5
South Asia	508	749	389	546	716	2.0	1.8	34	33	23	17
Sub-Saharan Africa	196	312	171	263	379	2.5	2.4	42	42	35	30
High income	528	616	372	440	466	1.0	0.4	38	43	0	0
	••••••••••••••••••			•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	•••••

The labor force is the supply of labor in an economy. It includes people who are currently employed and people who are unemployed but seeking work. Not everyone who works is included, however. Unpaid workers, family workers, and students are usually omitted, and in some countries members of the military are also not counted. The size of the labor force tends to vary during the year as seasonal workers enter and leave the labor force.

Data on the labor force are compiled by the International Labour Organization (ILO) from census or labor force surveys. Despite the ILO's efforts to encourage the use of international standards, labor force data are not fully comparable because of differences among countries, and sometimes within countries, in definitions and methods of collection, classification, and tabulation. In some countries data on the labor force refer to people above a specific age, while in others there is no specific age provision. The reference period of the census or survey is another important source of differences: in some countries data refer to a person's status on the day of the census or survey or during a specific period before the inquiry date, while in others the data are recorded without reference to any period. In developing countries, where the household is often the basic unit of production and all members contribute to output, but some at low intensity or irregular intervals, the estimated labor force may significantly underestimate the numbers actually working (ILO 1990a, Yearbook of Labour Statistics 1996).

The population age 15–64 is often used to provide a rough estimate of the potential labor force. But in many developing countries children under 15 work full or part time. And in some high-income countries many workers postpone retirement past age 65. As a result labor force participation rates may systematically over- or underestimate actual rates.

The labor force estimates in the table were calculated by applying gender-specific activity rates from the ILO database to create a labor force series consistent with the World Bank's population estimates. This procedure sometimes results in estimates of the absolute size of the labor force that differ slightly from those published in the ILO's Yearbook of Labour Statistics.

Estimates of women in the labor force are not comparable internationally because in many countries large numbers of women assist on farms or in other family enterprises without pay, and countries differ in the criteria used to determine the extent to which such workers are to be counted as part of the labor force.

Reliable estimates of child labor are hard to obtain. In many countries child labor is officially presumed not to exist, and so is not included in surveys or covered in official data. Data are also subject to underreporting because they do not include children engaged in agricultural or household activities with their families. Definitions

• Population age 15-64 is the number of people who could potentially be economically active, excluding children. Total labor force comprises people who meet the ILO definition of the economically active population: all people who supply labor for the production of goods and services during a specified period. It includes both the employed and the unemployed. While national practices vary in the treatment of such groups as the armed forces and seasonal or part-time workers, in general the labor force includes the armed forces, the unemployed, and first-time job-seekers, but excludes homemakers and other unpaid caregivers and workers in the informal sector. . Average annual growth rate of the labor force is calculated using the exponential end-point method (see Statistical methods for more information). . Females as a percentage of the labor force shows the extent to which women are active in the labor force. • Children 10-14 in the labor force is the share of that age group that is active in the labor force.

2.3

Data sources



Population estimates are from the World Bank's population database. Labor force activity rates are from the ILO database, Estimates and Projections of the Economically Active Population, 1950–2010. The ILO publishes estimates of the economically

active population in its Yearbook of Labour Statistics.



Source: ILO and World Bank estimates.

Figure 2.3a

Child labor is a poverty issue. Children who work rather than attend school cannot fully develop their skills. And premature and extensive engagement in work can damage a child's health and social development, leading to lower earning power and reduced productivity over the longer term. Thus the cycle of poverty continues.

The incidence of child labor declines as per capita income rises. In countries where annual per capita income is \$500 or less, the proportion of children age 10-14 who work is extremely high, at 30-50 percent (see table). But the rate fails to 10-30 percent in countries with annual incomes between \$500 and \$1,000. Many factors affect the prevalence of child labor, including culture and the structure of production. For instance, child labor tends to be more common in countries where agriculture accounts for a large share of GDP.



2.4 Employment by occupation

	Employers and own-account workers					Empl	oyees		C	ontributing	family worl	kers
	N	Aaie	Fe	male	N	lale	Fe	male	M	ale	Fer	male
	%	6 of	9	6 of	%	6 of	9	6 of	%	of	%	of
	economi male p	cally active opulation	economi female	cally active population	economi male p	cally active opulation	economi female	cally active population	economic male po	ally active	economic female p	cally active opulation
	1980	1994	1980	1994	1980	1994	1980	1994	1980	1994	1980	1994
Albania										• •		
Algeria	··· ··· ·		• • ••	•••		· · ·	•	• •	· · ·			•
Angola	•	· · · ·	•••	· · · · ·	· ·· · ·	· · · · ·	• •	· · ·	•		•	• •
Argentina			· · · ·		··· · · · ·	· · ·	· · ·		· · ·	· ·		
Armenia	· · · · · ·		··· ·· ·		· · · · · ·		•• •		· ·	· ·	· ·	
Australia	15.8	16.6	11.2	10.5	78.0	73.2	79.1	79.4	0.3	0.7	0.5	1.3
Austria	15.6	10.9	19.1	8.0	84.4	87.7	80.9	86.9	1.4	1.4	9.1	5.1
Azerbaijan		••	· ·· · ·		· ·· · ·	••	· · ·	• •		•		· · ·
Bangladesh		39.2		6.4	· · · · · ·	15.6		5.2		22.3		83.3
Belarus	· ··· ··	· ·· · · · ·	· · · ·	· ·· · · ·	· ··· · ··	· ·· · ·	• •	· ·	· · ·			
Belgium	14.0	16.1	8.1	8.2	79.5	74.6	70.2	69.5	0.8	0.9	6.9	7.0
Benin	•••	•••	••	••		••	•••	• • •		•		
Bolivia		31.2	·····	42.0	• • • • • • •	59.3	• • •	43.6	•	3.9		8.4
Bosnia and Herzegovina	•••			•••				•••	· · ·	· ·	·	
Botswana	••••	••		·····		•••	· · ·	•	· · · · ·			
Brazil		28.7	•••	21.9		61.2	· ·	64.4		6.4	·	10.2
Bulgaria		•••							· · ·	•••		
Burkina Faso		• • •		•••				·			• ••	•••
Burundi												
Cambodia										•••		
Cameroon	61.2		58.7		21.3		3.5		9.2		32.7	
Canada	10.1	11.1	6.4	7.7	89.3	87.9	90.7	90.3	0.3	0.2	1.9	0.9
Central African Republic					· · · · ·							
Chad												
Chile	24.4	29.3	16.5	20.4	46.1	63.0	53.8	67.8	9.3	2.3	11.4	5.0
China						•			•• .	. ••		
Hong Kong, China	12.6	14.5	4.1	3.2	83.0	83.4	88.5	92.9	0.6	0.2	3.8	2.0
Colombia	•:	32.9	•.	24.1		66.3		62.2		0.6		2.2
Congo, Dem. Rep.	:			••				. ••	·· .			•• .
Congo, Rep.						•			. ••	. •:		•• .
Costa Rica	22.6	26.1	10.9	19.1		70.3	82.8	. 76.4	5.0	<u>3.2</u>	3.2	3.5
Côte d'Ivoire	:.		::		" .	 .		••				
Croatia		** .		. ••				:		, ••,		
Cuba			. •• .				•.		••	••		••
Czech Republic	••.				**.		, 			. :		. •• .
Denmark	17.2	12.7	. 3.0	3.2	81.1	. 86.8	89.1	. 93.1	0.1	0.2	. 5.5	3.4
Dominican Republic	·	· · ·		**	:: .	** .	: .	. ••	· · *		•••.	•• .
Ecuador						· · · · · · ·						
Egypt, Arab Rep.	29.7	28.6	12.0	. 12.2	52.8	. 54.7	66.0	35.0	13.6	10.2	2.7	35.8
El Salvador	24.5	30.6	35.3	. 38.1	62.1	. 54.5	53.6	. 40.6	12.6	11.6	. (. (8.9
Entrea	· . :•	· • ·		· ·· ·· ··	· ·· ·· ·· ··	· · ···*··	:*				· · ·	
Estorila	** .	**	· · · · · ·	•	·····	· · · · · ·	**	. :	•• •	"		·* .
Europia		16.0		. , :								
France	11.2	10.2	. 9.0		65.3	19.9	00.3	. 07.0	·	.0.0	, 2.4	0.4
Caban	:	. : .		· · · · ·		" .	· · · ·	· · ·				• • •
Cambia The		· • • •			· · · · ·**	. ".	· • ·			••		
Georgia			* .		· · · · · · · · ·							
Germany	:	:: .	. •	· ·· ·* · ·	· · · · ·	· · ·	. **.	· · · ·		••	••	
Ghana		. :	· · · · ·	. ••.		· · · · ·	· · · ·	· · ·				
Greece	 		187	173		51 7	 41 A	51 2	. :' 	 1 1	34.2	22 4
Guatemala	34.5	23.6	49.9	26.4	52.0	72.6	40.4	68.0	11.5	3.4	7.6	4.7
Guinea			,0.0									
Guinea-Bissau	· · · · ·		· · ·· [•] ·	· · · · ·		· · · ·	"		. "			
Haiti	61.1	60.7	56.9	56.7	15.5	15.4	18.2	18.3	11.0	10.9	9.8	9.7
Honduras	· ·· ·		•••	•••	· · · · ·				•••			

	Employers and own-account workers					Empl	oyees		Co	ntributing	family worl	(ers
	M % economic male pi 1980	ale of cally active opulation 1994	Fer % economia female p 1980	male of cally active population 1994	Ma % economic male po 1980	ale of ally active opulation 1994	Fei % economi female 1980	male 6 of cally active population 1994	Ma % economica male po 1980	ale of ally active pulation 1994	Fer % economic female p 1980	nale of ally active opulation 1994
Hungary	2.9	13.5	1.4	8.1	80.6	85.3	78.9	88.3	0.3	1.2	5.7	3.6
India	יו			••		·	···· ····				···· ···· · *····	
Indonesia	21.3	52.2	19.4	28.7	63.3	31.5	37.1	24.0	12.6	14.1	39.9	44.7
Iran, Islamic Rep.	•••••	··· ··· · · · · · ·	· ·· ···*··		· · • ·		•••••			·· ·····		····· ····
Ireland	23.5	23.6	6.3		68.7				2.2	1.2	4.7	1.7
Israel	23.7	19.0	11.6	8.7	71.2	74.4	78.1	79.9	0.9	0.3	4.3	1.5
Italy	24.4	25.9	13.9	13.8	68.5	62.3	63.5	63.7	2.4	2.5	9.7	6.5
Jamaica	·· ·· ·· ·· ···							*****	······	•••••	·····	
Japan	19.0	14.1	13.4	8.9	75.5	81.0	62.0	75.5	3.2	1.8	22.5	12.4
Jordan	 .			::	*:		::	···· ····················				
Kazakhstan	:•	···· ····	!! .	··· ··· · · · ··· ··	·		···· ··· · ·		····· ·· ··	·····	<i>!!</i>	···· ··· · ·
Kenya	"	"	* :	::		· · ··· *		"	······ ·· ···	··· · ··		
Korea, Dem. Rep.				181		61 2		56 3			36.1	
Kuwait	38.0			10.4	43.0	01.2			0.0	2.0		23.0
Kyrgyz Republic	•••	•••	•••		••	•••	••••	•••	•••	•••		····· ··· ···
Lao PDR	••••		•••••	••		••••			••	••		•••
Latvia												·· ···· ··
Lebanon					.		····· ··		::	·····		
Lesotho		•						 •	.::	••		
Libya		::	**.	:•							<i>t</i> t	
Litnuania Macadania EVP	··· ···· · : ·			"					::			
Madagascar							······································		· ··· ············			
Malawi		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	· ·· ·····	··· ··· ·· ·· ···	······································	····· ··	····· ·· ·····	· ···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••		· ···········	···· ···· ····
Malaysia	•••	25.0	••	13.7	•••	71.4	•••	71.5	••	3.6	••	14.8
Mali				·····	···· ···· ···· ··· ···	····· ·· ·· ·	••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Mauritania					· ••							
Mauritius			••				···· ··· : *····		.::			
Mexico		33.2		23.1	tt	52.5	····· ·· : *····	56.6	· ····································	12.0		17.1
Moldova				•••••••					· · ······ * ` . ··			••••
Morocco	···· ·· ·· ·	•••••••	<i></i> ••.		•••••	•••••	···· · . :: ·	· ··· ····	••••			•••••
Mozambique			· ··· · ····	······	· ·· ··· ··· ··· ···	•••		· ····································		•••		
Myanmar	···· ··· ·	 . .	···· ··· ···	••	•••	••	••	•••	•••	••	••	••
Namibia	· ·· ·· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	··············		·····		······································	·····			·····	••••
Nepal					*:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Netherlands	11.8	12.0	4.9	7.5	81.6	81.7	78.7	82.0	0.4	0,4	5.4	2.4
New Zealand	· · · · · · · ·	66.3				23.1		12.0	: • .	0.6		1.5
Niger	•••••	····· •••		······	••••••		• • • • • •	···· ·······	··· ·· ·······························			
Nigeria	51.6	!!	65.3					· ···· ··· ··· ···				
Norway	13.6	11.0	4.2	4.8	83.5	82.1	87.6	89.1	1.4	0.7	5.5	1.2
Oman		··· ··· · ·	· ·· ·· ··		••		••		• ••	••		
Pakistan	·····	45.8		13.0		34.0		22.6		15.6		47.6
Panama	33.6	33.9	12.1	11.2	57.7	58.5	78.8	80.1	6.2	4.4	2.7	2.0
Papua New Guinea					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·····		····· ····			
Paraguay	"	33.3	••••••	34.8		64.2		60.4	::	2.1		4.2
Philippines		33.1	217	30.1		02.4		44.2		3.5		10.2
Poland		24.6		18.8	40.0	67.9		69.7		4.7	<u> 20.0</u>	19.3 7.5
Portugal	20.9	24.6	8.5	21.2	71.7	73.3	58.5	75.3	4.6	1.4	25.2	2.3
Puerto Rico	17.9	19.2	4.4	5.9	80.2	79.7	91.1	90.9	0.5	0.3	2.4	1.3
Romania												
Russian Federation	"		::		··· ····		···· ·····				···· ····	······



	Em	nployers an wor	d own-acc kers	ount		Empl	oyees		Co	ontributing	family work	(ers
	A economi male p	Male % of ically active population	Fer % economic female p	male of cally active population	Ma % economica male po	ale of ally active pulation	Fe % economi female p	male 6 of caily active population	Mi % economic male po	ale of ally active pulation	Fen % economic female p	nale of ally active opulation
	1980	1994	1980	1994	1980	1994	1980	1994	1980	1994	1980	1994
Rwanda	•••		•••			•••	•••	•••	· · · ·			• ••
Saudi Arabia	••••		•• ••	••	••						·	
Senegal	· ·· ·	· ·· ·	•••	••		•••	• • • •		•••		•	
Sierra Leone				•••		••				•••		
Singapore	15.9	17.2	5.1	5.1	79.6	80.0	87.4	90.3	1.7	0.2	4.0	1.8
Slovak Republic	· · · · ·	87.9	· ·· ··· ·	92.5		8.3	•••	2.6		0.1		0.2
Slovenia		· ·· ·	· ·· ··						··· · · ·			
South Africa		••	• • • •			••	••	•••		· · · · ·		•••
Spain	21.7	20.1	14.1	12.0	71.8	72.9	60.7	67.8	3.2	2.2	17.5	6.0
Sri Lanka	28.2	29.1	11.8	14.9	54.7	56.4	53.7	51.8	6.4	4.6	13.6	12.0
Sudan		•••	•• ••	•••		••			· · · · ·		· · ·	
Sweden	10.1	13.8	3.9	5.3	88.0	76.6	92.9	87.4	0.2	0.4	0.9	0.5
Switzerland		15.4	•••	9.4		83.3		85.7		1.3	• • •	4.9
Syrian Arab Republic	37.1	36.5	9.9	5.7	56.0	50.1	48.1	45.7	5.3	8,3	37.1	34.5
Tajikistan		•••	•••	••			• • • •		· · ·			•••
Tanzania		••	•••					••	•••	•••		
Thailand	43.5	38.1	17.3	22.6	25.9	44.2	16.9	35.4	29.6	11.5	65.1	29.4
Тодо				••								
Trinidad and Tobago	14.6	21.5	9.7	12.8	81.3	75.2	80.8	81.1	2.8	1.7	6.4	5.4
Tunisia							•••					
Turkey		36.0		8.6		49.2		24.1		12.1		62.9
Turkmenistan						••						
Uganda								••••				
Ukraine			•••									
United Arab Emirates						יי						
United Kingdom		14.9		6.5		71.0		84.1		0.3		0.9
United States	10.2	9.8	5.1	6.6	88.9	89.7	92.7	92.6	0.3	0.1	1.2	0.2
Uruguay		26.4		19.4		70.8	. .	73.8		1.1		3.5
Uzbekistan							 .		••.		••	
Venezuela	29.9	37.1	17.3	25.1	60.2	53.0	74.4	65.0	3.0	1.6	3.3	1.1
Vietnam												
West Bank and Gaza					· · · · · · · ·		•:					
Yemen, Rep.			· ···· ···		·····		·· ··					· ·. ••
Yugoslavia, FR (Serb./Mont.)	**											
Zambia											•• .	
Zimbabwe										;		,

This table shows the distribution of employment classified by occupational status according to the International Classification of Status in Employment (ICSE). ICSE classifications are based on the explicit or implicit employment contract workers have with other people or organizations. The basic criteria for defining classification groups are the type of economic risk and the type of authority over establishments and other workers that the job incumbent has or will have. Until 1993 the main ICSE groups were employers, own-account workers, employees, members of producers cooperatives, and unpaid family workers. In 1993 the group unpaid family workers was changed to contributing family workers and the group own-account workers was expanded to include people working in a family enterprise with the same degree of commitment as the head of the enterprise. These people, usually women, were formerly considered unpaid family workers

Data on employment are drawn from labor force surveys, enterprise censuses and surveys, administrative records of social insurance schemes, and official national estimates. The concept of employment generally refers to people above a certain age who worked or who held a job during a reference period. Shares of occupational employment in the labor force are calculated using the International Labour Organization's (ILO) labor force estimates, which may differ from those based on the World Bank's population estimates as shown in table 2.3. Occupational categories should add up to 100 percent. Where they do not, the difference arises from people who are not classifiable by status.

Employment data include both full-time and parttime workers. There are, however, many differences in how countries define and measure employment status, particularly for part-time workers, students, members of the armed forces, and household workers. Because of these differences, the content of ICSE groups is not easily comparable across countries (ILO. Yearbook of Labour Statistics 1996, p. 64). In most countries managers and directors of incorporated enterprises are classified as employees, but in some they are classified as employers. Similarly, in most countries family members who receive regular remuneration in the form of wages, salaries, commissions, piece rates, or in-kind payments are classified as employees, but in some they are classified as contributing family workers. Some countries cannot accurately measure the number of contributing family workers. And many cannot distinguish between ownaccount workers and employers, so only the sum of the two groups is available.

Countries also take very different approaches to the treatment of unemployed people. In most countries unemployed people with previous job experience are classified according to their last job. In some countries, however, they and people seeking their first job are classified as persons not classifiable by status, and so are not included in the table.

Definitions

· Employers operate, alone or with one or more partners, their own economic enterprise, or engage independently in a profession or trade, and hire one or more employees on a continuous basis. The definition of "a continuous basis" is determined by national circumstances. Partners may or may not be members of the same family or household. . Ownaccount workers operate, alone or with one or more partners, their own economic enterprise, or engage independently in a profession or trade, and hire no employees on a continuous basis. As with employers, partners may or may not be members of the same family or household. . Employees are people who work for a public or private employer and receive remuneration in the form of wages, salaries, commissions, tips, piece rates, or in-kind payments. • Contributing family workers (previously referred to as unpaid family workers) work without pay in an economic enterprise operated by a related person living in the same household and cannot be regarded as a partner because their commitment in terms of working time or other factors is not at a level comparable to that of the head of the enterprise. In countries where it is customary for young people to work without pay in an enterprise operated by a related person, the requirement of living in the same household is often eliminated.

Data sources



Employment data are compiled by the World Bank's Development Data Group using an ILO database corresponding to table 2a in its Yearbook of Labour Statistics.



2.5 Employment by economic activity

	Agriculture				Inc	lustry			Serv	ices		
	econom	Male % of mically active	Fer % economic	nale of ally active	Ma % economica	ale of ally active	Fer % economic	nale of ally active	M % economic	ale of ally active	Fer % economic	nale of cally active
	male 1980	population 1994	temale p	opulation 1994	male po	pulation 1994	female p	opulation 1994	male po	pulation	female p	opulation
	1000	1004	1000	1004	1300	1004			. 1000	1004	1580	1994
Albania	54		62	60	. 28	26	. 1/	. 19	18	23	21	20
Algeria	. 27		69		33	38	.6	.(40	44	25	36
Angola	. 67		8(. 86	. 13	.14	. 1.	2	20	21	11	13
Argentina	. 1/ .		3		40	39	.18	17	44.	. 46 .	79	80
Armenia	. 21		21	11		4 (. 38	39	31	29	41	51
Austrana	/.		4			. 32	. 15	11	44	. 58	67	80
Austria			13		. 51	. 48	. 24	19	. 41	45	62	(2
Azerbaijan		21				. 35	. 20	. 21	30	38	38 ~	. 43
Bangladesn	67.			/4	5 .	. 14 .	14 .	. 19	29	.20	. 5	51
Belarus	29		23		. 44	45 .	. 33	30	28	29	44	51
Beigium	. 4		4	· · · · · · · ·	. 40	. 34	15	11	. 50	54	68	/2
Benin	. 66				10	. 12	.4	4	24	27	27	. 30
Bolivia	. 53		53	45	. 21		11.	10	26	. 30	36	. 45
Bosnia and Herzegovina	. 26		.3/	16	. 45	. 54	24	. 37	.30	31	39	48
Botswana	. 53		. (4		. 18	30	. 2	9.	28	31	24	. 36
Brazii	. 41 .	. 28	. 20	14 .	28 .	28	14 .	13	. 31	43	60	74
Bulgaria		" .	22 .	13					: -			
Burkina Faso	. 92			94 .		4	. .		5	. 10	c 4	5.
Burunai			. 98		4 .	. 4.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		9	. 10	1.4	. 1
Cambodia					(/	. (.	. 0	23	. 24 .	14	14
Cameroon		02		03		. 12	. 2	11	. 23	. 20	. 11	.14 .
Cantral African Beaublia					34	.32	14.	11	5Z. 15	03	14	85.
Chod			. 90	0/ .			1	. 1	10	20	9	
Chilo	. ° <u></u> ∠ .	//	95 	91		(1.1	52	10	70	0. 74
Chine	. 20	20 .			20 .	. 30	. 13 .	14	52 1.4	40	10	(4 11
Hong Kong China					. 10	1/ .	_ 1 <u>2</u>	. 13	.14	. 14	12	11
Colombia	····. ± ·	······	· . ⁺ ·		40 .	. 39		. 33	. 52	.00	40	75
Conso Dom Bon	. .		94	 . Q1		30	^{**} л	24 . 5		23	40	10
Congo, Deni, Rep.			. 94			20	· .+	Л	20	2.5	17	.14
Congo, Rep.			01	. 09	. 20	. 23	. 2	4 26	. 30	20	17	21
	43			72	10	2/ 11	. 20	. 20	20	. 39	20	22
Croatia				/ 2					30.	34 45	20 45	22 . 57
Cuba	30		10	<u>+.</u>	32	36		20	30	11	68	71
Czech Pepublic	14		11		.32 .		.22	. 21 .	.35	41. 33	45	71. 55
Denmark						37	. 44	.50	15	55	~5 76	90 81
Dominican Republic				⁹ . 	7.: . 26	. 32	±7 .	23	. 44	. 38	73	68
Ecuador	 44	39	1.1 .		2,0 . 	20	15	. 16	34	41	63	68
Found Arab Ren		32	·	10 .	20	23	10	. 10	32	38	56	31
Fl Salvador			8		20	22		19	. 24	29	73	74
Fritrea	79	77		85	7		2	2	14	16	11	13
Fstonia	19		12	11	50	48		34	31	34	52	55
Ethiopia	90				2	2	2	2	. 8	11	10	12
Finland	12	10		5	42	38	21	14	38	49	64	76
France		6			43	38	22	17	48	56	71	78
Gabon	59	46	74	59	18	21		10	24		21	32
Gambia. The	77		93		10		2	3	13	14	5	6
Georgia	31	27	34	24	32	38	21	23	37	34	45	52
Germany	. . 6				54	48	33	24	40	48	59	72
Ghana	66	64	57	55	12	12	14	14	22	25	29	31
Greece	25	19	39	23		32	 18	17	40	47	39	51
Guatemala	64	64	17	16	17	16	27	23	19	21	56	61
Guinea	86	83	96		2	2	 1	1	12	15	З	7
Guinea-Bissau	81	78	98	96	3	3	0	0	17	19	2	3
Haiti	79 [.]	76	61	57		9	8		13	15	31	35
Honduras	63	48	40	25	17	23	9	. 12	20	30	51	64

2.5

Ĺ

	Agriculture				Industry				Services			
	Ma % economic mala pa	ale of ally active	Fer % economic formalia r	nale of cally active	M % economic	ale of cally active	Fen % economic formale p	nale of cally active	Ma % economica	ale of ally active	Fer % economic	nale of cally active
	1980	1994	1980	1994	1980	1994	1980	1994	1980	1994	1980	1994
Hundary		10	15			12	28	30	30	30	л т	 57
India	 €2		53 TD			42		JZ 15	<u></u> ວາ	39 01	4/	
Indepecie	50 50	59			10	11	40	10		24		24
Iron Jolomia Bon	28	20		72	<u>+</u>	26	<u>+</u> 2		29	31	12	10
Iraa, Islamic Rep.		10	0 <u>2</u>	20		20 10	D		50		1 <u>4</u>	10
Ireland	21					19				20	<u> </u>	
lerool		10		ວ 		20 27	!! 1 6	15		59		77
Italy	······································			·····		25		19		40	<u>(</u> / ла	
lamaioa	42 12	21	<u>+</u> 44 1 Q			22		12		49	72	72
Jamaica	42 8					20	ອື ວອ		50	53		
Japan		10	<u>19</u> 58			28	<u>20</u>			63	30	55
Kozakheton		····· <u>10</u> ····		15	<u>-</u> 1 20	20			24	25	55	
Kopya			<u>2</u> V		10			····· ²		11	10	12
Korea Dem Pen			52 52	63 	37		····· `			<u>14</u> 	<u>10</u>	25
Korea Pen		13		17	30	37	20		25	<u>~</u> ' <i>NT</i>	20	56
Kuwait		····		·····. ^	36	32	<u>2</u> 5 າ	····· 2 0		4 7 67	07	00
Kyrgyz Republic					34	30	/	.	30	31		50
	77	76	82	20 Q1			2.3	<u>25</u>	16			11
Latvia		10	02	12			35		50 TO	31	50	<u>14</u>
Labanon			·····	<u>+</u> 2 10		31	21		52	50	50	68
Lesotho		29	64	<u>+</u>	52	л1 Л1	 5	<u>44</u> 5		30	31	36
Libva	16		63	28			ັ ຈ		66 55		34	68
) ithuania	26		20		<u>23</u> 47	<u>21</u> 	30	31		30		53
Macedonia FYR	30	20	47			40	23	97 41	32		30	
Madagascar	72	70				10	·····20 2		19	20	5	a
Malawi			96	95	10		. 1			13		3
Malavsia		28	49		10	23	<u>+</u> 18		<u>44</u>	10	33	52
Mali		83			2	2		·····~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	12	15	7	9
Mauritania		49	79				·····	<u>+</u> A	· · · · · * * · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	35	19	34
Mauritius		18		14	28	40			45	42	45	35
Mexico	43	.35		<u>+</u> 7 12	30	25		20		40	53	69
Moldova	46	38	<u>+</u> 41	28	29	34	23	26	25	28	36	46
Mongolia	43	34	36		21	23		22		44	43	48
Morocco	48			63	23	28			29			
Mozambique	72	70				14		 1	14	15	2	
Myanmar		70	80				·····. * 7			19	. 12	
Namibia		46	64		22	21			27			
Nepal		91		98							2	2
Netherlands		5	2	2			12		50		. 74	 77
New Zealand		13			39		21		48			79
Nicaragua	49	38	16		26	28	21	23	26		63	69
Niger						6				10		1
Nigeria	52	42	57	44	10				38	49		
Norway	10	7	6		40			10	50	57	80	
Oman	52	48	25	20	21	22	33	35	27	30	42	45
Pakistan	56	45	73			20	12	13	29			
Panama	35	30	5		21	21	 11	10	38	46	76	80
Papua New Guinea	76	72	92	89	8		2		16	18	6	
Paraguay	58	51	9	8	20	23	<u>-</u> 22	20	23	26	70	72
Peru	45	41	25	<u>-</u> 22	20		 14		35			66
Philippines	61	54	37			16	<u>-</u> .: 16	14	25	29	<u>-</u> 47	56
Poland	28	27	32		46	45		25		28	40	47
Portugal	21	10	31		41	40		 24	32	49	37	62
Puerto Rico	8	6	0	<u>-</u>		29	26	22		27		78
Romania	26	21	45		50	53		40		26		32
Russian Federation	19	17	13	10	50	48	37	35	31	34	50	56
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·····	···· · · ·····	· ·····	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

1998 World Development Indicators 59



	Agriculture				1	Inc	lustry		Services				
	econom male 1980	Male % of hocally active population 1994	Female % of economically active female population 1980 1994		M: % economic male po 1980	ale of ally active ipulation 1994	Fen % economic female p 1980	nale of ally active opulation 1994	M % economic male po 1980	ale of cally active opulation 1994	Fer % economic female p 1980	male 5 of cally active copulation 1994	
Rwanda	88				5	6	1	1	7	8	1	2	
Saudi Arabia	45	20	25	12	17	21	5	6	39	59	70	82	
Senegal	74	70	90	86		10	2	4	17	20	. 8	11	
Sierra Leone	63	60	82	81	20	22	4	. 4	17	18	14	16	
Singapore	1	0	1	0	32	35	. 39	30	63	62	57	68	
Slovak Republic	15	14	13	9	37	35	34	31	48	50	54	60	
Slovenia	14	5	17	6	49	52	37	. 39	37	43	46	54	
South Africa	18	16	16	10	45	42	16	14	37	42	. 68	76	
Spain	18	10	16	7	42	39	21	14	36	46	56	65	
Sri Lanka	41	29	44	32	16	18	12	17	26	37	20	27	
Sudan	66	64	88	84		10	4	5	24	26	. 8	11	
Sweden	8	5	3	2	45	34	16	. 11	46	52	79	81	
Switzerland	• •	6		4		42		19		49		74	
Syrian Arab Republic	27	22	78	69	35	30	7	6	39	49	. 15	25	
Tajikistan	36	37	54	45	29	28	16	17	35	35	30	37	
Tanzania	80	78	92	91	7	8	2	2	13	14	7	7	
Thailand		42		38		26		19		26		31	
Togo	70	66	67	65	12	12	7	7	19	22	26	29	
Trinidad and Tobago	· · ·	13		5		38		19		49		77	
Tunisia	33	22	53	42	30	33	32	32	37	44	16	26	
Turkey	45	38	88	82	22	24	5	7	33	38	7	11	
Turkmenistan	33	34	46	41	32	30	16	14	35	36	38	44	
Uganda	84	81	91	88	6	7	2	2	10	12	8	10	
Ukraine	26	24	24	16	46	46	33	34	28	31	44	50	
United Arab Emirates	5	9	0	0	40	30	7	2	55	61	93	97	
United Kingdom	3	3	1	1	44	32	21	13	44	51	72	80	
United States	5	4	2	2	39	34	19	13	52	62	78	. 84	
Uruguay	22	21	4	4	31	31	23	21	47	48	74	75	
Uzbekistan	35	34	46	35	34	30	19	19	32	35	36	45	
Venezuela	19	19	3	2	32	25	19	13	48	48	76	76	
Vietnam	71	70	75	73	16	17	10	11	13	13	15	16	
West Bank and Gaza							· · ·		•				
Yemen, Rep.	60	50	98	88	19	22	1	6	21	29	1	7	
Yugoslavia, FR (Serb./Mont.)	34	28	47	32	35	38	19	26	31	34	33	41	
Zambia	69	68	85	83	13	13	3	3	19	19	13	14	
Zimbabwe	63	58	85	81	19	13	4	2	18	29	12	17	
World	50 w	48 w	56 w	52 w	24 w	23 w	15 w	15 w	26 w	29 w	28 w	32 w	
Low income	69	66	80	76	14	15	10	12	17	19	10	12	
		: -	- :,		<u>+</u> +	1.	-	:	-	20	1.		
Middle income							24	21	31		44	- 49	
Lower middle income	35		35		35		24	21	30		40	42	
Upper middle income		25	23		37		23	19		41	54	67	
Low & mindle income	= ;;	 			10		14	1	_ 1				
East Asia & Faulto		e.T			17	20	- · 1 -				-	. *	
Europe & Central Asia	25	23			45	43	31	30	30	33	42	48	
Latin America & Carib		29		<u></u> 12		28		16		42	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	71	
Middle Fast & N Africa	30	29	53			26	10			4.3	29		
South 215		1			1	1.5				. =			
Sub-Saharan Africa		 65	 80			 	4	4	20	23		21	
High income						35	23	18	47	56	66	75	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									

The International Labour Organization (ILO) classifies economic activity on the basis of the International Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC) of All Economic Activities. Because this classification is based on where work is performed (industry) rather than on the type of work performed (occupation), all of an enterprise's employees are classified under the same industry, regardless of their trade or occupation.

The ILO's Yearbook of Labour Statistics reports data by major divisions of the ISIC revision 2 or tabulation categories of the ISIC revision 3. In this table the reported divisions or categories are aggregated into three broad groups: agriculture, industry, and services. An increasing number of countries report economic activity according to the ISIC. Where data are supplied according to national classifications, however, industry definitions and descriptions may differ. Classification into broad groups also may obscure fundamental differences in countries' industrial patterns.

The distribution of economic activity by gender reveals some interesting patterns. Agriculture accounts for the largest share of female employment in much of Africa and Asia. Services account for much of the increase in women's economic participation in North Africa, Latin America and the Caribbean, and high-income economies. Worldwide, women are underrepresented in industry.

There are several explanations for the rising importance of service jobs for women. Many service jobs—such as nursing and social and clerical work—are considered "feminine" because of a perceived similarity with women's traditional roles. Moreover, women often do not receive training to take advantage of changing employment opportunities. Finally, the greater availability of part-time work in service jobs may lure more women, although it is not clear whether this is a cause or an effect (United Nations 1991). Figure 2.5a

Women's labor force participation depends on how work is defined



Although there are still significant differences between men's and women's work by sector, occupation, and type of work, women's overall labor lorce participation rates are moving croser to those of men. Women's labor force participation continues to be strongly influenced by gender differences in the definition or work in different countrics. This is particularly evident in the informal sector and in agriculture, where it is sometimes difficult to distinguish between women's housework and their unpaid work in a family enterprise or in agricultural production.

Female labor force participation and women's share of the work force tend to be large in countries where women's contributions to family agriculture are defined as work. This is particularly evident in Africa, where several countries report more than 90 percent of the remale work force in agriculture, resulting in high regional participation rates. In other countries, where the distinction between housework and a subsistence activity, —such as tending a home garden—is less cleak the proportion of women active in agriculture can be substantiative smaller than that of men. Thus women's work in agriculture and the innomal sector warrants special attention in closs country comparisons of women's share in the work force.

Definitions

Agriculture includes hunting, forestry, and fishing, corresponding to major division 1 (ISIC revision 2) or tabulation categories A and B (ISIC revision 3).
Industry includes mining and quarrying (including oil production), manufacturing, electricity, gas and water, and construction, corresponding to major divisions 2 through 5 (ISIC revision 2) or tabulation categories C through F (ISIC revision 3).
Services include wholesale and retail trade and restaurants and hotels; transport, storage, and communications; financing, insurance, real estate, and business services; and community, social, and personal services, corresponding to major divisions 6 through 9 (ISIC revision 2) or tabulation categories G through P (ISIC revision 3).

2.5

Data sources



Employment data are compiled by the World Bank's Development Data Group using an ILO database corresponding to table 2a in its *Yearbook of Labour Statistics*.

Ė



2.6 Unemployment

	Male unemployment				Female unemployment		Total unemployment			
	1980	% of male labor force 1990	1996	1980	% of female labor force 1990	1996	1980	% of total labor force 1990	1996	
Argentina	••	8.4	•••		10.4	•••	2.3	9.2	18.4	
Australia	5.1	6.7	8.7	7.9	7.2	8.3	6.1	6.9	8.5	
Austria	••	3.0	3,9		3.6	4.5		3.2	4.1	
Belgium		4.5	10,5		11.4	18.2		7.2	13.8	
Bolivia		6.9	3.7		7.8	4.5		7.3	4.2	
Brazil	4.2	3.8	••	4.4	3.4		4.3	3.7	4.6	
Bulgaria	••	••	14.1		•••	14.2		1.7	14.2	
Canada	••	8.1	9.8		8.1	9.4		8.1	9.7	
Chile	10.6	5.7		10.0	5.7	•••	10.4	5.6	6.4	
Costa Rica	5.3	4.2	••	7.8	5.9		5.9	4.6	· · · · ·	
Czech Republic	••	••	3.5	••		4.1		0.3	3.1	
Denmark	••	••	7,8	•••	••	9.9			8.8	
Dominican Republic	••	12.5	10.2		33.1	28.7	··· · · ·· ··	19.7	16.7	
Finland	4.7	4.0	15.8	4.7	2.8	16.5	4.7	3.4	16.1	
France	4.3	6.7		9.5	11.7		6.4	8.9	12.4	
Germany	••	6.0	8.1		8.8	10.2		7.2	9.0	
Greece	3.3	4.3		5.7	11.7		4.0	7.0		
Hungary			10.7	·····		7.6		0.8	11.0	
Ireland		12.5	11.9		13.8	11.9		12.9	11.9	
Israel	4.1	8.4		6.0	11.3		4.8	9.6		
Italy	4.8	7.3	9.3	13.1	17.1	16.7	7.6	11.0	12.0	
Jamaica	16.3	9.3		39.6	23.1		27.3	15.7		
Japan	2.0	2.0	3.3	2.0	2.2	3.4	2.0	2.1	3.4	
Korea, Rep.		2.9	2.3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.8	1.6		2.4	2.0	
Netherlands	6.3	5.4	7.0	13.4	10.7	8.3	7.9	7.5	7.6	
New Zealand		8.1	6.1		7.2	6.1		7.8	6.1	
Nicaragua		9.0			15.4			11.1		
Norway	1.3	5.6	4.9	2.3	4.8	4.9	1.7	5.2	4.9	
Panama		12.8	11.0	••••	22.6	19.4		16.1	13.9	
Paraguay	3.8	6.6		4.8	6.5		4.1	6.6		
Philippines	3.2	7.1	••	7.5	9.8	沈	4.8	8.1		
Poland			9.8			13.7	•• .	6.1	14.0	
Portugal	4.1	3.2	6.4	13.0	6.6	8.2	7.8	4.7	7.2	
Puerto Rico	19.5	16.2		12.3	10.7	"	17.1	14.1	÷	
Romania	:		6.3			7.4	••	3.0	6.3	
Russian Federation	••		9.6		., .,	9.0			9.3	
Singapore	::	1.9	2.9		1.3	3.1		1.7	3.0	
Slovak Republic		· · · · · · · · · · ·	10.0	::		11.9		0.6	13.0	
Spain	10.8	12.0	17.3	12.8	24.2	29.4	11.4	16.3	21.9	
Sweden	1.7	1.7	8,5	2.3	1.6	7.5	. 2.0	1.6	8.0	
Switzerland			4.4			5.1			4.7	
Trinidad and Tobago	8.0	17.8		14.0	24.2		10.0	20.0	.	
United Kingdom	.	6.9	9.2		6.5	6.4		6.7	8.0	
United States	6.8	5.7	5.3	7.4	5.5	5.4	7.0	5.6	5.4	
Venezuela	::	10.9		::		. 9.8	5.9	10.4	. 8.7	

The International Labour Organization (ILO) defines the unemployed as members of the economically active population who are without work but available for and seeking work, including people who have lost their jobs and those who have voluntarily left work. Some unemployment is unavoidable in all economies. At any time some workers are temporarily unemployed—between jobs as employers look for the right workers and workers search for better jobs. Such unemployment, often called frictional unemployment, results from the normal operation of labor markets. Changes in unemployment over time may reflect changes in the demand for and supply of labor, but they may also reflect changes in reporting practices. High and sustained unemployment, however. indicates serious inefficiencies in the allocation of resources.

The ILO definition of unemployment notwithstanding, reference periods and criteria for seeking work vary across countries in their treatment of people temporarily laid off and those seeking work for the first time. In many developing countries it is especially difficult to measure employment and unemployment in agriculture. The timing of a survey, for example, can maximize the seasonal effects of agricultural unemployment. And informal sector employment is difficult to quantify in the absence of regulation for registering and tracking such activities.

Data on unemployment are drawn from labor force sample surveys, employment office statistics, and administrative records of social insurance programs. Labor force surveys generally yield the most comprehensive data because they include groups-particularly people seeking work for the first time---not covered in other unemployment statistics. In addition, the quality and completeness of data obtained from social insurance programs and employment offices vary widely. The most common exclusion from these sources is discouraged workers who have given up their job search because they believe that no employment opportunities exist or do not register as unemployed after their benefits have been exhausted. Thus measured unemployment may be higher in economies that offer more or longer unemployment benefits. Economies for which unemployment data are not consistently available or were deemed unreliable have been omitted from the table.

Definitions

• **Unemployment** is the share of the labor force that is without work but available for and seeking employment. Definitions of labor force and unemployment differ by country (see *About the data*).

ŕ

Data sources

Unemployment data are from an ILO database corresponding to table 3a in its *Yearbook of Labour Statistics*, the OECD's *Employment Outlook* (1997), and country statistical sources.

Figure 2.6a

Unemployment continues to be high in transition economies



Source: OECO dentie to: Cooperation - an Economies in Transmun Labour Marrer Ostatiase - North Early Bones Obstenges in Transmum Distances - OECO 1947

Growth in long-term unemployment has been one of the most troubling developments accompanying Central and Eastern Europe's transition from planned to market economies. Following an initial rise during 1989–93, unemployment growth in most countries has tapered off, and registered unemployment rates have stabilized or started to decline. But throughout the region, the long term unemployed—individuals who have been out of work lor more than a year—now make up the largest share of the unemployed. Although growth in long term unemployment is not a phenomenon unique to transition economies the situation in Central and Eastern Europe is serious because of gaps in social safety nets and a dearth of labor market programs targered to the needs of the long term unemployed.

The proportion of long term unemployed grew steadily between 1992 and 1995 in all countries in the region except. Croatia. In 1996 the share of long term unemployed began to decline slightly in many countries, but it continued to increase in the Czech Republic Hungary, and the Slovak Republic Long term unemployment in Central and Eastern Europe now resembles or even exceeds levels in Western Europe. In Bulgana long-term unemployment accounts for more than 60 percent of local unemployment—a nigher incidence than in Spain, which has expenenced chronically high long term unemployment. Rates approached or surpassed 40 percent in all countries except the Czech Republic where it reached 33 percent. In FYR Macedonia it was 81 percent.

Long-term unemployment in Central and Eastern Europe is linked to job transition patterns. Individuals are more likely to be hired out of the public sector into the private sector, or between firms, than from the ranks of the unemployed or right out of school. As a result there is inflie movement out of unemployment, and the pool of unemployed has become increasingly homogeneous. Recern World Bank poverty assessments for Hungary and Romania show that demographic characteristics of the unemployee—age, ethnicity, education—are crucial risk factors for poverty in the region.



National poverty line

International poverty line

	Survey	Population below the poverty line ay Rural Urban National - % % %		Population below the poverty line Survey Rural Urban National year % % %		Survey	Population below \$1 a day	Poverty gap at \$1 a day	Population below \$2 a day	Poverty gap at \$2 a day			
	year	%	%	%	year	%	%	%	yea:	%	%	%	%
Albania	1996			19.6		· · ·		· · .					
Algeria	1988	16.6	7.3	12.2	1995	30.3	14.7	22.6	1995	<2		17.6	4.4
Angola													
Argentina	1991			25.5									
Armenia	•								-		·		
Australia													
Austria													
Azerbaijan													
Bangladesh	1991-92	46.0	23.3	42.7	1995-96	39.8	14.3	35.6					
Belarus									1993	<2		6.4	0.8
Belgium			•.			· ·· .	÷						
Benin	1995		"	33.0									
Bolivia							.						·• .
Bosnia and Herzegovina				· ··									
Botswana			** .	•.		. :*	 .	••	198586	33.0	12.4	61.0	30.4
Brazil	1990	32.6	13.1	17.4					1995	23.6	10.7	43.5	22.4
Bulgaria						:			1992	2.6	0.8	23.5	6.0
Burkina Faso							 .			•:	•:		••
Burundi	1990			36.2		••.		. ••			••		
Cambodia		: .		• .			•• .	•• .					
Cameroon	1984	32.4	. 44.4	40.0						. ••			
Canada			*	# .		. ••.	::	. •• .		•• .	•• .		
Central African Republic			".	: .			••	. •• .					
Chad		:					" .						•• .
Chile	1992	· ··	*	21.6	1994		••.	20.5	1992	15.0	4.9	38.5	16.0
China	1994	11.8	. <2 .	8.4	1995	. 9.2	<2.	. 6.5	1995	. 22.2	6.9	57.8	24.1
Hong Kong, China		••						••
Colombia	1991	29.0	7.8	16.9	1992	31.2	8.0	17.7	1991	7.4	2.3	21.7	8.4
Congo, Dem. Rep.		**		** .							. **		••.
Congo, Rep.			?	• .		· ··.		· · · ·			. ••		••,
Costa Rica		: .				. •• <i>.</i>			1989	18.9 _.	7.2	43 8	19.4
Côte d'Ivoire									1988	17.7	4.3	54.8	20.4
Croatia		::	 .						-			•	
Cuba		":	· ·· ·· ·			** .		. :		••			
Czech Republic		 .	· · · ·	*. .		: .	••	:	1993	3.1	0.4	55.1	14.0
Denmark.				· · · ·	"								
Dominican Republic	1989	.27.4	23.3	24.5	1992	29.8	10.9	20.6	1989	19.9	6.0	47.7	20.2
Ecuador	1994	47.0	25.0	35.0	1995	::	. .		1994	30.4	9.1	65.8	29.6
Egypt, Arab Rep.		:				· · · · ·	:	· · ·	1990-91	1.6	1,1	51.9	15.3
El Salvador	1992	55.7	43.1	48.3		· · · · · ·		·		·· .			
Eritrea.		::	•.	· ·		· · · ·	· · "	. • .	1000				
Estonia	1994	14.7		8.9	· · · ·	·· ·* ·	· · · ··.	. :	1993	6.0	10.	32.5	40.7
Emiopia		"	· · ·"·			· •'' ·	".	· · ·	1901-02	46.0	. 12.4	89.0	42.7
Finiand		".		• ••			. ".	. :					
Cohen				· · **.		"	· · · •	· ···					
Cambio The	1000			64.0		:	· ·			.	• •••		••
Gampia, me	1995	.**	. .	04.0		· · · ··.		· •• ·					·· .
Germany		· · · ·		* .		· · · ·							•• •
Change	1000			* .		· •• ·					••	•	•• •
Grana	1992	34.3	20.1	, <u>5</u> 1.4		· ••••	· · · ··		· ·				•• •
Guatamala		"	· · · · ·	"				:	1020	 533	 28 5	76.8	47.6
Gunea		· · ···	· · · · ·	· · · ·		. :-	· · · · ·	· · "	1001	263	12.4	50.2	25.6
Guinea-Rissau	1001	60.9		48.8		. :	· · · ·		1991	88.2	59.5	96.7	76.6
Haiti	1987	00.0	· · · · · · · ·	65.0		"	· · · · · ·						
Honduras	1002	46.0	56.0	50.0	• •• •	· · ·			1992	46 9	20.4	75.7	41.9
							· · · · · · ·						



National poverty line

International poverty line

	Population below the poverty line Survev Rural Urban National					Population below the poverty line Survey Rural Urban Nationa				Population below	Poverty gap at	Population below	Poverty gap at
	Survey year	Rural %	Urban %	National %	Survey year	Rural %	Urban %	National %	Survey year	\$1 a day %	\$1. a day %	\$2 a day %	\$2 a day %
Lundory	1003			25.3			•• ••••		1003	···· ··· ··· ···	····· ··· ···	10.7	
India	1992		33.7	40.9	1994	36.7	30 5	35.0	1992	52.5	15.6	88.8	²
Indonesia	1987	16.4	20.1	17 4	1990	14 3	16.8	15.1	1005	11 8	1.8	58 7	10.3
Iran Islamic Ren	1307	10.4	20.1		1000	14.0	10.0		1990				13.3
Iran, Islamic Rep.		::			••••••••••••	• •• ••				· ·····	••••••	••••••	
Ireland					•• ••• •• •••		· ···· ···		••••••	······		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Israel		· · · · · · · · ·						· · ·· · ··	• • • • • • • • •		· ·· ·· ·		
Italy			··· ······ ···		••• ••••••			· ····································		• ••••	······································	···· ··· ··· ·· ····	
Jamaica	1992	· ··· ··· ···		34.2		•• ••• •••			1993	4.3	0.5	24.9	7.5
Japan		····· ···	• •• ••• •••	•••		•••	•••			••		······	
Jordan	1991	••	••	15.0	••••••	•••	 	•••	1992	2.5	0.5	23.5	6.3
Kazakhstan		••	•••		• •••• ••• ••• ••••	••	••	••	1993	<2	••••	12.1	2.5
Kenya	1992	46.4	29.3	42.0		••	•••	••	1992	50.2	22.2	78.1	44.4
Korea, Dem. Rep.		•••	···· ···· ·			•••	•••	•• •		••	•••	····· · ····	••
Korea, Rep.		••	••	••		••		••		••	••		
Kuwait				••			•••					••	
Kyrgyz Republic	1993	48.1	28.7	40.0		•••			1993	18.9	5.0	55.3	21.4
Lao PDR	1993	53.0	24.0	46.1				·····				.	
Latvia											•••••	·····	
Lebanon													·····
Lesotho	1993	53.9	27.8	49.2				::	1986-87	48.8	23.8	74.1	43.5
Libya			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	::				::					
Lithuania		.:						 .	1993	<2	·····	18.9	4.1
Macedonia, FYR				::		::		::.					
Madagascar		::			•••• •••	···· ···· · ·	•••••••	.	1993	72.3	33.2	93.2	59.6
Malawi	1990-91	····· · ··	••••••	54.0		::					•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Malaysia	1989			15.5		·······		····· ···· · ·	1989	5.6	0.9	26.6	8.5
Mali			**		• ••••••			·					
Mauritania	1990	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·····	57.0	•••• •• •••••••	······································	· ···· ···	···· ···:	1988	31.4	15.2	68.4	33.0
Mauriuus	1992			10.6					1002		······		
Meldova	1900	······ *:···	•••	10.1	•••••	······ · *····		••••	1002		10	40.0	10.9
Mondolia	1995		39.5	36.3		::	•••••	::	1992	0.0	1,2	30.0	9.1
Morocco	1984-85	32.6	173	26.0	1990-01	18.0		131	1000-01	······································	•••••	19.6	
Mozambique	1004-00			20.0	1330-31	10.0			1990-91			10.0	
Myanmar		·····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		••••••								
Namibia	••••••••										···· ··········		· ············
Nepal	1995-96	44.0	23.0	42.0			······································	••••••	1995	50.3	16.2	86.7	44.6
Netherlands			••	••	•••• •••	•••	•••	••			•••	•••	
New Zealand		•••••	••	•••	***	•••	••	•••		•••	•••	••••	•••
Nicaragua	1993	76.1	31.9	50.3		•••	••	••	1993	43.8	18.0	74.5	39,7
Niger			••	•		••			1992	61.5	22.2	92.0	51.8
Nigeria	1985	49.5	31.7	43.0	1992-93	36.4	30.4	34.1	1992–93	31.1	12.9	59.9	29.8
Norway			. .				···	 .				•••••	···· ··· ···
Oman				••.						····			····
Pakistan	1991	36.9	28.0	34.0					1991	11.6	2.6	57.0	18.6
Panama		::				.:: .		.	1989	25.6	12.6	46.2	24.5
Papua New Guinea													
Paraguay	1991	28.5	19.7	21.8			<u>.</u>	·		•••	📆		.
Peru	1986	64.0	45.0	52.0	1991	68.0	50.3	54.0			·····	•••••	
Philippines	1985	58.0	42.0	52.0	1991	71.0	39.0	54.0	1991	28.6	7.7	64.5	28.2
Polano	1993	·····•		23.8	····· ····			···· ·····	1993	6.8	4.7	15.1	7.7
Puorto Pigo		• ••••••		•••••		•••••	····· · : •···	··· ···· · ·	···· ···· ·····		·		
Pomenie	4004			···· ·· ·· ·	·····	····· .	····· ···· ?		4000				
Russian Fadoration	1004	21.9	20.4	21.5		··· ··· :	•••••	····· ·· ** ··	1995	1/./	4.2	10.9	24.7
Russian reueldiun	1994		:	50.9		······	·····		T993	<2		10.9	2.3

International poverty line

	Pop	oulation below poverty line	the	Population below the poverty line				Populatio		Poverty gap at	Population below	Poverty gap at
Survey	Rural	Urban	National	Survey	Rural	Urban	National	Survey	\$1 a day	\$1 a day	\$2 a day	\$2 a day
year	70	70	70	. year	70	70 	70 	year	Ϋ́c		75	. [%] 0
Rwanda 1993			51.2		••	. "	. ••	1983–85	45.7	11.3	88.7	42.3
Saudi Arabia	•:					••						
Senegal			:• .		••.			1991-92	54.0	25.5	79.6	47.2
Sierra Leone 1989	76.0	53.0	68.0		. :•	•• .						••
Singapore	:				. • .							•• .
Slovak Republic					:		. ••.	1992	12.8	2.2	85.1	27.5
Slovenia			••.			, .				. <i>.</i> .	••	
South Africa			+ .		••			1993	23.7	6.6	50.2	22.5
Spain					:							
Sri Lanka 1985–86	45.5	26.8	40.6	1990-91	38.1	28.4	35.3	, 1990	4.0	0.7	41.2	11.0
Sudan					· ••,							••
Sweden					. . .	· · · ·	:			•• ,		
Switzerland	•:			,			••					
Syrian Arab Republic	: .				. ••.		••					
Tajikistan		** .	••									•• .
Tanzania 1991	•:		51.1		•• .			1993	10.5	2.1	45.5	15.3
Thailand 1990			18.0	1992	15.5	10.2	13.1	1992	<2		23.5	5.4
Togo 1987-89			32.3			••.						••
Trinidad and Tobago 1992			21.0		••.	· · ·						
Tunisia 1985	29.2	12.0	19.9	1990	21.6	8.9	14.1	1990	3.9	0.9	22 7	6.8
Turkey												••.
Turkmenistan					. .		••	1993	4.9	0.5	25.8	7.6
Uganda 1993	••.		55.0		. :*	••	. •• .	1989-90	69.3	29.1	92.2	56.6
Ukraine 1995			31.7		· ··,.		·· .,					••
United Arab Emirates	,											
United Kingdom	. •• <i>,</i>	 .	••				. :					••
United States					, •• <i>,</i>							
Uruguay	,.											
Uzbekistan						:					. . .	
Venezuela 1989			31.3					1991	11.8	3.1	32.2	12.2
Vietnam 1993	57.2	25.9	50.9		:• .							
West Bank and Gaza		•• .				.:	,		. :•			••
Yemen, Rep. 1992	19.2	18.6	19.1						. •			
Yugoslavia, FR (Serb./Mont.)		" .	. •			. ••.	:*					
Zambia 1991	88.0	46.0	68.0	1993	., . ••,		86.0	1993	84.6	53.8	98.1	73.4
Zimbabwe 1990–91			25.5		. "		•• .	1990-91	41.0	14.3	68.2	35.5

International comparisons of poverty data entail both conceptual and practical problems. Different countries have different definitions of poverty, and consistent comparisons between countries can be difficult. Local poverty lines tend to have higher purchasing power in rich countries, where more generous standards are used than in poor countries.

Is it reasonable to treat two people with the same standard of living differently—in terms of their command over commodities—because one happens to live in a better-off country? Can we hold the real value of the poverty line constant between countries, just as we do when making comparisons over time?

Poverty measures based on an international poverty line attempt to do this. The commonly used \$1 a day standard, measured in 1985 international prices and adjusted to local currency using purchasing power parities, was chosen for the World Bank's World Development Report 1990: Poverty because it is typical of the poverty lines in low-income countries. Purchasing power parity (PPP) exchange rates, such as those from the Penn World Tables, are used because they take into account the local prices of goods and services that are not traded internationally. But PPP rates were designed not for making international poverty comparisons, but for comparing aggregates from national accounts. As a result there is no certainty that an international poverty line measures the same degree of need or deprivation across countries.

Just as there are problems in comparing a poverty measure for one country with that for another, there can also be problems in comparing poverty measures within countries. For example, the cost of living is typically higher in urban than in rural areas. (Food staples, for example, tend to be more expensive in urban areas.) So the urban monetary poverty line should be higher than the rural poverty line. But it is not always clear that the actual difference between urban and rural poverty lines found in practice properly reflects the difference in the cost of living. For some countries the urban poverty line in common use has a higher real value-meaning that it allows poor people to buy more commodities for consumption-than does the rural poverty line. Sometimes the difference has been so large as to imply that the incidence of poverty is greater in urban than in rural areas, even though the reverse is found when adjustments are made only for differences in the cost of living. As with international comparisons, when the real value of the poverty line varies, it is not clear how meaningful such urban-rural comparisons are.

The problems of making poverty comparisons do not end there. Further issues arise in measuring household living standards. The choice between income and consumption as a welfare indicator is one issue. Incomes are generally more difficult to measure accurately, and consumption accords better with the idea of the standard of living than does income, which can vary over time even if the standard of living does not. But consumption data are not always available, and when they are not there is little choice but to use income. There are still other problems. Household survey questionnaires can differ widely, for example, in the number of distinct categories of consumer goods they identify. Survey quality varies, and even similar surveys may not be strictly comparable.

Comparisons across countries at different levels of development also pose a potential problem, because of differences in the relative importance of consumption of nonmarket goods. The local market value of all consumption in kind (including consumption from own production, particularly important in underdeveloped rural economies) should be included in the measure of total consumption expenditure. Similarly, the imputed profit from production of nonmarket goods should be included in income. This is not always done, though such omissions were a far bigger problem in surveys before the 1980s. Most survey data now include valuations for consumption or income from own production. Nonetheless, valuation methods vary-for example, some surveys use the price at the nearest market, while others use the average farmgate selling price.

The international poverty measures shown here are based on the most recent PPP estimates from the latest version of the Penn World Tables (PWT_5.6). It should be noted, however, that any revisions in the PPP of a country to incorporate better price indexes can produce dramatically different poverty lines in local currency.

Whenever possible, consumption has been used as the welfare indicator for deciding who is poor. When only household income is available, average income has been adjusted to accord with either a survey-based estimate of mean consumption (when available) or an estimate based on consumption data from national accounts. This procedure adjusts only the mean, however; nothing can be done to correct for the difference in Lorenz (income distribution) curves between consumption and income.

Empirical Lorenz curves were weighted by household size, so they are based on percentiles of population, not households. In all cases the measures of poverty have been calculated from primary data sources (tabulations or household data) rather than existing estimates. Estimation from tabulations requires an interpolation method; the method chosen was Lorenz curves with flexible functional forms, which have proved reliable in past work.

Definitions

 Survey year is the year in which the underlying data were collected. • Rural poverty rate is the percentage of the rural population living below the national rural poverty line. · Urban poverty rate is the percentage of the urban population living below the national urban poverty line. · National poverty rate is the percentage of the population living below the poverty line deemed appropriate for the country by its authorities. National estimates are based on population-weighted subgroup estimates from household surveys. • Population below \$1 a day and \$2 a day are the percentages of the population living on less than \$1 a day and \$2 a day at 1985 international prices, adjusted for purchasing power parity. . Poverty gap is the mean shortfall below the poverty line (counting the nonpoor as having zero shortfall) expressed as a percentage of the poverty line. This measure reflects the depth of poverty as well as its incidence.

2.7

Data sources



Poverty measures are prepared by the World Bank's Development Research Group. National poverty lines are based on the Bank's country poverty assessments. International poverty lines are based on nationally representative pri-

mary household surveys conducted by national statistical offices or by private agencies under government or international agency supervision and obtained from government statistical offices and World Bank country departments.

The World Bank has prepared an annual review of poverty trends since 1993. The most recent is *Poverty Reduction and the World Bank: Progress in Fiscal 1996 and* 1997.



2.8 Distribution of income or consumption

	Survey year	Gini Index	Percentage share of income or consumption								
			Lowest 10%	Lowest 20%	Second 20%	Third 20%	Fourth 20%	Highest 20%	Highest 10%		
Albania		· · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · ·		· · · ·			• • • •	··.		
Algeria Angola	1995 ^{a. b}		. 2.8 .	7.0 .	. 11.6	16.1 	22.7	42.6 	26.8		
Argentina											
Armenia											
Australia	1989 ^{c, c}	33.7			12.2	16.6	23.3	40.9	24.8		
Austria	1987°, °	23.1			14.8	18.5	22.9	33.3	19.3		
Bangladesh	1992 ^{a, b}		· · · · 4.1	9.4	13.5	17.2	22.0		23.7		
Belarus	1993 ^{c, d}	21,6	4.9	11.1	15.3	18.5	22.2	32.9	19.4		
Belgium	1992 ^{c, d}	25.0	3.7	9.5	14.6	18.4	23.0	34.5	20.2		
Benin						· · · · · ·					
Bolivia	1990 ^{c, d}	42.0	2.3	5.6	. 9.7	14.5	22.0	. 48.2	31.7		
Bosnia and Herzegovina			:			. ••.					
Botswana	4005C d				. !!		477				
Buldaria	1995 ^{c, d}			2.5	12.0	9.9	17.7	64.2 20.2	. 47,9		
Burkina Faso	1992-1-				13.0	. 17.0	,22.3	. 39.3	24.1		
Burundi		· · · · · · · · · · · ·									
Cambodia			······································	· · · · · · · ·	· · · · · ·						
Cameroon		•••		•••			•••				
Canada	1994 ^{c, d}	31.5	2.8	7.5	12.9	17.2	23.0	39.3	23.8		
Central African Republic					÷				· · · .		
Chad							· <u>· "</u> · ·				
Chile	1994 ^{c, d}	56.5	1.4	3.5	6.6	10.9	18.1	. 61.0	46.1		
Unina Kong Chino	1995°, ч	41.5	2.2	5.5	9.8	14.9	22.3	. 47.5	. 30.9		
Colombia	1995°, d			31	. 68	10.9		61.5	46.9		
Congo, Dem. Rep.							÷	.01.0			
Congo, Rep.		••		•••				· · ·			
Costa Rica	1996 ^{c, d}	47.0	1.3	4.0	8.8	13.7	21.7	51.8	34.7		
Côte d'Ivoire	1988 ^{a, b}	36,9	2.8	6.8	11.2	15.8	22.2	44.1	28.5		
Croatia			:		. ::		. .		••		
Cuba	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										
Czech Republic	1993 ^{c, d}	26.6	4.6	10.5	13.9	16.9	21.3	37.4	23.5		
Denmark	1992 ^{s, 4}		1.6	9.6	. ±4.9 7 0	12.5	19.7	34.0 55.7	20.5		
Foundor	1994 ^{a, b}	46.6	2.3	5.4	8.9	13.2	19.9	52.6	37.6		
Egypt, Arab Rep.	1991 ^{a, b}	32.0	3.9	8.7	12.5	16.3	21.4	41.1	26.7		
El Salvador	1995 ^{c, d}	49.9	1.2	3.7	8.3	13.1	20.5	54.4	38.3		
Eritrea			:•				•••	••.	. :•		
Estonia	1993 ^{c, d}	39.5	2.4	6.6	10.7	15.1	21.4	46.3	31.3		
Ethiopia	·····										
Finland	1991 ^{c, d}	25.6		10.0	14.2	17.6	22.3	35.8	21.6		
France	1989		2.5	· · · · · · · · ·	12.7	17.1	. 22.8	40.1	24.9		
Gambia The		· ···· · ···· · ·· ·			** .	" .					
Georgia				· · · · ·	· · ·				· · ·		
Germany	1989 ^{c, d}	28.1	3.7	9.0	13.5	17.5	22.9	37.1	22.6		
Ghana	1992 ^{a, b}	33.9	3.4	7.9	12.0	16.1	21.8	42.2	27.3		
Greece		·····									
Guatemala	1989 ^{c, d}	59.6	0.6	2.1	5.8	10.5	18.6	63.0	46.6		
Guinea	1991 ^{a, b}	46.8	0.9	3.0	8.3	14.6	23.9	50.2	31.7		
Guinea-Bissau	1003s' p	20.2	ο.υ 		10.5	. 15.0	20.0 21.2	_06.9 	32.0		
Haiti	T323										
Honduras	1996 ^{c, d}	53.7	1.2	3.4		11.7	19.7	58.0	42.1		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						· ·					

	Survey year	Gini index		Per	centage sha	re of incom	e or consum	ption	
			Lowest	Lowest	Second 20%	Third 20%	Fourth 20%	Highest	Highest 10%
Hungary	1993 ^{c, d}	27.9	4.1	9.7	13.9	16.9	21.4	38.1	24.0
ndia	1994 ^{a, b}	29.7	4.1	9.2	13.0	16.8	21.7	39.3	25.0
ndonesia	1995 ^{a, b}	34.2	3.6	8.4	12.0	15.5	21.0	43.1	28.3
ran, Islamic Rep.		••	••	••	••		••	iti⊤ 	
raq		••		••		••	••	••	••
reland	1987 ^{c, d}	35.9	2.5	6.7	11.6	16.4	22.4	42.9	27.4
srael	1992 ^{c, d}	35.5	2.8	6.9	11.4	16.3	22.9	42.5	26.9
ltaly	1991 ^{c, d}	31.2	2.9	7.6	12.9	17.3	23.2	38.9	23.7
Jamaica	1991 ^{a, b}	41.1	2.4	5.8	10.2	14.9	21.6	47.5	31.9
Japan		. .		·····	••	::		::	
Jordan	1991 ^{a, b}	43.4	2.4	5.9	9.8	13.9	20.3	50.1	34.7
Kazakhstan	1993 ^{c, a}		3.1	7.5	12.3	16.9	22.9	40.4	24.9
Kenya	1992 ^{a, p}	57.5	1.2	3.4	6.7	10.7	17.0	62.1	47.7
Korea, Dem. Rep. Korea, Rep.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	··· ··	······································	····· ···		······································	
Kuwait		···	····	••	••		·····	••	·····
Kyrgyz Republic	1993 ^{c. d}	35.3	2.7	6.7	11.5	16.4	23.1	42.3	26.2
Lao PDR	1992 ^{a, b}	30.4	4.2	9.6	12.9	16.3	21.0	40.2	26.4
Latvia	1993 ^{c, d}	27.0	4.3	9.6	13.6	17.5	22.6	36.7	22.1
Lebanon									
Lesotho	1986–87 ^{a, b}	56.0	0.9	2.8	6.5	11.2	19.4	60.1	43.4
Libya		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	::		••		:::		
Lithuania	1993 ^{c, d}	33.6	3.4	8.1	12.3	16.2	21.3	42.1	28.0
Luxembourg	1991 ^{c, u}	26.9	4.2	9.5	13.6	17.7	22.4	36.7	22.3
Macedonia, FYR	1000a b				······				
Madagascar	1993., -	43.4	2.3	5.8	9.9	14.0	20.3	50.0	34.9
Malaveia	1080¢, d				 ผว	13.0	20.4		37 0
Mali	1303			4.0	0,0	10.0	20.4		51.5
Mauritania	1988 ^{a, b}	42.4	0.7	3.6	10.3	16.2	23.0		
Mauritius		· ······							
Mexico	1992 ^{a, b}	50.3	1.6	4.1	7.8	12.5	20.2	55.3	39.2
Moldova	1992 ^{c, d}	34.4	2.7	6.9	11.9	16.7	23.1	41.5	25.8
Mongolia	1995 ^{a, b}	33.2	2.9	7.3	12.2	16.6	23.0	40.9	24.5
Morocco	1990–91 ^{a, b}	39.2	2.8	6.6	10.5	15.0	21.7	46.3	30.5
Mozambique								·····	
Myanmar			·····						••
Namibia									·····
Nepal	1995–96 ^{a, b}	36.7	3.2	7.6	11.5	15.1	21.0	44.8	29.8
Netherlands	1991 ^{c, d}	31.5	2.9	8.0	13.0	16.7	22.5	39.9	24.7
New Zealand									
Nicaragua	1993ª, b	50.3	1.6	4.2	7.9	12.6	20.0	55.2	39.8
Niger	1992 ^{°, v}	36.1	3.0	(.5	11.8	15.5	21.1	44.1	29.3
Norway	10010.0	45.0	1.3 4 1	4.0	44.2	14.4	23.4	49.4	31.4
Aman	1991.	20.2	4, <u>1</u>	10.0	14.5	17.9		30.3	21.2
Pakistan	1991 ^{a, b}		34			169		39.7	25.2
Panama	1991 ^{c, d}	56.8	0.5	20	6.3	11.3	20.3	60.1	42 5
Papua New Guinea	1996 ^{a, b}	50.9	1.7	4.5	7.9	11.9	19.2	56.5	40.5
аналана алынан каландаган. Багадиал	19951 3			2.3	E 9	10 7	19.7	62.4	46.6
Peru	1994 ^{a, b}	44.9	1.9	4.9	9.2	14.1	21.4	50.4	34.3
Philippines	1994 ^{a, b}	42.9	2.4	5.9	9.6	13.9	21.1	49.6	33.5
Poland	1992 ^{a, b}	27.2	4.0	9.3	13.8	17.7	22.6	36.6	22.1
Portugal	·····							···· ····	·····
Puerto Rico									
Romania	1992 ^{c, d}	25.5	3.8	9.2	14.4	18.4	23.2	34.8	20.2
Russian Federation	1993 ^{c, d}	31.0	3.0	7.4	12.6	17.7	. 24.2	38.2	22.2



	Survey year	Gini index	centage sha	age share of income or consumption					
			Lowest	Lowest 20%	Second 20%	Third 20%	Fourth 20%	Highest 20%	Highest 10%
Rwanda Soudi Arabia	1983–85 ^{a, b}	28.9	4.2	9.7	13.2	16.5	21.6	39.1	24.2
Seneral	1001a, b		· · '' · 1 /		7.0		10.2		128
Seriegai	1090a.b		4.4 .	. 0.0 .	1.0	TT-0	19.3	58.0	42.0
Sieria Leone	1909-1-		. 0.5	. 1.1.	.2.0	9.0	23.7	03.4	43.0
Singapore					45.0	10.0			
Slovak Republic			. 5.1	11.9.	10.8	10.0	,22.2 ,	31.4	18.2
Slovenia	1993 ^{on o}		4.0	. 9.3	13.3	10.9	21.9	38.6	24.5
South Africa	1993 ^{d, 6}		.1.4	3.3	5.8	9.8	17.7	. 63.3	47.3
Spain	1990°, °		. 2.8	. /.5.	12.6	17.0	22.6	40.3	25.2
Sri Lanka Sudan	1990 ^{a, b}		. 3.8	. 8.9	. 13.1	16.9	.21.7	39.3	25.2
Sweden	1992 ^{c, d}	25.0	3.7	9.6	14.5	18.1	23.2	34.5	20.1
Switzerland	1982 ^{c, d}	36.1	2.9	7.4	11.6	15.6	21.9	43.5	28.6
Syrian Arab Republic		· · · · · · · · · · ·							
Tajıkistan		· · · · · · ·		·					
Tanzania	1993 ^{a, b}	38.1	2.9	6.9	10.9	15.3	21.5	45.4	30.2
Thailand	1992 ^{a, b}	46.2	2.5	5.6	8.7	13.0	20.0	52.7	37.1
Тодо			•••				• •		
Trinidad and Tobago		· · · · · · · ·	· · ·	•••					
Tunisia	1990 ^{a, b}	40.2	2.3	5.9	10.4	15.3	22.1	46.3	30.7
Turkey			· · · · ·						• • •
Turkmenistan	1993 ^{c, d}	35.8	2.7	6.7	11.4	16.3	22.8	42.8	26.9
Uganda	1992 ^{a, b}	40.8	3.0	6.8	10.3	14.4	20.4	48.1	33.4
Ukraine	1992 ^{c, d}	25.7	4.1	. 9.5	14.1	18.1	22.9	35.4	20,8
United Arab Emirates		• • • • • • • •		· 		• •		· ·	· ·
United Kingdom	1986 ^{c, d}	32.6	2.4	7.1	12.8	17.2	23.1		24.7
United States	1994 ^{c, d}	40.1	1.5	4.8	10.5	16.0	23.5	45.2	28.5
Uruguav									
Uzbekistan			"		•				
Venezuela	1995 ^{c. d}	46.8		4.3		13.8	21.3	51.8	35.6
Vietnam	19938.0	35.7		7.8	11.4	15.4	21.4	44.0	29.0
West Bank and Gaza	1000					10.1		1110	20.0
Yemen Rep.	1992 ^{a, b}		2.3	6.1	10.9	 15.3	21.6	46.1	30.8
Yugoslavia FR (Serh /Mont)					10.0	. 21.9	1011	
Zamhia	1003a.b	46.2		39	80	 13.8		 50 4	31.3
Zimbabwe	1 QQ()a, b	56.8 ····	1.8		. 0.0	10.0	20.0 17.4	62.3	46.9
	1990		4:0	4.0	0.0	. TO'O	, ±1.4	02.5	40.5

a. Refers to expenditure shares by percentiles of population, b. Ranked by per capita expenditure, c. Refers to income shares by percentiles of population, d.Ranked by per capita income.

Inequality in the distribution of income is reflected in the percentage share of either income or consumption accruing to segments of the population ranked by income or consumption levels. The segments ranked lowest by personal income receive the smallest share of total income. The Gini index provides a convenient summary measure of the degree of inequality.

Data on personal or household income or consumption come from nationally representative household surveys. The data in the table refer to different years between 1985 and 1996. Footnotes to the survey year indicate whether the rankings are based on per capita income or consumption. For the first time, every distribution (including high-income economies) is based on percentiles of population—rather than households—with households ranked by income or expenditure per person. Where the original data from the household survey were available, they have been used to directly calculate the income (or consumption) shares by quintile. Otherwise, shares have been estimated from the best available grouped data.

The distribution indicators have been adjusted for household size, providing a more consistent measure of per capita income or consumption. No adjustment has been made for spatial differences in cost of living within countries, because the data needed for such calculations are generally unavailable. For further details on the estimation method for low- and middleincome economies, see Ravallion and Chen (1996).

Because the underlying household surveys differ in method and in the type of data collected, the distribution indicators are not strictly comparable across countries. These problems are diminishing as survey methods improve and become more standardized, but achieving strict comparability is still impossible (see the notes to table 2.7).

The following sources of noncomparability should be noted. First, the surveys can differ in many respects, including whether they use income or consumption expenditure as the living standard indicator. Income is typically more unequally distributed than consumption. In addition, the definitions of income used in surveys are usually very different from the economic definition of income (the maximum level of consumption consistent with keeping productive capacity unchanged). Consumption is usually a much better welfare indicator particularly in developing countries. Second, household units differ in size (number of members) and in extent of income sharing among members. Individuals differ in age and consumption needs. Differences between countries in these respects may bias distribution comparisons.

World Bank staff have made an effort to ensure that the data are as comparable as possible. Whenever possible, consumption has been used rather than income. Households have been ranked by consumption or income per capita in forming the percentiles, and the percentiles are of population, not households. The income distribution and Gini indexes for high-income countries are directly calculated from the Luxembourg income Study database. The estimation method used here is consistent with that which is applied to developing countries.

Definitions

· Survey year is the year in which the underlying data were collected. • Gini index measures the extent to which the distribution of income (or, in some cases, consumption expenditures) among individuals or households within an economy deviates from a perfectly equal distribution. A Lorenz curve plots the cumulative percentages of total income received against the cumulative number of recipients, starting with the poorest individual or household. The Gini index measures the area between the Lorenz curve and a hypothetical line of absolute equality, expressed as a percentage of the maximum area under the line. Thus a Gini index of zero represents perfect equality while an index of 100 implies perfect inequality. Percentage share of income or consumption is the share that accrues to subgroups of population indicated by deciles or quintiles. Percentage shares by quintiles may not add up to 100 because of rounding.

2.8

È

Data sources

Data on distribution are compiled by the World Bank's Development Research Group using primary household survey data obtained from government statistical agencies and World Bank country departments. Data for high-income economies are from national sources, supplemented by the Luxembourg Income Study database.



2.9 Education policy and infrastructure

	Public expenditure on education		iture Expenditure per student						Expe on te ma	nditure eaching terials	Primary pupil- teacher ratio	Duration of primary education
	1980	% of GNP 1995 ª	Pr 9 GNP p 1980	imary % of ber capita 1994	Seco % GNP pe 1980	ndary of er capita 1995 °	Te GNP p 1980	ertiary % of Der capita 1995 ª	Primary % of total for level 1994	Secondary % of total for level 1994	pupils per teacher 1995 ª	years 1995ª
Albania	· · · · ·	3.4	• •••	· · ·		23.0	· · · · ·	36.0	· · ·	5.4	18	8
Algeria	7.8		8.9	10.6					1.1	0.0	27	6
Angola		.				:•		• •				4
Argentina	2.7	4.5	6.5	16.2		12.0	10.4	17.0				7 .
Armenia	••.		::				•:	19.0			. 22	. 4
Australia	5.5	5.6		::			29.6	30.0 _.		••	. 16	. 6
Austria	5.6	5.5	16.1	18.8	<i>.</i> 25.0	37.9	32.0	. ••		. 12 .	. 4 .
Azerbaijan	::	3.0		·		:		13.0	· · ·	. 0.3	. 20	4
Balanesh	1.5	2.3			"	23.0	. 46.8	30.0	· · · ·	. "		. 5.
Belgium			17.0	37.4	" .	25.0	32.8 24 0	20.0			. 20	. 4
Benin		3.1	TI.0	· ·· ··	···· ···· · · ··	22.0		240.0	. 0.2	·· .	. 12	. 0.
Bolivia	 4.4	6.6	13.7	*		18.0	**.	67.0		" .	. 40	. 0
Bosnia and Herzegovina					· ·· · [•] ·		"			· · · · ·		
Botswana	•••	9.6	13.6	•••			665.5				26	
Brazil	3.6	· ·· ·· ··	8.7		11.0	· · ·	0.1			•	23	8
Bulgaria	4.5	4.2	17.5	28.9				21.0			17	4
Burkina Faso	2.6	3.6	26.5				3,371.1		0.8		58	6
Burundi		2.8	24.2	14.2		69.0		941.0	1.4	2.5	65	6
Cambodia		•	:			••.					. 45	5
Cameroon	3.2		10.0			::	362.8				46	6
Canada		7.3	".	••		. . .	27.9	36.0	. :	4.Q	16	_ 6 _,
Central African Republic	· ·		22.1		::	:*			".			6
Chad				12.3	" .	33.0	" .	234.0		0.9	62	6
Chile	4.6	2.9	9.6	8.5	".	. 9.0		21.0	0.0		27	8
Hong Vong China	2,5	2.3	3.8	5.6	·· · ** ·	12.0	:	81.0		. * .	24	.5 .
Colombia	 1 Q	35		10.5	· · ··	11.0		29.0	. 0.5		. 24	.0 .5
Congo Dem Ren	26		. 0.2	10.9 .	· · *	. 1,1.0	7/8 9	29.0			. 25	
Congo, Ren.	7.0		10.1		".	:	. 1,0.0	224.0	0.1		. 70	6
Costa Rica	7.8	4.5	13.1	10.6			76.1	44.0	0.4		31	. 6
Côte d'Ivoire	7.2	···· · · · · · ·	22.5	•••	113.0		· · ·	•		· ·	45	6
Croatia		5.3		• • •			•••	• ••			20	4
Cuba	7.2		10.4		· · · · ·		28.5		5.7		. 14	6
Czech Republic		6.1		41.2		25.0		41.0		36.1	20	4
Denmark	6.9	8.3	38.4	*•		:		55.0	. 4.3	. 	10	6
Dominican Republic	2.2	1.9	. 3.1	2.9		5.0		5.0			35	8.
Ecuador	. 5.6		5.6	3.9	: .	15.0	22.3	34.0	. ** .		26	. 6
Egypt, Arab Rep.	. 5.7	5.6		'' .		· · ·	".	108.0		1.0	24	5.
El Salvador	3.9		12.4	. .	".	, 5,0	103.5	. 8.0	· · · ·	••••	28	9.
Entrea	· · ·"·			:	· · · • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		"	40.0	••		41 17	ວຸ. ຮ
Estonia	· · · · · ·	0.0	194	:: 56.9	".	62.0	"	592.0	 25	5.4	33	. ?
Finland	5.3	7.6	20.7	24.0		30.0	27.8	46.0	6.2			. 6
France	5.0	5.9	12.0	15.9	"	26.0	21.8	24.0		0.3	19	5
Gabon	2.7	•••		5.5				··· ··		0.6	52	6
Gambia, The	3.3	5.5	21.1		••	28.0	· · .	235.0		•••	30	6
Georgia		5.2						28.0			16	4
Germany	· · · ·	4.7			· · · ·			35.0	•	<u></u>	18	4
Ghana	3.1		3.9	. :•					1.6		28	6
Greece	. . .		8.3		••	19.0	27.0	29.0	2.4	•• .	16	. 6
Guatemala	🕆	1.7	4.9	6.2	• .	5.0		33.0		·· .	34 .	6
Guinea				10.4	::	38.0		498.0	÷		. 49	6
Guinea-Bissau		"	32.7	**.		:-				••		6
Honduras	1.5		5.9	"	· · ·	 22 A	05.3 70.4	50.0	1.5	•• •	 	Ø
nununas	3.2	3.9	10.9		· · · ·	ZZ.U	12.1	.09.0	3.0		. 30	υ.

_ _

- . F

	Public on e	expenditure education		E	kpenditur	e per stu	dent		Expe on te mat	nditure aching eríals	Primary pupil- teacher ratio	Duration of primary education
	1980	% of GNP 1995 ª	Prir % GNP pe 1980	nary of er capita 1994	Secc % GNP pe 1980	ondary of er capita 1995 ª	Terf % GNP pe 1980	tiary of r capita 1995 ª	Primary % of total for level 1994	Secondary % of total for levei 1994	pupils per teacher 1995 ª	years 1995ª
Hungary	4.7	6.0	14.0	26.0		28.0	75.3	73.0	······································	·····	11	8
India	2.8	3.5	9.4	11.9		13.0		78.0	··· ··································		63	5
Indonesia	1.7						::		::	**	23	6
Iran, Islamic Rep.	7.5	4.0	22.4	8.2		12.0		62.0		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	32	5
Iraq	3.0		7.0								22	6
Ireland		6.3	11.5	14.9		23.0	38.8	38.0	0.3	0.5	23	
Israel	7.9	6.6	15.4	10.0	•••••	29.0	52.2	31.0	9.9	····· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11	
lamaioa		4,9 	14.0	14.7	·······	20.0	166.6	103.0		1.4	37	
lanan	5.8	38	14.8		·····	19.0	21.1	16.0	4.8		18	
lordan		6.3	<u> </u>	· ··· ·· · ···				111.0		3.0	21	10
Kazakhstan	• • • • • • • • •	4.5	······ ···	· ·····	· ···· ···			20.0			20	4
Kenya	6.8	7.4	15.6	17.7	••	47.0	808.2	540.0	•••	••	31	8
Korea, Dem. Rep.	•••	••	•••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	4
Korea, Rep.	3.7	3.7	10.4	14.7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12.0	7.1	6.0	2.2	0.1	32	6
Kuwait	2.4	5.6	6.1				27.9		6.9	·····	15	4
rgy: Republic	7.2	6 B						49.0	·····	0.6	20	4
Lao PDR		2.4	::	4.7		25.0		55.0	·····	0.3	30	5
Latvia		6.3						45.0	····· ·· ··	····· ··· : ·····		
Lebanon		2.0									12	
Lesotho	5.1	5.9	8.8	12.6	····· ···· ··	51.0	642.3	399.0	0.4		49	
Libya		····· ··· ···		·····		···· ··· ! •	••••					
Macadonia EVR	5.5	55				····· ·· · ·		51.0	•••••	0.4	20	8
Madagascar		0.0		20.0				•••••	1.1		40	5
Malawi	3.4	5.7	7.5	9.6		145.0	1.136.7	979.0	······· ·· ·· ····	8.1	62	
Malaysia	6.0	5.3	12.0	10.9	••	22.0	148.6	77.0	6.0	8.8	20	6
Mali	3.8	2.2	32.9	17.5		35.0		522.0	2.2	••	66	6
Mauritania		5.0	30.4	12.7		59.0		157.0		4.8	52	6
Mauritius	5.3	4.3	15.6			••	163.0		0.0	· ·····		6
Mexico	4.7	5.3	4.3	7.8	· ·····	20.0	• :	61.0	1.3	0.0	29	6
Moldova		6.1					:: .			·····	23	
Mongolia		5.6				34.0		74.0		:::		
Morocco	6.1	5.6	15.5	15.5		51.0	::.			•••••		
Myanmar	4,4		·· ······ • · ··		··· · ··· · ·			21.0			78	5
Namibia		т.э 	······		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	44.0		86.0	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		32	
Nepal	18	2.9	14.6			11.9	271.9	156.0	7.2		39	
Netherlands	7.6	5.3	13.8		· · · · · · · ·	20.0	53.7	44.0	3.1	•••	19	
New Zealand	5.8	6.7	15.0	16.9	•••	23.0	33.3	39.0	7.9	••	18	6
Nicaragua	3.4	·····	7.8	13.1			85.9		0.9	0.3	38	6
Niger	3.1		25.4				1,492.6				37	6
Nigeria	6.4		4.5	• : .			344.6		······		37	6
Norway	7.2	8.3	30.0	38.2			28.7	50.0	2.0	5.1		
Oman	2.1	4.6		16.8		23.0	·· ····		· •:.	2.2		
Pakistan	2.0		8.7				235.6			···· ······	🖬	5
Panama Papua New Cuinco	4.8	5.2	12.0		· ··· ·*·	13.0	29.1	47.0	1.8	· ···· ·*·· ··	···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ···	
Paraduay	·····!'' 1 ⊑		· · ·	······	·· ···· ··*·	11.0		52.0	•••••	· ·· ·· ·· ·· · ·		Э
Peru	⊥.⊃ २.1							52.0				
Philippines	17	····· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ··	<u>/:</u> 4 61		· ·· ··· ^{••}	::.		*				
Poland	· ·	4.6	8.2	14.7	··· · ····	19.0		42.0		···· · ···!! ·· ··	16	
Portugal	3.8	5.4	13.5	17.2	· ·· ·· ·· ···	20.0	··· · ····	25.0	0.2			
Puerto Rico	•		• •••	•••	•••	••	•••	· · · · · ·	••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	····· ····· ····	8
Romania	3.3	3.2	:	21.7		7.0		40.0			20	4
Russian Federation	3.5		:			·············					20	



a. Data are from UNESCO's forthcoming World Education Report 1998 They are not yet available in time series

.

Data on education are compiled by the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) from official responses to surveys and from reports provided by education authorities in each country. Because coverage, definitions, and data collection methods vary across countries and over time within countries, data on education should be interpreted with caution. Although exceptions are noted in the table, readers seeking greater detail should consult the country- and indicator-specific notes in the source cited below. In addition. Behrman and Rosenzweig (1994) contains a general discussion of the reliability of data on education.

The data on education spending refer solely to public spending—that is, spending on public education plus subsidies for private education. Unless specified, the data exclude foreign aid for education. They also may exclude spending by religious schools, which play a significant role in many developing countries. Data for some countries and for some years refer to spending by the ministry of education of the central government only (excluding education expenditures by other ministries and departments, local authorities, and so on). Data for a few countries include private spending, although national practices vary with respect to whether parents or schools pay for books, uniforms. and other supplies.

In most cases the percentage of GNP devoted to education spending has little or no correlation with crossnational indicators of educational attainment. This percentage can be expected to be reflected in education indicators only when comparing countries that have the same national income per capita. Otherwise, this percentage reflects effort rather than achievement.

The comparability of pupil-teacher ratios is affected by whether both full- and part-time teachers are included, whether teachers are assigned nonteaching duties, and by differences in class size by grade and in number of hours taught. Moreover, the underlying enrollment levels are subject to a variety of reporting errors. (See *About the data* in table 2.10 for further discussion of enrollment data.) While the pupil-teacher ratio is often used to compare the quality of schooling across countries, it is not strongly related to the value added of schooling systems (Behrman and Rosenzweig 1994).

In many countries the duration of primary education changed between 1980 and 1995 (see table 2.10 for definitions of primary, secondary, and tertiary education). As a result the relative size of public spending on education by level and primary pupil-teacher ratios also may have changed. These changes may affect the comparability of enrollment ratios over time and across countries.

Definitions

• Public expenditure on education is the percentage of GNP accounted for by public spending on public education plus subsidies to private education at the primary, secondary, and tertiary levels. • Expenditure on teaching materials is the percentage of public spending on teaching materials (textbooks, books, and other scholastic supplies) to total public spending on primary or secondary education. • Primary pupil-teacher ratio is the number of pupils enrolled in primary school divided by the number of primary school teachers (regardless of their teaching assignment). • Duration of primary education is the minimum number of grades (years) a child is expected to cover in primary schooling.

2.9

Data sources



International data on education are compiled by UNESCO's Division of Statistics in cooperation with national commissions for UNESCO and national statistical services. The data in the table were compiled using a

É

UNESCO electronic database corresponding to various tables in its *Statistical Yearbook 1996*.



2.10 Access to education

			Gros		Net enrollment ratio						
	Preprimary % of relevant age group	Prin % of re age s	nary Bievant group	Seco % of r age	ndary elevant group	Tert % of re age g	lary levant	Prin % of re age s	nary Nevant Broup	Secor % of re age g	ndary Ievant
	1995	1980	1995	1980	1995	1980	1995	1980	1995	1980	1995
Albania		113		67	35		. 10		. 96		
Algeria	2		107		62		11		. 95	31	
Angola		174		21	14		.1	70		01	
Argentina	50	106	108	56		22					
Armenia	22				79	30	49				
Australia	73	112	108	71	147 ^a	25	72	100		70	. 89
Austria	76	99	101		104	26	45	99	100		
Azerbaijan	20	115	104	93	74	24	20				
Bangladesh	• •• • • • • • • •	61	92	18							
Belarus	80	104	97	98	••••••	39		· · ·	95		
Belgium	116	104	103	91	144ª	26		97	98		98
Benin	3	67	72	16	16		 3	· · ·	59		
Bolivia	••	87	••	37	· ··· ·· ·· ·· ··	16		79		1.6	
Bosnia and Herzegovina	•• •• •• •• •• • •	•••	••	•••		•••	•••			•	
Botswana		91	115	19	56		. 4	75		14	45
Brazil	56	98	112	33	45	11	11	80	90	14	19
Bulgaria	62	98	94	84	78	16	39	96	97	73	75
Burkina Faso		17	38	3	8	0	1	15	31		7
Burundi		26	70	3	7	1	1	20	52		5
Cambodia	5	•••	122	32	27	1	2				
Cameroon	11	98	88	18	27	2				15	
Canada	63		102	88	106	57	103		95	• •	92
Central African Republic	••	71	58	14	10	1	1	56			
Chad	1	••	55	••	9	•••	1			·	
Chile	96	109	99	53	69	12	28		86		55
China	29	113	118	46	67	2	5		99		
Hong Kong, China	84	107	96	64	75	10		95	91	61	71
Colombia	28	124	114	41	67	9	17		85		50
Congo, Dem. Rep.	1	80	72	24	26	1	2		61		23
Congo, Rep.		141	114	74	53	5		96			
Costa Rica		105	107	48	50	. 21	32	89	92	39	43
Côte d'Ivoire		. 75	. 69	19	23	3	. 4	; ;;		, .	••• ,
Croatia	31		86		82	. 19	28		82	•• .	66
Cuba		106	105	. 81	80	17	. 14	95	. 99		59
Czech Republic			.96	:	. 96	18	21		.98		88
Denmark		96	99	105	. 118	. 28	. 45	. 96	. 99	. 88	86
Dominican Republic		. 118	103	. 42	41	**	••.		81		22
Ecuador		117	109	53	. 50	. 3 5	. • .		.92		•• .
Egypt, Arab Rep.	8	73	100			. 16	18		. 89		65
El Salvador	31		. 88		. 32	13	. 18		79		21
Eritrea					. 19	· · · · ·	. 1		31	••	15
Estonia		. 98			86	25	. 38 .		94		77
Ethiopia			31		11	0 .	. 1	"	24		
Finland	39		100	100	. 116	. 32	67		. 99		93
France		. 111 .	106	. 85	. 1,11	. 25	50	100	. 99	79	88
Gabon			142								
Gambia, The		. 53	. 73	11	. 22		2	50	55		18
Georgia			. 82			30	38	. ".	82	••	/1 .
Germany		. ::	,102	98 .	103	. 34	43	.**	100	••	88
Gnana				41	3/	2					
Greece		103		. 81	.95	17	38	103			85
Guatemala		/1 .	. 84		25		8	58		13	
Guinea Piceau		36	. 48	1/		. Б			. 37	 ว	
Guillea-Dissau	"	68 .	04			. :	· · · ·	4/ 20		3	
Hondurae	11	!V 	111	. <u>14</u>	20	⊥ Q	10		 an		 21
nondurda	+	50	***	50	02	0	- U -	10	50		- -

					Net enrollment ratio						
	Preprimary % of relevant	Prim % of re	ary levant	Second % of re	ndary Blevant	Terti % of re	ary levant	Prim % of re	hary elevant	Secon % of rel	dary levant
	1995	1980	1995	1980	1995	1980	1995	1980	1995	1980	1995
Hundary			97	70		14	19		93		
India		83	100		49						
Indonesia		107	114	29	48		11				42
Iran. Islamic Rep.		87	99	42	69		15			•••	·····:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
Iraq		113	90	57	44	9		99	79	47	37
Ireland	107	100	104	90	114	18	37	100	100	78	85
Israel	71	95	99	73	89	29	41	•••		••	••••
Italy	96	100	98	72	74	27	41	•••	97	••	••
Jamaica	81	103	109	67	66	7	6	96	100	64	64
Japan	49	101	102	93	99	31	40	100	100	93	96
Jordan	25	104	94	75	····	27		93		68	••
Kazakhstan	29	84	96	93	83	34	33				
Kenya	36	115	85	20	24			91			
Korea, Dem. Rep.	··· ··· ···	·····	•••••		·····		••••••		·····		·····
Korea, Rep.	85	110	101	78	101	15	52	100	99	70	96
Kuwait	52	102	73	80	64		25	85	65		54
Kyrgyz Republic	8	116	107	110	81	16	14		97		
Lao PDR	7	113	107	21	25	0			68		18
Latvia			89	100	85	24	26				78
Lebanon	74	111	109	59	81	30	27		<i></i>		:
Lesotho		102	99	18	28	1		66	65	13	16
Libya		125	110	76	97		16	100	97	62	••••••
Lithuania	36	79	96	114	84		28			···· ··· · · ·	80
Macedonía, FYR	24	100	89	61	57			····· ····		``	51
Madagascar		133			14						••
Malawi		60	135	3		1		43	100	·····*·	66
Malaysia			91		61		11		91	······ ··· ` *•····	·····
Mali		26	34		9			20	25	·····	······ ·· ·
Mauritania	U 95	31	18	11	15	••••••			00	·····	
Mavias	71	120	107	30			14		100	••••••	••••••
Moldova	/1	120		49 78				•••••	100	••••••	••• •••
Mongolia	23	107						••••		••••	** 57
Morocco	63	83			39		11		72	20	
Mozambique	····	99	60	.	····· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ···	0	 1	36	40		
Myanmar	······································	91	100		32		. 5	···· ····			
Namibia	11		133		62	······		•••	92		36
Nepal		86	110	22	38	3		•••			
Netherlands	100	100	107	93	139ª	29	49	93	99	81	••
New Zealand	77	111	104	83	117	27	58	100	100	81	93
Nicaragua	20	98	110	43	47	13	9	98	83	23	27
Niger	1	25	29	5	7	0	••	21	••	4	
Nigeria		105	89	16	30	2	4				
Nor way	98	100	29	94	92	26	FF	95	<u>تون</u>	54	ĻΥ
Oman	3	51	80		66	·····		43	71	10	56
Pakistan		39	74		26	: •	3	·····	····· ····· ·· ···	·	
Panama		106	106		68	21	30	89		46	
Papua New Guinea	1	59	80		14	2	3	···· ······			·····
Paraguay	38	106	109	27	38		10	89	89	::	33
Peru	36	114	123	59	70	17	31	86	91	······	53
Philippines	13	112	116	64			27	94	100	45	
Polang	45	100	98		96	18	27	98	97	70	83
Puerto Pico	58	123	128		102ª		34	98	100		78
Romania		102	100			48		····· ································		•••••	
Russian Federation	63	102	108	 مم			12		92 100	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		702	200					•••••	100	••••••	



2	10	
۷.	τO	l

	Bross enrollment ratio Net enroll ratio Preprimary % of relevant age group Tertan Primary % of relevant age group Tertan Primary % of relevant age group Tertan Primary % of relevant age group Tertan % of relevant age group 1990 1990 1990 1990 1990 1990 1990 1990 1990 1990 1990 1990 1990 1990 1990 1990 1990 1990 1990 1990 1990 1990 1990 1990 1991 200 1000 28 1910 100 100 100 100 <td col<="" th=""><th colspan="3">nrollment ratio</th></td>			<th colspan="3">nrollment ratio</th>	nrollment ratio						
	Preprimary % of relevant age group 1995	Prin % of re age g 1980	nary elevant group 1995	Seco % of re age g 1980	ndary elevant group 1995	Tert: % of re age g 1980	ary evant roup 1995	Prin % of re age g 1980	tary Hevant (roup 1995	Secor % of re age g 1980	ndary Ievant roup 1995
Rwanda	 . .	63	82			0	•	59	76		. 8
Saudi Arabia	8	61	78	29	58	7	15	49	62	21	48
Senegal	2	46	65	11	1.6	3		37	54	<i>.</i>	• • •
Sierra Leone		52	· ·	 14	· · · ·	1	· ·	• • •	•		
Singapore		108	104		62	8	. 34		· ·		• •
Slovak Republic	71	· · · · · ·	97		91	• •	20			·	
Siovenia	66	· · ·· ·	98		91		32		100		
South Africa	28	85					17		96		52
Spain	69	109	105	87	118	23	46	100	100	74	94
Sri Lanka		103	113	55	75	3	5				· · ·
Sudan	37	50	 54	16	13	2					
Sweden	60	97	105		132ª			· ·	100		96
Switzerland	94	· · ·	107		91	18	32		100		
Svrian Arab Republic	7	100	101	46	44	17	18		91	39	39
Taiikistan	10			· · ·			20				
Tanzania			67		5		1	68	48		
Thailand	58		87	29	55	15	20				
Togo	3	118	118	33	27	2	3		85		· · ·
Trinidad and Tobago	10		96	70	72	4		90	. 88		64
Tunisia	• • •	102	116	27	61	5		. 82	97	23	
Turkey	6	96	105	35	56	5	18		96		50
Turkmenistan				• •• • ••		23			•	•	
Uganda		50	73		12	1	2	. 39			
Ukraine	54	102	87	94	91	42	41				
United Arab Emirates	57	89	95	52	78	3		74	83		71
United Kingdom	29	103	115		134 ^a	19	48	100	100	79	92
United States	68	99	102		97	56	81	95	96		89
Uruguay	33	107	111	62	82	17	27		95		
Uzbekistan	54	81	77	105	93	29	32		· · ·		
Venezuela	43	93	94	21	35	21	29	82	. 88	14	20
Vietnam	35	109	114	42	47	2	. 4	95			
West Bank and Gaza	•••		 			•	· ·		· · ·		
Yemen, Rep.	 1		79		23	V 	. 4				
Yugoslavia, FR (Serb./Mont.)	31	29	72	· · · · ·	65	· · ·	21	• •	•••	•••	
Zambia		90	89	16	28	2	́. Э́	77	77		16
Zimbabwe		85	116		47	1	.7				

World	33 w	97 w	103 w	49 w	62 w	14 w	W	w	w	w	w
Low income	19	93	107	34	56	3	6				
Excl. China & India	••	75	82	21	•••	З	••	••	••	••	
Middle income	38	100	105	54	60	19	19	••	93		••
Lower middle income	32	99	104	57	60	21	22	••	94	••	••
Upper middle income	56	101	107	47	62	14	14		91	••	••
Low & middle income	24	95	103	41	53	8	······ ··· ···· ·	•••			
E ser vers Li Piscitio	10	111	113	43	ê C	3	:		14		
Europe & Central Asia	48	97	100	84	81	31	32	••	96	••	
Latin America & Carib.	56	106	111	42	53	14	15	••	91	••	••
Middle East & N. Africa	14	87	97	42	64	11	15	••			
South Asia	5	76	99	27	49	5	6	••	••		
Sub-Saharan Africa	••	78	75	14	27	1	••	••	••		
High income	69	102	103	87	104	35	57	·····	98		

a includes training for the unemployed.

School enrollment data are important indicators of the size and capacity of a country's education system and may be useful measures of education outcomes, but they are notoriously rife with errors. The indicators in the table are reported to the United Nations Educational. Scientific, and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) by national education authorities on the basis of annual enrollment surveys, typically conducted at the beginning of the school year. They do not reflect actual rates of attendance or dropouts during the school year. Furthermore, school administrators may have incentives to exaggerate enrollments. Behrman and Rosenzweig (1994), comparing official school enrollment data for Malavsia in 1988 with gross school attendance rates from a household survey, found that the official statistics systematically overstated enrollment.

Overage or underage enrollments may occur, particularly when parents prefer for cultural or economic reasons to have children start school at other than the official age. Children's age at enrollment may also be inaccurately estimated or misstated, especially in communities where registration of births is not strictly enforced. Parents who want to enroll their underage children in primary school may do so by overstating the age of the child. And in some education systems ages for children repeating a grade may be deliberately or inadvertently underreported.

As an international indicator, the gross primary enrollment ratio has an inherent weakness: the length of primary education differs significantly across countries (see table 2.9), so a short duration increases the ratio, and a long duration decreases it (partly because of more dropouts among older children). Other problems affecting cross-country comparisons of enrollment data stem from errors in estimates of school-age populations. Age-gender structures from censuses or vital registration systems, the primary sources of data on school-age populations, are commonly subject to underenumeration (especially of young children) in order to circumvent laws or regulations; errors are also introduced when parents round up children's ages. While census data are often adjusted for age bias, adjustments are rarely made for inadequate vital registration systems. Compounding these problems, pre- and post-census estimates of school-age children are interpolations or projections (see the discussion of demographic data in the notes to table 2.1) based on models that may miss important demographic events.

In using enrollment data, it is also important to consider repetition rates, which are quite high in some

developing countries, leading to a substantial number of overage children enrolled in each grade and raising the gross enroliment ratio. Thus gross enroliment ratios provide an indication of the capacity of each level of the education system, but a high ratio does not necessarily indicate a successful education system. Net enrollment ratios provide a better indicator of a school system's efficiency, but neither indicator measures the quality of the education provided.

Figure 2.10e

Enrollments are improving, but the school-age population is growing



Source: Wind Cank Harrenniane

Enrollment ratios have improved considerably in all regions and at all education levels, particularly the primary level. Still, a substantial portion of children of school age continue to be out of school. The challenge for developing countries is to create an environment both in school and out of school, that is conducive to bringing out-of-school children to schools and retaining them. In many countries this challenge will be exacerbared by skable projected growth in the population age 6-14---growth that will put increasing pressure on the physical and financial resources of education systems.

2.10

Definitions

Ë

 Gross enrollment ratio is the ratio of total enrollment. regardless of age, to the population of the age group that officially corresponds to the level of education shown. Estimates are based on UNESCO's classification of education levels, as follows. • Preprimary provides education for children not old enough to enter school at the primary level. · Primary provides the basic elements of education at elementary or primary schools (see table 2.9 for the duration of primary school). . Secondary provides general or specialized instruction at middle, secondary, or high schools, teacher training schools, and vocational or technical schools; this level of education is based on at least four years of instruction at the primary level. . Tertiary requires, as a minimum condition of admission, the successful completion of education at the secondary level or evidence of attainment of an equivalent level of knowledge and is provided at universities, teachers colleges, and higher-level professional schools. • Net enrollment

ratio is the ratio of the number of children of official school age (as defined by the education system) enrolled in school to the number of children of official school age in the population.

Data sources



Enrollment ratios are from UNESCO's *Statistical Yearbook* 1997.



2.11 Educational attainment

		Percentag reaching	e of cohort grade 4		Progression to secondary school (general)				Average years of schooling				
	M	ale	Ferr	nale	Ma	ale	Fem	ale					
1	.980	% 1991	1980 [%]	6 1991	1980 ×	6 1991	% 1980	6 1991	Ma 1980	1992	Fen 1980	nale 1992	
Albania									1000	1001	1900	1552	
	 92		. : 01	,: 96	55	" . 79	 62			 11			
Angola	<u>,</u>					19	. 02	63 .	9	ŤŦ	7		
Argentina						"		·· ·		13	1	14 14	
Armenia			!!				".			10			
Australia			•••						12	13	12	14	
Austria		· · · · ·		·					11	15	11	14	
Azerbaijan	. •.												
Bangladesh	÷		••		. :: .	56		47					
Belarus	<i></i> .	. :	: .		:			. "			•• .	<i></i>	
Belgium	78		81	: .	.	: .			14	14	13	. 14	
Benin	. ••	. 64	"	. 62	41 .		. 39			·· .		. "	
Bolivia		: .	:	.	"	. 92	•• .	90	. 9	11	. 8	9	
Boshia and Herzegovina	<i>∵</i> .		:		:: .	:	· · · ·						
Botswana				95	" .			17	(0	10	8	11	
Bulgaria	· •• ·		. ••• .	90	· · · · ·		· · ·		. 9.	. :*	9 11	12	
Burkina Faso	 79	95		82			· ••-	. 00	. <u>+</u> + 2	. ± <u>+</u> . 3	. 1	12 . 2	
Burundi	83	78		76		12		 11	3	5	2	.← . ∡	
Cambodia							· · ·	. 1.2			-		
Cameroon	81		81		24	32	. 19	30			6	· · "	
Canada	• • •		•••	• • • •					15	17	15	18	
Central African Republic		85	••	81	38		35	· 					
Chad	••	74		65		36		35					
Chile		· · · · · · ·			79		80			12		12	
China			•:			••							
Hong Kong, China 1	100	:	100		. 87		. 93		12		12	<i></i>	
Colombia		. 72											
Congo, Dem. Rep.	77		70			31		34		. 7		4	
Congo, Rep.	91	88	.91	.89	. 86		80					••	
Costa Rica	80		. 84			66		67	. 10	. 10	. 10	9.	
	94	80	. 91				21		•:			••.	
Cuba	."		·	· ."	".	·		• ••	••	12		13	
Czech Republic						• •••• •	· · · ·			±4		10.	
Denmark	:			98					14	15	14		
Dominican Republic			 	•••	•••				. = .	10	-	10	
Ecuador	•••			•• ••	· · · · ·· ·	••							
Egypt, Arab Rep.	95		65	•••••						11		9	
El Salvador		::			28	. 21	26	. 18		9		. 9	
Eritrea	<u>.</u> .	. : .	: .	: .	. : .								
Estonia	··		**	** .	**		" .			12		13	
Ethiopia	. ••				"	** .	· • ·			. •• .	••		
Finland		100		100	"	.	. "			•:			
Gabon		: .		:		· · · ·	· •• ·	••••	. <u>т</u> з	. 14 .	13	, _{TD}	
Gambia The	oʻz	"	/9	. !'		" .		· · ·	••.			:: 3	
Georgia	· ** / ·	· · · · · · ·	· · · · ·		. 74		74 .		. **				
Germany	··	· · · · · ·	· · · · · ·						. " .	15		14	
Ghana	87	"	82	· · · · ·	··· · · · ·								
Greece	 98	 	. 98	•••	· · · · ·				12	13	12	13	
Guatemala	••	· · · ·			•••						•••	•••	
Guinea		80		73		49		44		4		2	
Guinea-Bissau	63		46		71		46	••	6		3		
Haiti	. ••			60	. 38		45	. 92		. :*		••	
Honduras	.: .		"					".	· ··.			÷.	

~ ``



		Percentag reaching	ge of cohori g grade 4	t	2	Progres seconda (gen	ssion to ry school ieral)			Average years of schooling Male F 1980 1992 1980 9 12 10			
	1	viale	Fer	male	Ma	ale	Ferr	nale	Ma		Form		
	1980	1991	1980	1991	1980		1980	1991	1980	1992	1980	1992	
Hungary	96	97	96	97		••	•••	•••	9	12	10	12	
India		··· ··· ···	•••••	·····	.				. .	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	
Indonesia		**								10	·····	9	
Iran, Islamic Rep.		94	•••••••	93		83	······································	82		10		8	
Iraq	··· ···	·····	·····	·····		···· · · ·· ···		·····			9	7	
Ireland				······	··· ·····	•••••	······ .··		11	13		13	
Israel		100	100	100						•••••••	······································	·**	
lamaica				100	100		90 80	95	 10				
Janan	100	100	100	100	100				13		11		
Jordan		100	95	97					<u>+0</u> 12				
Kazakhstan		••	••••	•••	•••	··· ····	•••	••	•••	•••	••	••	
Kenya	•••					•••				••	••• •••• •••		
Korea, Dem. Rep.	••			••				••	••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		••	
Korea, Rep.	96	100	96	100	99	:•	96		12	14	11	13	
Kuwait				::		:	98	·····		.		······	
Kyrgyz Republic				:							·····	·····	
Lao PDR						65		60		8	·····	6	
Latvia		::		:	: •	::				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······		
Lepanon					••••••	::							
Libva		(4		84				••	!	o		10	
Libya	••								••••			••••••	
Macedonia, FYR													
Madagascar	 	63				44		41	••	•••	•••		
Malawi	62	73	55	68	•••		••••	••	••	6	•••	5	
Malaysia	••	98		99			••		••	••	••		
Mali	······		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····	41	61	36	61	••••••	2		1	
Mauritania		82		83	!!	37		29	••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	···		
Mauritius		99	::	99	47	45		48		::	•••••••		
Mexico	·····	··	::	·····		•••••	••••		·····	·····	······ · ·	· · ···· · ·	
Moldova	•••••		•••••		!!	····· ··· ···	·····	····· ·· ··	· · · ·	··· ·· · :	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	······	
Mongolia					!!		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Mozambique			09			30			o 5			<u>о</u> 3	
Myanmar			•••••	00			25					3	
Namihia			•••••			76				12			
Nepal		• ••• • •••	•••	•••	ii 	79		77			••		
Netherlands	97	••	100	······································	65	••	75	••	14	16	13	15	
New Zealand	······	97	••••••	97		•••••••			14	15	13	16	
Nicaragua	51		55			••			8	8	9	9	
Niger	82		79		::	42		37		3		1	
Nigeria		••	··· ·····	····· ····	::	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••					·····	•••••••	
Norway	99	· ······ !!	100	::			· ·····		13	15		16	
Uman	······	······	• • • • •	······		84	83	88		8	2		
Pakistan						••	·· ······	· ·····					
Papua New Guinea	01	сө. 88	88		•••••	••••••	••••••						
Paraguay	·····*· ····**·· ·	79				······	•••••••		·····		···· ·····		
Peru		:				······ · • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		······································	<u>.</u> 11		10		
Philippines			······································	······ ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ···	· ····	•••	· ····································	••	10		 11		
Poland	••	••••	••	•••		••	•••	••	12	12	12	12	
Portugal	····· ····· ·····		•••	······ ··· ··· ·		67		78			••		
Puerto Rico					!!	·····							
Romania	······		······	•••••••		•••••••				11		11	
Russian Federation	.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		••					••	••	



	Percentage of cohort reaching grade 4				Progression to secondary school (general)				Average years of schooling				
	Ma 9	ale %	Ferr 9	nale 6	M	ale %	Ferr 9	nale %	Ma	le	Fem	ale	
	1980	1991	1980	1991	1980	1991	1980	1991	1980	1992	1980	1992	
Rwanda	. 83	. 72	. 84	75	5	•: .	2			6		6	
Saudi Arabia	. 91	** .	. 90		. 85	96	94	. 94	.7	.9	5	8	
Senegal	93	94	90	90						6		4	
Sierra Leone	••												
Singapore					82		84		11		11		
Slovak Republic							· · · ·	· 				• • •	
Slovenia					· · · ·								
South Africa					· "				· · · ·	12		12	
Snain					:				13	14	12	15	
Srilanka				98			. • -			÷.,		- <u>·</u> · .	
Sudan			· ··· ·!' · ·· 78					J	••			*	
Sweden	· · · ·	: .	/	· · · ·	: .	!" .	. :		10		··· .		
Sweden	99 .	: .	. 100						12	. 14.	3	14	
Switzenand	. 92	:'	. 94 .			. 49	42 .	.40	. 14	15	13	. 14	
		95	91	95		. 68	. 70	.61	. 11	10	8	.9 .	
lajikistan	:• .		** .	:		:"	" .			. **			
lanzania	. : * .	. 89	" .	90		. : .	. "	. 7	• •	. **	••		
Thailand			** .		:	:• .			•		••	. ••.	
Togo	. 90	. 84	84	79 .		40 .	. 34	35	. •	11		6	
Trinidad and Tobago					, . .				11	. 11	11	11	
Tunisia	94	93	90	. 93	31	. 60	31	60	10	. 11	7	10	
Turkey		99		98	47	62	33	44				••.	
Turkmenistan			••		. <i>.</i> •• .		· · .			·· .		••	
Uganda								. <u>.</u> .					
Ukraine	••												
United Arab Emirates	••	94		93	91	92	93	96	8	11	7	12	
United Kingdom		•••		•••					13	15	13	15	
United States		•••		· · ·					14	16	15	16	
Uruguav	 93					· · · · ·	•			· ·		• •	
Uzbekistan				57									
Venezuela	· · · · ·		··· ··· ·	· ··· ·· [•] · ·	69	70	70	. 75				11	
Vietnam			"	· ·· · · · ·									
West Bank and Gaza		· · · · · ·	··· · · · ·	.,**	· · ·· "·	"	. **.			••		•• •	
Yemen Ren	"	··· · · · ·	· · · · · ·	· · · · · ·	· **.	· · · ** · ·	. ••					••	
Vudoclavia ED (Sorb /Mant)			· · · ·	· · · ·	. ".	· • •	··.						
Tambia	· · · · ·	· · · · ·	"	· · " · ·	" .	**	· · ·*·	" .	•• .	· •• ·			
	•		· · ··· ·		· · · · ·	· · · ·	· ••					· ••. ·	
∠impapwe	••	81				•• .	•• .					••.	

Indicators of students' progress through school provide a measure of an education system's success in maintaining a flow of students from one grade to the next and thus in imparting a particular level of education. Although school attendance is mandatory in most countries, at least through the primary level, students drop out of school for a variety of reasons including discouragement over poor performance, the cost of schooling, and the opportunity cost of time spent in school. In addition, students' progress to higher grades may be limited by the availability of teachers, classrooms, and educational materials.

The rate of progression, or persistence, is measured by the proportion of a single-year cohort of students that eventually reaches a particular grade or level of schooling. Because tracking data for individual students are not available, aggregate student flows from one grade to the next are estimated using data on average promotion, repetition, and dropout rates. Other flows caused by new entrants, reentrants, grade skipping, migration, or school transfers during the school year are not considered. This procedure, called the reconstructed cohort method, makes three simplifying assumptions: dropouts never return to school; promotion. repetition, and dropout rates remain constant over the entire period in which the cohort is enrolled in school; and the same rates apply to all pupils enrolled in a given grade, regardless of whether they previously repeated a grade.

Because data from the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) do not include dropouts or dropout rates, the number of dropouts is estimated as the difference between enrollments in successive grades in successive years, after netting out repeaters. The remaining students are assumed to be promoted. Repeated application of the same calculations leads to an estimate of the number of students entering each successive grade (Fredricksen 1991).

The percentage of the cohort reaching grade 4, rather than some other grade, is shown for two reasons. First, four grades are the minimum needed to acquire literacy (United Nations 1993b). Second, using grade 4 minimizes the effect of repetition at or close to the final grade of primary education.

Progression to secondary school measures the percentage of students in the final grade of primary school who enter the first year of the general secondary system. The comparability of this indicator across time and between countries may be affected by changes in the definition of the primary and secondary levels, rules governing repetition and promotion, and the availability of special programs and other alternatives to the general secondary education system.

The average years of schooling measures educational attainment for men and women.

Definitions

• Percentage of cohort reaching grade 4 is the share of children enrolled in primary school in 1980 and 1991 who reached grade 4 in 1983 and 1994, respectively. The estimate is based on the reconstructed cohort method (see *About the data*). • **Progression to secondary school (general)** is the number of new entrants in the first grade of secondary school (general) divided by the number of children enrolled in the final grade of primary school in the previous year (according to the country's duration of primary education, as shown in table 2.9). • **Average years of schooling** is the average number of years of formal schooling received.

2 1 1

Data sources



Estimates of the percentage of cohort reaching grade 4 and progression to secondary school were compiled using UNESCO's database on enrollment by level. grade or field, and gender.



2.12 Gender and education

	Primary education				Secondary general				Secondary vocational				
	Tead	chers	Pup	ils	Teac	hers	Pup	nls	Teach	ners	Pup	uls.	
	% te 1980	male 1995 ^a	% ten 1980	nale 1994	1980	male 1995ª	% ter 1980	nale 1994	% ter 1980	1994	% ter 1980	nale 1994	
		1000						1004	1000	1004	1560	1334	
Albania	. 50	. 60				51	. 59	. 54 .	32	50	41	.31	
Algeria			. 42	46		. 45	. 39 .	. 47		20	. 21 .	34	
Angola		:	41	· · · · · ·* ··			33		?	" .	21	**	
Argentina	92							· · • ·	••		4/	. :	
Armenia				. 50	'' AE				· · · · ·		· : .	. "	
Australia		(0	. 49	49	40	52		49	"	. : .			
Austria		04	49	49		01 .	49	. 49 .	. 30	. 44	.⇔⊥ 20	. 43	
Rangladosh	···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					·		40	·· . 5	. "	32	. 30	
Belarue		:			· · ··· · ' ·· ·	**					Ζ.	••	
Belgium	 59	· :: 72	 19		''	:	"		••	. :		·•.	
Benin	23	24	32	7			26	: .				*	
Bolivia	48		47	"				· · · ·					
Bosnia and Herzegovina					· ·· ·· ^{**} · ·		••••••						
Botswana	72		55	50	35	44	56	53	45	32	25	23	
Brazil	85	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	49	•••		· · · · · ·			• • •				
Bulgaria	72	89	49	48	64	75	68	67	49	59	40	38	
Burkina Faso	20	24	37	39	· · · · · ·	18	33	34	•••	21	40	49	
Burundi	47	47	39	45	18	•••	25	38	10	14	18	39	
Cambodia		37	••	44		28		38					
Cameroon	20	32	45	47	18	25	34	40	24	28	39	41	
Canada	66	67	49	48	44	67	49	49					
Central African Republic	25	· ••• ·	37		12		25		25	25	49		
Chad		. 8	••	32		4		17			•• .		
Chile		72	49	49	••		55	54			. 47	. 47	
China	37		45	47	. 25	36	40	44	25	25	34	46	
Hong Kong, China		76			::	. 51	. 51	•• .	••	. ••.	32		
Colombia	. 79	80	50	50		"	50	••	. 42	. 42	45		
Congo, Dem. Rep.		22		43		:"	** .	30	· · ·				
Congo, Rep.	. 25					. 15	. 40 .	41 .			54		
Costa Rica						"	54	. 52	50	. " .	50	. 48	
Côte d'Ivoire			40				. 28	. 34	"		. 49		
Croatia	. (3	89	. 49	49				65 .		58		46	
Cuba	/ 5	81					51 .	54	25 .		40	. 48 .	
Denmark		50		49	"		 51	. 52		. 55		41 . 46	
	··· ···			4 9 50	·· · ···*· ·				· ·		. 41	.40.	
Foundar	65	/ <u>+</u> 68			38	44	48		. : 37	. 1 3	. 60	55	
Egypt, Arab Rep.	47	53	40	45	35	41	36	44	21	21	38	45	
El Salvador	65		49	49	24	· .:= 	43	48	32		48	53	
Eritrea	•••	35	··· ···	44		13		42		3		11	
Estonia	•••	89	49	48		83	•••	53		64		47	
Ethiopia	22	27	35	38	10	10	36	46	•••			18	
Finland		••	49	49	••		53	53	42	42	47	54	
France	68	78	48	48	58		49	51	42		68	45	
Gabon	27	44	49	50	28	19	42		17		28		
Gambia, The	34	34	35	41	27		30		20		19	. 	
Georgia		94									·· .		
Germany		85		49		. 48	••	. 50		35	·· .	. 44	
Ghana	42		. 44	46	. 21		. 38		. 21	. •: .	25	 .	
Greece	. 48		. 48	48	. 55	56	50	. 50	24	. 44	<u>2</u> 0	34	
Guatemala	62		. 45				. 43			· · · ·	39		
Guinea	14					. 12		24			34	. 25	
Guinea-Bissau			. 32	· ··					3	22_	14		
Handuran	49				11	:: .	41	*	**	· ·· · ·		::	
nunuuras	(4		50	50		"		· · · · · ·	*	:	. 49		

2.12

	Primary education				Secondary general				Secondary vocational			
	Teac % fo	chers	Pup % fer	ils	Teac % for	hers	Pur % for	pils	Teac % fer	hers	Pur % for	bils
	1980	1995 ^a	1980	1994	1980	1995ª	1980	1994	1980	1994	1980	1994
Hungary		84	49	49			65	63			39	45
India		32	39	43	30					•••••••		13
Indonesia		52	46	48				46		27	27	40
Iran, Islamic Rep.	57	55	40	47		46	39	45	10	17	16	24
Iraq	48	68	46	45	42	55	32	39	24	52	29	26
Ireland	74	78	49	49	······································		<u></u> 51	50				49
Israel	•••	83	49	49	•••		56	53		•••	46	45
Italv		93	49	49	64	71	48	50	45	45	41	43
Jamaica	87	89	50	49	67	••	52	•••	56	56	65	•••
Japan	57	60	49	49	•••	34	50	50	••	28	47	45
Jordan	59	61	48	49	44	48	46	55	28	37	30	35
Kazakhstan		97	••	49	••	74	•••	52	•••	•••	•••	•••
Kenya	31	40	47	49	••••••	33	42	44	••	······ ········ ,,	••	••
Korea, Dem. Rep.	••	••	••	••	••	••	•••		••	••	••	••
Korea, Rep.	37	59	49	48	28	41	46	47	20	25	44	53
Kuwait	56	71	48	49	50	54	46	49	••	25	••	34
Kyrgyz Republic	88	83	49	50	58	71	49	51	••	38	50	50
Lao PDR	30	42	45	43	26	39	38	39	••	26	28	31
Latvia		97	49	48		81		52	••••••	·····		45
Lebanon	···	 .	48	48	••	••	51	53	8	35	40	47
Lesotho	75	79	59	53	48	51	60	59	47	::	56	46
Libya	47	·····	47	49	24		39	. .	12		25	
Lithuania	97	98	49	48	85	82		52				42
Macedonia, FYR	••	53		48	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	52	·····	60	••			44
Madagascar	••	56	49	49	·····			50			11	34
Malawi	32	38	41	47				39		·····	·····	4
Malaysia		59	49	49	46	54	48	51			29	
Mali	20	23	36	39		18	29		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Mauritania	9	20	35	45			21	36		4		23
Mauritius		50	49	49	39		48	51			22	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Mexico			49	48	::	···· ··· · ····	43	48		·····	66	59
Moldova	96	97	49	49	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		51	51				43
Mongolia		91	49	51	······	. 67	52	58		56	63	48
Morocco	30	38	37							26		40
Mozambique			43	42	27	19	29	40	15	24	1/	25
Nemihie	54		48	48	61		45		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Nanipia		100		50		40	······			20		39
Netherlands	10								•••••••			
New Zeeland			49	00	20	30		⊃∠ 50	•••••••	31	41	41
Nicaragua		81	4 9 51	4 9 50	41. 	56		52		49	02 56	<u>4</u> .(10
Niger	30	34	35	38		23		33			8	13
Nigeria	33	46	43				36		38			
Norway	56			49							<u>+'</u> 47	
Oman	34	50		48		48	25	48				
Pakistan	32				30		26		20	20		
Panama	80		48		55				47	<u>29</u> 47		
Papua New Guinea	27	37		45						31	···· ··· ···	
Paraguay		55	48		······ ••••	65	49					43
Peru	60	58	48	···· ·· ···		39	46	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	··· ··· ···	40	····· ··· ··· ···
Philippines	80		49	50			53	···· ··· ··· ···		··· ··································		··· ····· ··· ···
Poland	••	•••	49	48	•••	• ••• ••		68	••	•••	44	41
Portugal	•••		48	••	59	••	48	•••		•••	•••	••
Puerto Rico		••	••	•••	•••	••		•••		•••	••	•••
Romania	70	84	49	49	53	65	65	53	41	54	45	42
Russian Federation	98	98	49	49	76	79	51	52	••	••	••	••



		Primary e	ducation			Secondar	y general		Secondary vocational			
	To	achore	Dr	nile	Teor	obers	Du	oils	Taba	hore	P.	nilo
	% f	female	% fe	male	% fe	emale	% fe	male	% fer	nale	% fe	male
	1980	1995 ^a	1980	1994	1980	1995 ª	1980	1994	1980	1994	1980	1994
Rwanda	38		48	50		· · · · · ·	28				55	
Saudi Arabia	39	51	39	47	33	49	37	44	,,	15		12
Senegal	24	26	40	43	15	15	34	35		:	25	35
Sierra Leone	. 22	••			. 21		30			. :		
Singapore	. 66		48	::		"	51	· ··.	24		23	
Slovak Republic		91	 .	49		. 75	·•	. 51	·· .	.63		48
Slovenia	. "			. 49				. 52		58		. 44
South Africa		. 58				64	 E 4	53				
Spain			49 .	48 .	43		51	51 E1	. 31 <u>.</u>		46	52
Sudan			. 40	40	· · · · ·	. 02 .		. <u>oi</u> t	· "	. "		
Sweden		00	.40, .		. 20	. 64				•••	. 21	50
Switzerland	"		49	49			49	50	·· .	.".	39	41
Svrian Arab Republic	54		43	47	22	44	37	44	15	34		
Tajikistan		51		49	• •	34		47				
Tanzania	37	43	47	49	28	25	33	43				• • •
Thailand	49		48	49	57		46	50				
Togo	21	14	38	40	13	11	24	26				
Trinidad and Tobago	66	.74	50	49	. 52	56	50	51				
Tunisia	29	. 49	. 42	. 47		. 36	39	. 47	. "			· •• .
Turkey	41	. 44	45	47	36	. 40	35	. 39	. 34	39	•:	•• .
Turkmenistan					". .	••	••.			•• .		τ.
Uganda	30	32	. 43	44 .	20	. "	29	38		· ··.		
Ukraine	. 97		. 49	49				51	Ϋ.,	· * ·		••.
United Arab Emirates		69		. 48	. 48		. 45	10	· • ·	. ".		
	. '	02 .	49	. 49			49	. 49	·· .		57	5 <u>2</u>
United States	·		4,9 . 	49 . 			49	. 49 .	••	••	••	••••
Uzbekistan			. 79.	49	48		46		••			
Venezuela	83	75	50	50								
Vietnam	65		47		58	· · · ·	47					
West Bank and Gaza	· · · ··	48		•• • • • •	· · ·	41				,	·	
Yemen, Rep.	11	•••		28						•••	• •	
Yugoslavia, FR (Serb./Mont.)		75		49				51				
Zambia	. 40	. 43	. 47			:: .	35	. 38	3			
Zimbabwe	. 38	. 41	. 48		••		. 42	44				
World	45 w	53 w	45 w	46 w	w		••	W		•	34 w	w
	32	39	42		26	33	·· ·····	41	··· ····			31
Excl. China & India	31	······	42 	 19	······		•••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	······	•••••••	······
Lower middle income			47	40				••	·····	••••••••		
Lipper middle income			49			••						
Low & middle income	42									···· ·····	32	
East Asia & Pacific	41	46	45	47		35			······	·····	33	45
Europe & Central Asia	84	85	48	48	······	67	••	·····	•••	••	•••	•••
Latin America & Carib.	••	······	49	•••	•••	···	••	••	•••	•••	•••	••
Middle East & N. Africa	44	51	42	45	35	44	••	•••	••	•••••	26	30
South Asia	24	31	38	41	27	34	••	38			27	15
Sub-Saharan Africa	29	38	43	46	····	••						
High income	<i>.</i>	76	49	49	·····	49		49				

76 a. Data are from UNESCO's forthcoming World Education Report 1998. They are not yet available in time series.

Although data on female enrollment suffer from the same problems affecting data on general enrollment discussed in the notes to table 2.10, female enrollment as a share of total enrollment is a relatively simple indicator that does not raise serious problems of cross-country comparability. Most countries could achieve gender parity in primary and secondary schools, especially if education resources kept pace with the population of children. Yet disparities remain, and female enrollment rates tend to be positively correlated with other indicators of development (UNRISD 1977).

Girls' enrollments have caught up with boys' in most high-income countries, as well as in Latin America and the Caribbean. But they lag behind in Sub-Saharan Africa, South Asia, and the Middle East. In low- and lower-middle-income countries dropout rates at the primary level are higher for girls than for boys, indicating that the gender gap in these countries is wider than is reflected by enrollment rates. One reason for this is early child-bearing in many of these countries, which is clearly incompatible with schooling.

The economic incentives for educating girls lie in the opportunities women have to work. Teaching has always been one of the first professions open to women, making the number of female teachers a revealing indicator of employment opportunities. In addition, female teachers are important role models for girls, particularly in societies where female education is not encouraged or male teaching of females is forbidden. Over the past decade the proportion of female primary school teachers has increased everywhere. But data on teachers may not reflect the functions they perform. Schools may employ teachers in many capacities outside the classroom, and the responsibilities assigned to male and female teachers may differ systematically.

Figure 2.12a

Gender disparities in education do not respond to changes in GNP per capita



Source: UNESCO, world Bank, start estimates,

Although the dispainty between the annoliment of boys and gives has narrowed, the percentage of entolied gives continues to lag behing that of boys in many parts of the developing world. The opstacles to female education stem from many factors, national education policies that affect boys and gives differently: uneven distribution of primary schools, especially in rural areas: tack of schools for gives in systems segregated by sex: perceived irrelevance of primary school curricula to women's employment possibilities; and demand for the household labor of givis.

Gender disparities in educational enrollment are not correlated with an oceall standard of living such as GNP per capital so gender disparity is not something that economies ignow out of i Filmer, King, and Pritchett 1998). Thus any strategy to improve female enrollment should aim at estabtishing supportive national policies, providing access to schools with adequate infrastructure, and reducing the direct and opportunity cost of girls' altendance. • Female teachers as a percentage of total teachers includes full-time and part-time teachers. • Female pupils as a percentage of total pupils includes enrollments in public and private schools but may exclude specialized schools and training programs.

2 1 2

Data sources

Definitions



The estimates in this table were compiled using the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization's electronic database on institutions, teachers, and pupils.



2.13 Health expenditure, services, and use

	Hea	llth expendit	ture	He expe per	ealth nditure capita	Physi	iclans	Hospit	al beds	Inpatient admission rate	Average length of stay	Outpatient visits per capita
	Public	Private	Total	PPP		per	1,000	per	1,000	% of		
	% of GDP 199095 °	% of GDP 1990–95 ª	% of GDP 1990–95 ^{a,b}	\$ 1990-95	\$ 1990–95	pe 1980	ople 1994	pe 1980	ople 1994	population 1990–97	days 1990–97	1990-97
Albania	2.7					0.9	1.3		3.0		11	2
Algeria	3.3	1.3	4.6	247	109	. 0.0	0.8	· · · ·	2.1			
Angola	4.0		· ·· ·· ·· ··	······································			0.0	"	1.3			
Argentina	4.3	6.3	10.6	932	877	• • •	2.7	• •	4.6			•••
Armenia	3.1	4.7	7.8	140	10	3.5	3.1	8.4	7.8	8	15	3
Australia	6.0	3.0	8.9	1,728	1,578	1.8	2.2		8.9	14	14	11
Austria	5.9	1.9	7.9	1,720	1,926	2.3	2.6	11.2	9.4	. 25	. 11	. 6
Azerbaijan	1.4	6.1	7.5			3.4	3.8	9.7	10.0	. 6	18	<u>1</u>
Bangladesh	1.2	1.3	2.4			0.1	. 0.2	0.2	0.3			
Belarus	5.3	1.1	. 6.4	280	245	. 3.4	4.1	12.5	12.4	26	. 18	. 11
Popin	1.7	1.0	8.0	1,784	2,082	2.5	0.1	. 9 <u>.</u> 4	. 7.6	20	12	⁸ .
Bolivia	· <u>† 1</u> 27	24	5.0	138	38		0.1	, 1.J .	14		•••	· · · ·
Bosnia and Herzegovina						. 0.0	0.6		2.0		 15	**
Botswana	1.9	1.4	3.1	171		0.1	0.2	2.4	1.6			
Brazil	2.7	4.7	7.4	428	261	0.8	1.4		3.0	, Oc		2
Bulgaria	4.0	1.4	6.9	296	197	2.5	3.3	11.1	10.2	18	14	6
Burkina Faso	2.3	3.2	5.5	43	22	0.0			0.3			
Burundi	0.9				••	"	0.1		0.7	· ··.		·· .
Cambodia	0.7	6.5	. 7.2		18	0.1	0.1		. 2.1			
Cameroon		0.4	1.4				. 0.1	 .	2,6			· · ·
Canada	6.8	2./	9.6	2,238	1,835	1.8	2.2		5.4	13	12	ſ.,
Central Arrican Republic	. 1.9		· ····· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		"	. 0.0 .	0.0	1.6	0.9	. :		· •• •
Chile	3.4 2.5		65	20	241		11	 3.1	32		••	. 🕆 .
China	21	1.8	3.8	100	23		1.1	2.0	. 2.4		15	••••••
Hong Kong, China	1.9	2.5	4.3	1.036	944	0.8	1.3	4.0	~··!	2		1
Colombia	3.0	4.4	7.4	487	138		0.9	1.6	1.4			
Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.2		••	•••	•••	· · ·	0.1	• •	1.4	• •		
Congo, Rep.	1.8	3.2	6.3	170	102	0.1	0.3		3.3			
Costa Rica	6.3	2.2	8.5	536	214	::	0.9	3.3	2.5		. ··	. ••.
Côte d'Ivoire	1.4	. 2.0	3.4	71			0.1		0.8	••		
Croatia	8.5	1.6	10.1		. 302		2.0	••.	5.9	. 14	••	••
Cuba			"		: .	. 1.4	3.6		5.4			
Czech Republic	/.!	1.9	9.0	1 509	1 940		2.9	· · *	. 7.4	19	13	. 10
Dominican Republic	20	33		220	71	2,4	. 2.9		2.0	. 23	. 0	
Fcuador	2.0	3.3	5.3	253			1.5	1.9	1.6			
Egypt, Arab Rep.	1.6 ^d	2.1 ^d	3.7 ^d			1.1	1.8	2.0	2.1	3	8	4
El Salvador	1.2	3.8	5.0	132	74	0.3	0.7		1.5			
Eritrea	1.1	0.9	2.0		· · · · ·				· · ·	••.	• ••	
Estonia	6.3				".	. 4.2	3.1	12,4	. 8.4	18	12	<u>6</u>
Ethiopia	1.7				"	0.0	0.0	0,3	0.2	·		
Finland	5.7	1.9	7.7	1,521	1,526	1.9	2.7	15.5	10.1	23	12	.4 .
France	8.0	1.9	9.9	2,156	2,576	.2.2	2.8	· · · ·	9.0	. 21 .	11	. 6
Gapon		· · · ·				0.5	0.5		3,2	.:		
Georgia	U &	."				 4 8	42	 10 7	82	5	 13	2
Germany	8.2	2.3	10.4	2.123	2,578	2.2	3.3		9.7	21	14	13
Ghana	1.3	0.1	1.4	30					1.5			
Greece	5.5	1.8	7.3	706	488	2.4	4.0	6.2	5.0	. 14	8	•
Guatemala	0.9	1.7	2.7	92	33		0.3		1.1		••	• •• .
Guinea	1.2	· · · · · · · ·				0.0	0.2	. :•	0.6	. ".		. ••
Guinea-Bissau	1.1	<mark></mark>	· · · · ·		"	0.1		1.8	1.5			••
Haiti	. 1.3	. 2.3	3.6	. 35		0.1	0.1	0.7	. 0.8			•• .
Honduras	2.8	2.8	5.6	121	34	0.3	0.4	. 1.3	1.0	· ·		

_ _

_ _ _ _ _

	Public Private Total % of GDP % of GDP % of GDP 1990-95 ^a 1990-95 ^a 1990-95 ^a 6.8 0.5 7.3		ture	He exper per o	alth Iditure capíta	Physic	cians	Hospita	al beds	Inpatient admission rate	Average length of stay	Outpatient visits per capita
	Public % of GDP 1990–95 ª	Private % of GDP 1990–95 ª	Total % of GDP 1990–95^{a,b}	PPP \$ 1990–95	\$ 1990-95	per 1 per 1980	L,000 ople 1994	per : pec 1980	1,000 opłe 1994	% of population 1990–97	days 1990–97	1990-97
Hungary	6.8	0.5	7.3	496	295	2.5	3.6	9.1	9.6	24	10	14
India	0.7	4.4	5.6	68	24	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.8	•••		
Indonesia	0.7	1.1	1.8	76		0.1	0.2		0.7	::	6	
Iran, Islamic Rep.	2.8	2.0	4.8	239	1,343	0.3	0.3	1.5	1.4		···· ·· · ·	::
Iraq						0.6	0.6	1.9	1./			······
Irelanu		<u></u>		560	825	<u>+</u>	2.0					···· ···
Italy		2.4	 7.7	1.605	1.404	1.3	1.7		6.5			
Jamaica	3.0	2.3	5.4	212	91	0.4	0.5	····· ··· ···	2.1		·····	•• •••
Japan	5.7	1.6	7.2	1,587	2,580	1.4	1.8	11.3	16.2		46	16
Jordan	3.7	4.2	7.9	347	118	0.8	1.6	1.3	1.6	11	3	3
Kazakhstan	2.2	••	••	••	••	3.2	3.8	13.1	12.2	16	17	1
Kenya	1.9	1.0	2.5	34	13	0.1	0.0	••	1.7	"		· · · · ·
Korea, Dem. Rep.	::		 . ::	::		2.5		::			·····•	
Korea, Rep.	1.8	3.6	5.4	518	420	0.6	1.2	1.7	4.1	6	19	2
Kuwait	3.6					1.7	0.2	4.1	::			 .
Kyrgyz Republic	3.7			····· ·····		2.9	3.1	12.0	9.9	16	15	1
Lao PDR	1.3	1.3	2.6	···· ·· · ::			0.2		2.6			
Latvia				· ···· ··· !!		4.1	3.0	13.7	21	Z1		
Lebanon	35	3.3	5.5	·····		··· ····	1.9	• • • • • • • • • • •		••••••		·····
Libva					··· ··································	1.3	1.1	4.8	4.2	····· ·· ··* ····	······································	
Lithuania	5.1			······		3.9	4.0	12.1	11.1	20		
Macedonia, FYR	7.3	0.9	8.3	• ••••	••	••	2.3		5.5	9	15	3
Madagascar	1.1	••	••		•••	0.1	0.1	•••	0.9	••	••	0.1
Malawi	2.3					0.0	0.0	·····	1.6		·····	
Malaysia	1.4	1.0	2.5	220	85	0.3	0.4	2.3	2.0	::		
Mali	2.0	1.3	2.9	15	11	0.0	0.1		# .	····· ····	<i>.</i>	
Mauritania	1.8	4.1	5.2	75	35		0.1		0.7		:•	
Mauritius		1.7	3.4	408	109	0.5	0.8	3.1	3.1		·····•	
Mexico	2.8	3.0	5.3			0.9	1.3	::	1.2			
Mondolio	4.9			174			3.0	12.0	12.2		18	8
Morocco	1.6	1.6	<u>0.</u> /	<u>+</u> (4 126	36	0.1	0.4	1 2	11	23	: •	
Mozambique	4.6					0.0	<u></u>	 1.1	0.9			
Myanmar	0.4	·····		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	· ·· ··· ·· ·· ··	0.2	0.1	0.9	0.6	· ·········	···· ·································	· ······ ···
Namibia	3.7	3.7	7.6	303	153	•••	0.2	······ ··· ···		•••	•••••	•••
Nepal	1.2	3.8	5.0	60	9	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	••••	······	
Netherlands	6.7	2.0	8.8	1,813	2,198	2.1	2.5	12.5	11.3	6	33	6
New Zealand	5.7	1.8	7.4	1,260	1,018	1.6	2.1	••	7.3		7	
Nicaragua	4.3	3.5	7.8		34	0.4	0.7		. 1.8		:• .	
Niger	1.6					!!	0.0		· ··· · · ·	::		
Nigeria	0.3	1.0	1.4	18		0.1	0.2	0.9	1./			
Omon		1.4	8.0	2,080	2,274	1.9	3.3	15.0	13.5		10	
Pakistan	0.8				". 17		0.9	1.0	0.7	····· ¹ ····		3
Panama	5.4	20	75	485		1.0	1.8		25	!!		
Papua New Guinea	2.8				··· · ·· ·· ·	0.1	0.1	:: . 5.5	4.0	···· ··········		·*
Paraguay	1.0	3.3	4.3	161	72	0.6	0.3		0.6			••
Peru	2.6	2.3	4.9	199	106	0.7	1.0	· · · · ·	1.4	0°	• • • •	2
Philippines	1.3	1.0	2,4	60	22	0.1	0.1	1.7	1.1	•••••		
Poland	4.8	1.1	6.0	283	226	1.8	2.3	5.6	6.3	14	11	6
Portugal	4.5	3.6	8.1	1,058	797	2.0	2.9	•••••	4.3	11	10	
Puerto Rico		6.0				:: .	:	 .			:•	
Romania						1.5	1.8	8.8	7.7	18	10	5
Russian Federation	4.1	0.6	4.8	225	96	4.0	3.8	13.0	11.8	20	17	8



	Hea	alth expendi	ture	He expe per	ealth nditure capita	Phys	icians	Hospit	tal beds	Inpatient admission rate	Average length of stay	Outpatient visits per capita
	Public	Private	Total	PPP	¢	per	1,000	per	1,000	% of		
	% 01 GDP 1990-95ª	% OF GDP 1990-95ª	³ 01 GDP 1990-95 ^{a,b}	⊅ 1990–95	1990–95	1980	1994	1980	opie 1994	1990-97	days 1990–97	1990-97
Rwanda				• •	· ·	. 0.0	0.0	15	17			
Saudi Arabia	3.1	: .		202	159	0.5	1.3	1.5	2.5			••
Senegal	2.5	· · · · ·	· · · ·			0.1	0.1	1.0	0.7			
Sierra Leone	1.6	2.0	3.6	22	18	0.1		1.2				
Singapore	1.3	2.3	3.6	845	987	0.9	1.4	4.2	3.6	12		
Slovak Republic	6.0						2.8		71	20	11	12
Slovenia	7.4		•••				2.2	7.0	5.8	16	11	
South Africa	3.6	4.3	7.9	396	257							
Spain	6.0	1.7	7.6	1,166	1,043	2.8	4.1		4.0	10	11	
Sri Lanka	1.4	0.4	1.9	. 61	. 12	0.1	0.1	2.9	2.7			
Sudan		. 2.7	0.3	 .	. 29	0.1		0.9	1.1		•••	
Sweden	6.0	1.3	7.3	1,523	1,724	2.2	3.0	14.8	6.5	19	8	3
Switzerland	. 7.2	. 2.8	10.0	2.395	3,533		3.1		20.8	15		11
Syrian Arab Republic		. :			"	0.4	0.8	1.1	1.1			
Jajikistan	. 6.4	·** · ·	· · · · ·		. :.	2.4	2.1	10.0	88	16	15	
lanzania						0.1		1.4	0.9			۰۰ .
Todo	1 ,4 . 17	. 3.8 					0.2	1.5	1.7			.,
Trinidad and Tobago		13	39	381	151	0.1	. 0.1		3.2	••		
Tunisia	3.0	29	59		104	0.3	0.6	21	1.8	8		·· .
Turkey	2.7	1.5	4.2	239	100	0.6	1.1	2.2	2.5	6	6	1
Turkmenistan	2.8					2.9	3.2	10.6	11.5	17	15	
Uganda	1.6	2.2	3.9	61	10	0.0		1.5	0.9			
Ukraine	5.0			· · ·		3.7	4.4	12.5	12.2	23	17	10
United Arab Emirates	2.0	0.5	2.5	378	379	1.1	0.8	2.8	3.1	11	5	
United Kingdom	5.8	1.1	6.9	1,373	1,208	1.6	1.5	9.3	4.9	23	10	6
United States	6.6	7,7	14.2	3,801	3.667	1.8	2.5	59	4.2	12	8	6
Uruguay	. 2.0	6.5	8.5	642	439	2.0	3.2		4.5		••	
Uzbekistan	3.5	 .	. :• .			2.9	3.3 _.	11.5	8.7	19	14	
Venezuela	2.3	4.8	.7.1	602	202	. 0.8	1.6	0.3	2.6			
Vietnam	1.1	. 4.1	. 5.2	. "	. "	. 0.2	0.4	3.5	3.8	7	8	3
West Bank and Gaza				· · ·								
remen, Rep.	. 1.2	1.5	2.6			0.1	0.1	12 6	0.8			
Zambio		"				0.1		13.0	0.4	0	12	2
Zimbabwe	2.4	. 4.2	. 3 <u>.</u> 3	. 3,⊥. 122	. 302	0.1	0.1	3.0	0.5		••	
Employee	2.0	7.2	0.0	. 122	00	0.2	0.1	J.1	0.0		••	
World	3.2 w	2.8 w	5.4 w	532 w	505 w	1.0 w	1.4 w	3.5 w	3.8 w			
Low income	1.5	2.7	4.2	78	22	0.6	1.0	1.5	1.6			
Excl. China & India	1.1	2.0	3.1	47	18	•••	0.4	1.5	1.5	•••	••• •	• •• •••••
Middle income	4.3	2.4	5.1	264	209	1.4	1.6	•••	4.6	··· ··· ··· ··		•••
Lower middle income	••	•••	••			1.6	1.8	6.9	5.4	••		
Upper middle income			••	••	··	1.0	1.6	·············	3.3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Low & middle income	2.4	2.6	4.5	139	83	0.9	1.2	2.7	2.7			
East Asia & Pacific	1.7	1.9	3.6	106	27	0.8	1.4	2.0	2.1			
Europe 1 Control - Sta	۱ J	. 1	E 4	:_= 	_]]		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				,	
Latin America & Carib.	2.9	3.9	6.7	425	248	0.8	1.4					
Middle East & N. Africa	2.4	2.2	4,5		433	<i>U.7</i>		1.7	1.8		:	
South Asia	1.2	3.8	5.0	64	21	0.3	0.4	<i>U. 1</i>	U./	···· ···	· ··· [*] · ··	
Sup-Sanaran Atrica		1.6 	2.9	۱۵ ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰	00 2 404	10	 ? E	····				
		J./	9.0 	/	∠,404	1.ð	∠.9					

a. Data are for most recent year available, b. Data may not sum to totals because of rounding, c. Less than 0.5, d. Data are for 1997.

Most industrial countries have national health accounting systems that track and compare public and private health care expenditures. Data on private and public health expenditures are required for the public sector to rationalize its spending and to devise policies that are both efficient and equitable. Few developing countries. however, have national health accounts. As a result cross-country comparisons of health financing data are difficult, especially because records of private out-ofpocket expenditures are often lacking. Compiling estimates of public health expenditures is also complicated in countries where state, provincial, and local governments are involved in health care financing because such data are not regularly reported and are often of poor quality. Furthermore, in some countries health services are considered social services, and so are excluded from health sector expenditures. The data on health expenditures shown here were collected by the World Bank as part of its health, nutrition, and population strategy. No estimates were made for countries with incomplete data

Health services indicators (physicians and hospital beds per 1,000 people) and health utilization indicators (inpatient admission rates, average length of stay, and outpatient visits) come from a variety of sources (see below). Data are lacking for many countries, and for others comparability is limited by differences in definitions. For example, some countries incorrectly include retired physicians or those working outside the health sector in estimates of health personnel. Moreover, it is important to recognize that these indicators show the availability and use of health services but do not reflect their quality—that is, how well trained physicians are or how well equipped hospitals are.

Average length of stay in hospitals is one indicator of the efficiency of resource use. Longer stays may reflect a waste of resources if patients are kept in hospitals beyond the time medically required, inflating demand for hospital beds and increasing hospital costs. Aside from differences in cases and financing methods, crosscountry variations in average length of stay may result from differences in the role of hospitals. Many developing countries do not have separate extended facilities, so hospitals become the source of long-term as well as acute care. Data for some countries may not include all public and private hospitals. Admission rates may be overstated in some countries if outpatient surgeries are counted as hospital admissions. And in many countries outpatient visits, especially emergency visits, may result in double counting if a patient receives treatment in more than one department.

Figure 2.13a

Low-income countries devote relatively less public spending to health . . .



fra e performante periorida e si a per capita don fra e performativa for parante pos er parity. Source: Ree Carl Sour CS

The income elasticity of health spending, defined as the percentage change in health spending resulting from a percentage change in income can provide a userul measure of now differences in income translate into differences in nealth expenditures. Globally, every 1 percent increase in per capita income causes total health expenditures to increase by 1.24 percent. Income elasticities are 1.08 for lowincome countries. 1.10 for middle income countries, and 1.96 for high-income countries. Thus countries with higher incomes tend to spend a larger share of their income on health. Public health expenditures increase by 1.33 percent for every 1 percent increase in per capita income. Private health expenditures are less responsive to income changes lincome elasticity of 0.99).

Definitions

• Public health expenditure consists of recurrent and capital spending from government (central and local) budgets, external borrowings and grants (including donations from international agencies and nongovernmental organizations), and social (or compulsory) health insurance funds. . Private health expenditure includes direct household (out-of-pocket) spending, private insurance, charitable donations, and direct service payments by private corporations. . Total health expenditure is the sum of public and private health expenditures. It covers the provision of health services (preventive and curative), family planning activities, nutrition activities, and emergency aid designated for health but does not include provision of water and sanitation. • Physicians are defined as graduates of any faculty or school of medicine who are working in the country in any medical field (practice, teaching, research). • Hospital beds include inpatient beds available in public, private, general, and specialized hospitals and rehabilitation centers. In most cases beds for both acute and chronic care are included. · Inpatient admission rate is the percentage of the population admitted to hospitals during a year. · Average length of stay is the average duration of inpatient hospital admissions. • Outpatient visits per capita is the number of visits to health care facilities per capita, including repeat visits.

2 13

L

Data sources



Health expenditure estimates come from country sources, supplemented by information from international agencies and World Bank country and sector studies; including the Human Development Network's Sector Strategy:

Health, Nutrition. and Population. Data were also drawn from World Bank public expenditure reviews, the International Monetary Fund's government finance data files, and other studies. Data for private expenditure are largely from household surveys and World Bank poverty assessments and sector studies. The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) provided data on public and private health expenditures and health services and use data for member countries. Data for physicians and beds are from the World Health Organization (WHO), supplemented by country data.



2.14 Access to health services

	Healt	h care	Safe	water	Sanit	ation	ļ	Child in	nmunization	
					[
	%	of	%	of	%	of	Mea	sles	I	OPT
	popu with a	access	popu with a	access	yopul with a	ation ccess	% of cr under 12	nildren Months	% of under 1	children 2 months
	1980	1993	1980	1995	1980	1995	1980	1995	1980	1995
Albania	100			· ··· · · · ·	•••	· · ·	90	91	94	97
Algeria	··· ·· ·· ··	••	77	•••			17	69	33	75
Angola	70	24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	32	•••••	16	26	32	6	21
Argentina	:•		:•	. 64		89	58	76	41	82
Armenia	••				· · · · ····	:*		95		83
Australia	. 99	100		95	99	.90	. 68			86
Austria		100	100			100	. 25	60	. 90	. 90
Azerbaijan		::	· · · · · · · · · · ·		:			91		90
Bangladesh				/9		35	0	. 96	0 .	91
Beldrus	**	100		······································		100		. 96		.89
Bengium	· · · · · · ·		······ · ··· ·			100	50	70 01	. 95	97
Bolivia	"	42	. 	60		<u>20</u> 		. 01 .		. 0,1
Bosnia and Herzegovina		· · · · · · ·	· ·· · · · · ·			77			. 11	. 67
Botswana	· · ··· [·] · · ·	86	••••			55	63	. 68	64	76
Brazil	•••	•••	••	72	· ·· ·· ·· ·· ··	41	56	78	40	83
Bulgaria	··· · · · ·	100	96	• • • •	•••	99		93	97	100
Burkina Faso			35	78	5	18	23	55	2	47
Burundi		80					30	44	38	57
Cambodia				13	 .			75		79
Cameroon		15	••			. 40	16	. 51	. 5	48
Canada		.99		100	60	. 85	🤫	. 98	. 80	93
Central African Republic	:	13				a a gra a	. 12	. 70 .	13	40
Chad		26						24	1 .	18
Chile		95	··· · ··			.83		93	94	.98
Unitia	· · ··"·		· · · · · ·			. 21	/8	89	58	92
Colombia						63	1/1	77	15	83
Congo Dem Ren		59							18	35
Congo, Rep.				47			49	.39	. 42	50
Costa Rica	·····		· · · · · · · · · ·	••••			60	94	86	85
Côte d'Ivoire	: 	60	20	72	17	54		57		40
Croatia		•• • •	•••	96	•••	68		90		87
Cuba	···· · · · ··	100	61	93	31	66	48	100	67	100
Czech Republic								96		96
Denmark		100	100	100	100	100		88	85	89
Dominican Republic	" .		: .		".	78	. 29	100	35	83
Ecuador	· · · · · · · · ·	. 80		. 70	· · ·	. 64	24	100	. 10	74
Egypt, Arab Rep.	. 100		90	64 .	. 70	. 11 .	78	82	84	. 91
El Salvador			**	55		68	. 45	. 94	43	100
Entrea		" .	·	*	· · · · · ·	. " .		29		35
Estonia			· · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · ·		14	38	. 04	04. 47
Finland	· ·· · · ·	100	· · · Ť.	100	100	100	70	98	92	100
France				100	. 100	96	,0	78	79	89
Gabon	· · · · ·		· ·· · · ^{**} ·	67		76	· · ·	50	14	48
Gambia, The			42		· · · · · · ·	37	71	68	80	78
Georgia		· · ·	•••		•••		·	63		
Germany			· · · · · · · ·			100	35	75		80
Ghana		25		56		27	16	54	7	51
Greece	. •.				· · · ·	96		70	72	78
Guatemala	••.	<u> 6</u> 0	''	60		66	23	84	43	80
Guinea		45		. 62	. 12	70		69		73
Guinea-Bissau			. 24	23	".	20		. 68	. 9	74
Haiu		45		. 28 .	· · · · · ·	. 24		24	3	30
nonduras		62		65		02	. 35	90	31	90

	Healt	h care	Safe	water	Sanit	tation		Child imr	nunization	
	% Juqoq	of lation	% popu	of lation	% popu	of lation	Mea % of c	asles hildren	Di % of c	PT hildren
	with a	1002	with a	ICCESS	with a	1005	under 12	2 months	under 12	2 months
	T390	T 222	1390	T322		T322	T290	T222	T290	T332
Hungary		····· ······	::	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		94	99	100		100
India	50		•:				0		31	86
Indonesia		43		62			0		0	92
Iran, Islamic Rep.	50	73	50		60		39	88		99
Iraq		98	74		••	87	35	88	13	91
Ireland						100	10	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	34	65
Israel		100		99		70	69	94	84	92
Italy		·····	99		99	100	5	50		50
Jamaica	··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			70		74	12	82	34	90
Japan		100			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	85	69	68	60	85
Jordan	••	90	89	89	76	100	29	92	30	100
Kazakhstan								72		80
Kenya	••		·· ··	53		77		35		40
Korea, Dem, Rep.	••	100		100	••	100	29	98	50	96
Korea, Rep.	••	100	••	89	••	100	4	92	70	100
Kuwait	100	100	100	••	100	100	48	93	67	100
Kyrgyz Republic		••		75	••	53	••	89	**	83
Lao PDR		•-		39	••	19	••	65	••	54
Latvia	••			••			••	85	••	65
Lebanon	····· ································		92	••	59			65	4	94
Lesotho	••	80	18	52	12	6	49	82	56	58
Libya	100	100	90	90	70	•••	65		60	96
Lithuania	•••	•••	•••	••	••	•• ••	•••	94	••	96
Macedonia, FYR	•••••		•••	••	••	•••	•••	85	•••	87
Madagascar	•••	65	•••	29	••	3	••••	59	48	67
Malawi	40	80	······	45	•••	53	49	99	58	98
Malavsia	••••	88		88	75	91	11	81	58	90
Mali	20	••	••	37	•••	31	••	49	••	46
Mauritania			•••	••	••		45	53	18	50
Mauritius	100	99	••••	98	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	100	34	85	87	89
Mexico	51	91	••	83	•••	66	35	90	41	92
Moldova			•••	••	•••	50	••	98		86
Mongolia	90	100	•••	••	•••	••	•••	85	76	88
Morocco		62	32	52	50	40	17	87	43	90
Mozambique	•••	30		32	10	21	32	71	56	57
Myanmar	30		20	38	20	41	•••••••	66	4	84
Namibia	•• •••	••	••	••	····· · ·····	34	•••	57	••	61
Nepal	10		11	48	0	20	2	78	8	65
Netherlands	•••	100	100	100	100	100	91	95	96	97
New Zealand	•••	100	87	••	•••		80	87	76	89
Nicaragua	•••	••	•••	61	••	31	15	81	15	85
Niger		30	•••	53	•••	15	19	38	6	19
Nigeria	40	67	••••	39	•••	36	55	50	••	44
Norway	••	100	•••	•-	100	100	80	93	90	92
Oman	75	89	15	••	•••	79	22	98	18	100
Pakistan	65	85	38	60	16	30	1	53	2	58
Panama	••	82	•••	83	•• ••	87	47	84	47	86
Papua New Guinea	••	96		28	"	22		55	32	50
Paraguay		•••	•• •• •••		···· ···· ···· ··· ···	30		76	17	79
Peru	•••		••••	60	···· ··· ·····························	44	<u>-</u> 21	97	<u>-</u> . 14	
Philippines	•••	••	••	••	•••	•••		86	47	86
Poland	100	100	67	•• ••	50	100	92	96	96	95
Portugal	••	••	57		••••	100		94	73	93
Puerto Rico	••	••	••	••	•••	••	•••		••	••
Romania	••		77		50	49	83	93	••	98
Russian Federation				••		••		91	••	72



_	Health care		Safe	water	Sanit	tation		Child im	munization	
	% of population with access 1980 1993		% popu with a 1980	of lation access 1995	% popu with a 1980	of lation access 1995	Mea % of c under 12 1980	asles hildren 2 months 1995	DF % of of under 12 1980	PT hildren t months 1995
Rwanda		•••			· · · · · ·		42	74	17	83
Saudi Arabia	85	98	91	93	76	86	8	94	41	96
Senegal		40		50	· · · · · · ·	58		80		80
Sierra Leone	26			34	13	11	36	44	. 13	41
Singapore		100	100	100		97	47	88	. 84	95
Slovak Republic					43	51	•••	99		99
Slovenia		· · · · ·	•••			90		91	•	98
South Africa	· ·· · ·	••••	•••	70		46	74	77	74	81
Spain	• •	•••				100	8	90		88
Sri Lanka		90	·· · ·· ·	•••	•••		· · · ·	88	. 46	91
Sudan		70	· ·· · ··	50	· · · · ·	22	1	74	1	77
Sweden		100	•••		85	100		96	99	99
Switzerland		100	· · · · · · · ·	100	85	100				89
Svrian Arab Republic	• • •		71	85	45		13	98	13	92
Taiikistan	· · ·				· ·· · · · ·	62		90		95
Tanzania	72	93		49	· · · ·	86	45	75	59	79
Thailand	30	59				70		86	49	94
Togo			· · ·			22		. 65		73
Trinidad and Tobago	· · · · ·	99		82		56		87	24	89
Tunisia		90			46		. 65	89	36	92
Turkey		100	67	92		94	27	65	42	66
Turkmenistan			· · · · · · · · ·			60		90		87
Uganda		71					22	79	.9	
Ukraine	· · · · · ·	100		97	50	49	• •	96	53	94
United Arab Emirates			100	98	75	95		90	. 11	90
United Kingdom				100		96	52	92	. 44	92
United States	· ·· ·· ··			90	98	85	. 86	89	96	94
Uruguay						. 82	50	80	53	87
lizbekistan			"		"	18		71	00	65
Venezuela		"				58		94	 56	68
Vietnam	75		:	36		21		. 95	4	94
West Bank and Gaza										
Vemen Ren	16	· · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		51		 19	 1	53
Yugoslavia ER (Serb (Mont)		·· · · · ·	".		58	100	. 2.		<u>a</u> n	92
Zambia			"	43		23		78	83	76
Zimbabwe			· · · ···	74		 . 58			.39	80
Ennouting		"		·	č				. 03	
World				78 w		47 w	42 w	82 w	46 w	84 w
Low income				76		28	40	80	39	81
Evel Ching & India		•••		51		36	18			63

Low income				76		28	40	80	39	81
Excl. China & India	••	••	••	51	••	36	18	63	13	63
Middle income	••	••				60	38	86	41	87
Lower middle income	••		·-	···	••	58	••	86	35	86
Upper middle income	•••	-,	**	76	•••	64	56	84	54	88
Low & middle income	••	••		76		37	39	82	40	83
East Asia & Pacific		••		84	••	29	64	88	49	91
Europe & Central Asia	••	••		••		••		87		81
Latin America & Carib.	••	••	••	73	••	57	44	84	38	86
Middle East & N. Africa	••		••	••	••		40	83	42	90
South Asia	•••	••	···	78	••	30	0	82	25	83
Sub-Saharan Africa		••	••	45	••	37	35	56		55
High income	•••	••		••	••	92	53	82	79	88

The indicators in the table are provided to the World Health Organization (WHO) by member states as part of their efforts to monitor and evaluate progress in implementing national health-for-all strategies. Because reliable, observation-based statistical data for these indicators do not exist in many developing countries, in most cases the data are estimates. In some cases these estimates may be skewed by a country's desire to show progress or to establish a need for international assistance.

Access indicators measure the supply of services but reveal little about benefits or rate of use. For example, data on access to health care provide no information on the quality of care or on how the consumption of services differs among groups within countries, regions, or communities. Moreover, unless these indicators are based on survey statistics, they may not fully reflect the situation. In many developing countries services by nongovernmental organizations and private charities play an increasingly important role for the poor and for many rural residents, widening the gap between official statistics and the actual production and consumption of many essential services. It is not known, however, whether such services truly replace publicly provided services, and if so, how they differ in quantity and quality from public services. In addition, health care facilities tend to be concentrated in urban areas. Separate data for rural areas (not shown here) indicate much lower coverage and access.

People's health is also influenced by the environment in which they live. A lack of clean water and basic sanitation is the main reason diseases transmitted by feces are so common in developing countries. Drinking water contaminated by feces deposited near homes and an inadequate supply of water cause diseases that account for 10 percent of the total disease burden in developing countries (World Bank 1993c). To date, however, efforts to improve the provision of water, sanitation, and drainage have been disappointing. At the end of the 1980s—which had been declared the International Drinking Water Supply and Sanitation Decade by a coalition of international aid agencies—most people in poor regions still lacked adequate sanitation.

Governments in developing countries usually finance immunization against measles and DPT (diphtheria, pertussis or whooping cough, and tetanus) as part of the basic public health package, but personnel with limited training are often used to provide the vaccines. According to the World Bank's World Development Report 1993: Investing in Health, these diseases account for about 10 percent of the disease burden among children under 5, compared with an expected 23 percent had vaccination coverage remained at the 1970s level. In many developing countries, however, data recording practices make immunization difficult to measure (WHO 1996a).

2.14

1.1 (1) (1)

ŕ

Definitions

· Percentage of population with access to health care is the share of the population that can expect treatment for common diseases and injuries, including essential drugs on the national list, within one hour's walk or travel. . Percentage of population with access to safe water is the share of the population with reasonable access to an adequate amount of safe water (including treated surface water and untreated but uncontaminated water, such as from springs, sanitary wells, and protected boreholes). In urban areas the source may be a public fountain or standpipe located not more than 200 meters away. In rural areas the definition implies that members of the household do not have to spend a disproportionate part of the day fetching water. An adequate amount of safe water is that needed to satisfy metabolic, hygienic, and domestic requirements-usually about 20 liters a person a day. The definition of safe water has changed over time. . Percentage of population with access to sanitation is the share of the population with at least adequate excreta disposal facilities that can effectively prevent human, animal, and insect contact with excreta. Suitable facilities range from simple but protected pit latrines to flush toilets with sewerage. To be effective, all facilities must be correctly constructed and properly maintained. • Child immunization is the rate of vaccination coverage of children under one year of age for four diseasesmeasles and DPT (diphtheria, pertussis or whooping cough, and tetanus). A child is considered adequately immunized against measles after receiving one dose of vaccine, and against DPT after receiving two or three doses of vaccine, depending on the immunization scheme.

Data sources



The table was produced using information provided to the WHO by countries as part of their responsibility for monitoring progress toward "health for all" and reported in the WHO's World Health Report 1996 and 1997; the

WHO's Expanded Programme of Immunization Information System; and WHO, the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), and the Water Supply and Sanitation Collaborative Council's *Water Supply* and *Sanitation Sector Monitoring Report 1996.*



2.15 Reproductive health

	Totai fertility rate		Adolescent fertility rate	Unwanted fertility rate	Contraceptive prevalence rate	Births attended by trained health staff	Maternal mortality ratio
			births per 1,000	births per 1,000	% of		per
		births per woman	women age 15–19	age 15-49	women age 15-49	% of total	100,000
	1980	1996	1995	1990–97	1990-96	1985 1992	1990-96
Albania	3.6	26	26	· ,	*	99	28ª
Algeria	6.7	3.4			 51		140ª
Angola	6.9	6.8	218		01	 15 16	1.500 ^b
Argentina	3.3	2.7	62	"			100 ^b
Armenia	2.3	1.6	50	· · · · · · · · ·	·		21ª
Australia	1.9	1.8	31	· · · · · · · ·		99	·
Austria	1.6	1,4	23				10 ^b
Azerbaijan	3.2	2.1	33	•••			44 ^a
Bangladesh	6.1	3,4	116	1.3	45	7	850 ^b
Belarus	2.0	1.3		· · · · ·		100	22ª
Belgium	1.7	1.5	11			100	10°
Benin	7.0	5.9	127	•••	17	34 34	500°
Bolivia	5.5	4.4	82	1.9	45	36 29	370 \$
Bosnia and Herzegovina	2.1		28	•••		• • •	
Botswana	6.7	4.3	106			52	250 ^b
Brazil	3.9	2.4	37		77	73 .,	160°
Bulgaria	2.1	1.2	60	· · ·		100	20ª
Burkina Faso	7.5	6.7	149	0.9	8	33	930 ^b
Burundi	6.8	6.4	66			26	1.300°
Cambodia	4.7	4.6	108			·· ··	900 ^b
Cameroon	6.5	5.5	136	0.6	16	25	550 ^b
Canada	1.7	1.7	25			99 100	,6 ^b
Central African Republic	5.8	5.0	145	"	14		700°
Chad	5.9	5.6	183			21	900°
Chile	2.8					95	180ª
China	2.5	1.9	17			51	115 ^d
Hong Kong, China	. 2.0	1.2	13		"		7 ^o
Colombia	3.8	2.7	.80	0.8		, 5 <u>1</u>	100 ^b
Congo, Dem. Rep.	6.6	6.3			".		••
Congo, Rep.	6.2	6.0	140	**			890 ^b
Costa Rica	3.7	2,7	67	"			55 ^{,6}
Côte d'Ivoire	7.4	5.1	136	1.0	. 11		600°
Croatia		1.6					12ª
Cuba	2.0	1.6	68			99 100	36ª
Czech Republic	2,1	1.2		**	. 69		,/*
Denmark	1.5	1.8					1100
			53	"		98 44	150b
Ecuador	. 5.0					27	1706
El Salvador	5.1.	3.5		1.0	53	24 36	3006
Fritrea		50	125	· · · · · · · · · · · ·			1 400%
Estonia	2.0	13	36				52ª
Ethionia		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	164	· · · ··"·· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1 400 5
Finland	1.6		20		7		115
France	1.9	17	17		· · · · · ·		150
Gabon	4.5	5.0	150	"		92	500 ^b
Gambia, The	6.5	5.3	167	" 	· · · · ·	54 65	1.100 ^b
Georgia	2.3	1.5	40		• • •		19 ^a
Germany	1.4	1.3	14	· · · · · · · ·		"	22 ^b
Ghana	6.5	5.0	109	•••	20	73 42	740°
Greece	2.2	1.4	19			·	10 ^b
Guatemala	6.2	4.6	106	1.1		22	190°
Guinea	6.1	5.7	213	•••	2	76	880 °
Guinea-Bissau	6.0	6.0	186	· · · · · · ·	•••	16	910 ^b
Haiti	5.9	4.3	70	1.8	18	20	600°
Honduras	6.5	4.5	112		47	50 63	220 ^b

2.15

- -... t

	Tota	al fertility rate	Adolescent fertility rate	Unwanted fertillty rate	Contraceptive prevalence rate	Births a by tra health	ttended lined staff	Maternai mortality ratio
			births per 1,000	births per 1,000	% of			per
	ре 1980	births r woman 1996	women age 15–19 1995	women age 15–49 1990–97	women age 15–49 1990–96	% of 1985	total 1992	100,000 live births 1990–96
Hungary	19	1.5		···· ····· ···· ··· ··· ··· ·		· ······ ··	99	14 ^a
India	5.0	3.1	81	0.8	43		75	437°
Indonesia	4.3	2.6	57	0.5	55	31	• ••	390°
Iran, Islamic Rep.	6.1	3.8	80		52		70	120 ^b
Iraq	6.4	5.3	61		······		74	310 ^b
Ireland	3.2	1.9	23	**	60			10 ^b
Israel	. 3.2	2.6		···· · ···· ··························	· ··· ······· · ·· /* · ·······		······································	
lamaica	37	<u>1.</u> 2			······			1200
Janan	1.8	1.4		· ·· ··· ·· ···· ··	· ······ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100	100	<u>120</u>
Jordan	6.8	4.4	43	····· ··· · ···· · ···· ····· ···	•••	75	86	150 ^b
Kazakhstan	2.9	2.1	40		••	······································	••	53ª
Kenya	7.8	4.6	95	2.0			::	650 ^b
Korea, Dem. Rep.	3.0	2.1	30			100		
Korea, Rep.	2.6				······	65	95	30ª
Kuwait	5.3	2.9	45	······	······································	99	. 98	18°
A JEST REPUBLIC	+ 1 6 7	: !! 5 7	11					:_ ' 650b
	20	1.2	34	·······		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	158
Lehanon	4.0	2.7	43		······································			300 ^b
Lesotho	5.6	4.6		····· · ·····	23	28		610 ^b
Libya	7.3	4.0	106	••	45	76	68	220 ^b
Lithuania	2.0	1.4	34	••	··	••	••	13ª
Macedonia, FYR	2.5	2.1	38		·····	·····		22ª
Madagascar	6.5	5.7	145	0.9	17	62	71	660°
Malawi	7.6	6.5	151	1.0	22	59	41	620°
Malaysia	4.2		30					43ª
Mali	7.1	6.7	190	0.7			······································	580°
Mauritius	0.3	5.1 2.1	123			23		41.2ª
Mauntius	4.5	2.1		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			45	110 ^b
Moldova	2.4	1.9	46	·				33ª
Mongolia	5.4	3.3	45	••	••	100	100	65 ^b
Morocco	5.4	3.3	38	1.4	50	26		372 ^e
Mozambique	6.5	6.1	122		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	28	29	1,500 ^b
Myanmar	5.1	3.4	30			25	97	580 ^b
Namibia	5.9	4.9	130		29	•••••••	71	220°
Nepal	6.1	5.0			·····	10		1,500°
New Zealand	2.0	1.5		······ ····· ····			100	12° 25 ⁰
Nicaragua	6.2	4.0	136				42	160 ^b
Niger	7.4	7.4	222	0.3	4		21	593°
Nigeria	6.9	5.4	120	1.0	6	·····	45	1,000 ^b
Norway	1.7	1.9	22	**		·····	100	6 ^b
Oman	9.9	7.0	123			60	90	· ····· ···
Pakistan	7.0	5.1	107	1.2			70	340 ^b
Panama	3.7	2.6	61	······ ··· ··· ··· ···	••••••	. 83		55 ^b
Papua New Guinea		4.7				34	20	930°
Faidgudy Peni	4.8	3.9 3.1			51			790p
Philippines	4.8		47	1.0		4 4		200- 208¢
Poland	2.3	1.6		····				10 ^a
Portugal	2.2	1.4	23	··· ·· ···· ···· ··· ··· ····	······································	···· ···	•••	15 ^b
Puerto Rico	2.6	1.9	48	····· · ······ · ····· ···············	78	••••••	······ ······	••
Romania	2.4	1.3	34	••	57	99		41ª
Russian Federation	1.9	1.3	31	·····	34			53ª



	Total (ra	fertility ate	Adolescent fertility rate	Unwanted fertility rate	Contraceptive prevalence rate	Births at by tra health	tended ined staff	Maternal mortality ratio
	bii per v 1980	rths voman 1996	births per 1,000 women age 15–19 1995	births per 1.000 women age 15–49 1990–97	% of women age 15–49 1990–96	% of t	otal 1992	per 100,000 ve births 1 990-96
Rwanda	8.3	6.1	65	2.0	21	-	28	1.300 ^b
Saudi Arabia	7.3	6.2	61	•••		79		18ª
Senegal	6.7	5.7	118	0.9	. 7	-		510°
Sierra Leone	6.5	6.5	203			25		1,800 ^b
Singapore	1.7	1.7	13	•••		100	100	10 ^b
Slovak Republic	2.3	1.5	35					8ª
Slovenia	2.1	1.3	19				••	5ª
South Africa	4.6	2.9	68		. 69			230 ^b
Spain	2.2	1.2	11	•••		96		75
Sri Lanka	3.5	2.3	33			87	85	30 <i>ª</i>
Sudan	6.5	4.7	84		10	20		370ª
Sweden	1.7	1.7	20		•	100		7 b
Switzerland	1.6	1.5	7	••		• •	100	6 ^b
Syrian Arab Republic	7.4	4.0	89		40	37	80	179ª
Tajikistan	5.6	3.7	48					74ª
Tanzania	6.7	5.6	123	0.7	18	74		530°
Thailand	3.5	1.8	18			59	71	200 ^b
Togo	6.6	6.2	124					640 ^b
Trinidad and Tobago	3.3	2.1	46	•••	••	90		90 ^b
Tunisia	5.2	2.8	32		60	60	50	
Turkey	4.3	2.6	44	0.9		78		180 ^b
Turkmenistan	4.9	3.3	26		-			44 a
Uganda	7.2	6.7	193	1.3	. 15			550°
Ukraine	2.0	1.3	48		••	100		30ª
United Arab Emirates	5.4	3.5	58	•••	.,	96	94	
United Kingdom	1.9	1.7	30		•	98		9°
United States	1.8	2.1	46	••••		99	100	12 ^b
Uruguay	2.7	2.2	47	•••	-		100	85 ^b
Uzbekistan	4.8	3.4	43					24 ^a
Venezuela	4.1	3.0	60				82	200 ^a
Vietnam	5.0	3.0	42	•••••				105ª
West Bank and Gaza					•			
Yemen, Rep.	7.9	7.2	141	1.7				1,400 ^b
Yugoslavia, FR (Serb./Mont.)	2.3	1.9	41					12ª
Zambia	7.0	5.8	122		. 26		43	230°
Zimbabwe	6.8	3.9	68	0.8	58	67	49	280°
World	3.7 w	2.8 w	67 w				W	
Low income	4.3	3.2	81					
Excl. China & India	6.3	4.9	115				••	
Middle income	3.8	2.6	54		· ·			-
l ower middle income	3.8	2.6	51					
Upper middle income	37	2.6	63					-
Low & middle income	4.1	3.0						
Fast Asia & Pacific	3.1	2.2	25			•	••	
Furope & Central Asia	25	1.8	40					
Latin America & Carib	4 1	28	72			••		
Middle Fast & N Africa	61	40					••	
South Asia	53	34	106					
Sub-Saharan Africa	6.6		136					
High income	1.9	17	27					
			<u> </u>		• • •	••	••	

a. Official estimate. b, UNICEF WHO estimate based on statistical modeling, c. Indirect estimate based on sample survey d. Based on a survey covering 30 provinces le. Based on sample survey.

The number of women and men in need of reproductive health services is expected to nearly double over the next two decades (Conly and Epp 1997). Thus any action taken now to expand reproductive choices including improved access to safe and reliable contraception—is likely to have a significant effect on the health, well-being, eventual size, and quality of life of a country's population.

Reproductive health behavior is complex and is influenced by a broad range of relevant interventions. Fertility outcomes, maternal mortality, births attended by skilled providers, and contraceptive prevalence are complex measures and indicate the demand for, access to, and use of reproductive health services.

Total and adolescent fertility rates are based on data from vital registration systems or, in their absence, from censuses or sample surveys. Provided that the surveys are fairly recent, the estimated rates are generally considered reliable. In cases where no empirical information on age-specific fertility rates is available, a model is used to estimate the proportion of all births that are teenage births. As with other basic demographic data (see *About the data* in table 2.1), international comparisons of fertility rates are limited by differences in data definitions and collection and estimation methods. Fertility rates for 1996 are generally based on projections from censuses or surveys from earlier years.

The unwanted fertility rate is based on survey responses by women of reproductive age and so is affected by response bias. In many developing countries fertility is not seen as within the control of an individual; women do not report a numerical ideal family size, and hence no birth is reported as unwanted. In such cases women are assumed to want all their births.

Contraceptive prevalence reflects all methods ineffective traditional methods as well as highly effective modern methods. Unmarried women are often excluded from surveys, which may bias the estimate. Contraceptive prevalence rates are obtained mainly from demographic and health surveys and contraceptive prevalence surveys (see *Primary data documentation* for the most recent survey year).

Births attended by health staff is an indicator of a health system's ability to provide adequate care for pregnant women. Good health care improves maternal health and reduces mortality. However, data may not reflect this because health information systems are often weak, maternal deaths are underreported, and rates of maternal mortality are difficult to measure. The data in the table are from the World Health Organization (WHO), supplemented by data from the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). They are based on national sources, derived from official community and hospital records; some reflect only births in hospitals and other medical institutions. In some cases smaller private and rural hospitals are excluded, and sometimes even primitive local facilities are included. Thus the coverage is not always comprehensive, and cross-country comparisons should be made with extreme caution.

Civil registers in many developing countries provide extremely unreliable mortality statistics, especially for maternal mortality. Classifying a death as maternal requires a cause of death attribution, which depends on the information available at the time of death. In many developing countries causes of death are assigned by nonphysicians and often attributed to "illdefined causes." Even when causes are assigned by medically qualified staff with the aid of diagnostic information, some doubts remain about the diagnosis in the absence of autopsies and the assignment of appropriate International Classification of Diseases (ICD) codes. Maternal deaths are also likely to go unrecorded if they occur in remote and rural areas. Differences in definitions also may affect the comparability of estimates over time and across countries. The maternal mortality ratios shown here are official estimates from administrative records, survey-based indirect estimates, or derived from a demographic model developed by UNICEF and the WHO. Official or survey-based estimates are shown wherever they are available. In all cases the standard errors of maternal mortality ratios are large, which makes the ratio particularly unsuitable for monitoring changes over a short period.

Definitions

 Total fertility rate is the number of children that would be born to a woman if she were to live to the end of her childbearing years and bear children in accordance with current age-specific fertility rates. • Adolescent fertility rate is the number of births to women age 15-19 per 1,000 women in the same age group. . Unwanted fertility rate is the difference between the total fertility rate and the wanted fertility rate. Unwanted births are defined as those that exceed the number considered ideal or wanted by women of reproductive age. · Contraceptive prevalence rate is the percentage of women who are practicing, or whose sexual partners are practicing, any form of contraception. It is usually measured for married women age 15-49 only. . Births attended by trained health staff is the percentage of deliveries attended by personnel trained to give the necessary supervision, care, and advice to women during pregnancy, labor, and the postpartum period, to conduct deliveries on their own, and to care for newborns. Maternal mortality ratio is the number of women who die during pregnancy and childbirth, per 100,000 live births.

2.15

z.

Data sources

Data on reproductive health come from demographic and health surveys and from WHO and UNICEF, *Revised 1990 Estimates of Maternal Mortality: A New Approach.*



2.16 Health: risk factors and future challenges

	Prevalence	[Low-	Prevalence	Sm	oking	Incidence	j A	Adult HIV-1 s	eroprevaler	ice
	of anemia	birt	hweight	of child	prev	alence	of				
			lables	manutruon			tuberculosis		% in	fected	
							l		70.111	100100	Women
	% of	ſ		% of	}		ļ				attending
	pregnant		<i>.</i>	children	%0	fadult	per 100,000				urban
	women 1985_95	1980	1989_95	1990-96	male 1985_95	temale 1985_95	population	Survey	Urban high-	Survey	antenatai
			1000-00		1 100-20	1000-00		· ycar		year	
Albania		. 😳 .			50	8	40				
Algeria		:•		. 10	53	10	53	1981-89	. 0.0 ª		··
Angola		🙁 .	19				225	1988	24.7 8.0	1995	1.00
Argentina					40		. 50	1996	. 41.4	1995	. 2.8 ^u
Armenia	"	::	· · · · · · · ·	:	''		. 40	. "	".		
Australia		**	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · ·	29	21	6	· · · ·	· ·'·		. "
Austria		::		10	. 42	21	20	1005			
Rendedeeb	::	:•	· ••	<u>10</u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. 4(, ,	1006	0.04		" .
Palaguesn		**					. 220	. 1990	0.0		
Beldium	· ··· ·* · · ·	:•		· · · · · · ·	": 21	10	16		. ••	··.	. : .
Benin			10	24			135	1993-94	53 3 b.e	1993	0.4 B
Bolivia		10	10		50		335	1988	5 1 f.g.h	. 1000	0.4-
Bosnia and Herzegovina							80	. 1900			
Botswana		······			21		400	1995	42.8 ^{a,g}	1995	34.2 ^g
Brazil			<u>-</u> 11	7	40	25	80	1994-95	40.4 ^{c,g}	1995	1.7 ^{g,}
Bulgaria		•••		••• ••	49	17	40	1993	0.0ª	1993	0.0
Burkina Faso	24	21	21	33	· ·· · ·		289	 1994	60.4 ^{b,e}	1995	12.0
Burundi	68	•• •• ••	••	38	•••	· · · ·	367	1986	18.5 ^{a,f}	1993	17.2
Cambodia	··· ·· ·· ··· ··	••	••	38	••	••	235	1996	43.0 ^{e,g}	1996	3.2
Cameroon	44		13	15	•••		194	1994	21.2 ^{b,e}	1996	1.9
Canada		6	6		31	29	8				
Central African Republic	67	23	15	23	••		139	1994-95	34.0 ^a	1993	10.0 ⁸
Chad	37	11					167			1992	4.5 ^g
Chile	13		7	1	38	25	67	1994	0.7 ^{a.g}	1994	0.1
China			6	. 16	61	7	. 85	1994	66.5 °.,J	1993	0.01
Hong Kong, China		:•	"				140		. •.		• .
Colombia	24	3		8	35	19	67	1994	26.2 ^{g,h}	1994	0.5 ^g
Congo, Dem. Rep.	76	13		. 34		*	333	1995	30.3 ^{,e}	1993	4.6
Congo, Rep.		.15					250	1987	49.2 ^{e,t,g}	1994	7.1
Costa Rica			,7		35	. 20	15	1994	4.9 ⁿ	1992	0.0
Côte d'Ivoire	••	.14	14				. 196	1994–95	67.6 ^{°,e}	1995-96	11.6°,g
Croatia					37	. 38	65				
Cuba		··					. 20	1993	. 0.0°	1996	0.01
			·	·····				. Taap	10.3	1992	.0.0
Deminian Banublia		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		· · · · · · · · ·			110	1004	:. 		2 Q E
Foundar		. :•	<u>+</u> 0				166	1088	og g figh	1995	2.0,0
Edvot Arah Ren		7	<u>13</u> 12	· 9	40	 1	78	1994	7.6°	1992	0.0
El Salvador	 14		, 11	···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			110	1995-96	6.0ª	1994-95	0.01
Fritrea		· · · · · · · · ·					155	1989	5.8 °	÷••••	
Estonia			,, ¹⁰ 		52		60	1996	0.0ª		
Ethiopia	42		16	48			155	1991	67.5 ^{e,g}	1991	4.9 ^g
Finland		4		••	27	19	15	• •	· · ·	· · ·	
France	•• •• •• •• •• •	5	5	•••	40	27	20	· · ·		•	
Gabon		•••	10	15		••	100	1988	4.2 ^{a.b}	1994	1.7
Gambia, The	80	35	10	17	••	······	166	1993	34.7 ^{b.e}	1993-95	1.75
Georgia							70	•••			
Germany	·····				37	22	18				••••.
Ghana	·····		17	27			222	1986-87	30.8 ^{e.g}	1995	2.2 ^{b.g}
Greece		6	9		46	28	12		, ••		••
Guatemala	39	10	14	33	38	25	110	1990-93	5.3 ^{a,g}	1990-91	0.0
Guinea		18	21		40	2	166	1994	36.6 °	1990-91	0.7 ^b
Guinea-Bissau	74	13	20		:		220	1987	36.7 ^{b,e,f}	1995	6.9 ^b
Haiti			15	28			333	1989	41.9 ^e	1993	8.4
Honduras	14	. 9	9	18	36		133	1992	30.0 ^{a.n}	. 1996	1.0°

	Prevalence of anemia	birt	Low- hweight	Prevalence of child mainutrition	Smo preva	king lence	Incidence of		\duit HIV-1 s	eroprevalen	ice
			100105	manutition	}		tuberculosis		% in	fected	
	% of pregnant women 1985–95	% (1980	of births 198995	% of children under 5 1990–96	% of male 1985-95	adult female 1985-95	per 100,000 population 1995	Survey year	Urban high- risk group	Survey year	Women attending urban antenatai clinic
Hungary	**	••	9	··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··	40	27	50	••	••		
India	88	••	33	66	40	3	220	1994	51.0 ^{d,e}	1995	0.3 ^k
Indonesia	64	••	14	40	53	4	220	1994	0.3 e	1986-87	0.0
Iran, Islamic Rep.	••	4	12	16			50	••	••	••	••
Iraq	18	6	15	12	40	5	150	••	•••	•••	••
Ireland	••		4		29	28	18	•••	•••	••••	
Israel	••	•••		··· ·····	45	30	12	•••		••••	•••
Italv	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	7	7		38	26	25	•••	•••	••••	
Jamaica	40	10	11	10	43	13	10	1994–95	24.6 ^e	1996	0.7
Japan		••	6	3	59	15	42	•••	•••	••••	••
Jordan	•••	••	7	10	43	5	14	·····	•••	••••	•••
Kazakhstan	11		•••	1		••••	77	••••••••		· ····· ·····	•••••
Kenva	35	18	16	23	52	7	140	1992	85.5 ^e	1995	13.7 ^g
Korea, Dem, Reo.	· ··· ··· · · ··· ·						162				
Korea. Rep.	•••	9	4		68	7	162	1988	0.1 ^e	•••	•••
Kuwait	40	7	7	6	52	12	40				
Kyrgyz Republic	•••	••	••	•••	•••	•••	68	•••	•••	••••	•••
Lao PDR			18	40			235	1990-93	1.2 ^e		
Latvia	•••	••		•••	67	12	70		•••		•••
Lebanon	·······			9		•••	35		••••	······	•••
Lesotho	7	8	11	21	38	1	250	1993	15.2 ^{a,g}	1993	6.1
Libva	•••	5	5	5		•• ••••••	12		······		······
Lithuania	······				52	10		1995	0.0 ^a	1995	0.0
Macedonia, FYR	···· ········ ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ···			·····		····· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	60		• •••••		······
Madagascar			10	32	29	28	310	1995	0.3ª	1995	0.1

Kuwait	40	7	7	6	52	12	40	·····			
Kyrgyz Republic	· · · ·			••••••	••	••	68		•		••
Lao PDR			18	40		••	235	1990-93	1.2 ^e	••	
Latvia		••			67	12	70	•	••	••	••
Lebanon				9	••	••	35				
Lesotho	7	8	11	21	38	1	250	1993	15.2 ^{a,g}	1993	6.1
Libya		5	5	5	••	••	12		••	••	••
Lithuania				••	52	10	82	1995	0.0 ^a	1995	0.0
Macedonia, FYR							60				
Madagascar	••	••	10	32	29	28	310	1995	0.3ª	1995	0.1
Malawi	55	22	20	28			173	1994	78.0 ^{e,g}	1996	32.8
Malaysia	56	10	8	23	41	4	67	1992	29.5 °		••
Mali	58	13	17	31	••	••	289	1995	55.5 ^{b,e}	1994	3.5 ^g
Mauritania		••	11	48	••	••	220	1993–94	0.9 ^a	1993-94	0.5 ^b
Mauritius	29	••	8	15	47	4	50	1988-91	0.8ª	1986	0.0
Mexico	14	••	12	14	38	14	60	1994	32.7 h	1996	0.0
Moldova	50	••	••	•••		•••	70	•••		1995	0,0
Mongolia	45	••	10	12	40	7	100	1987-93	0.0 ^{a,e}	1987–93	0.0
Morocco	45	9	9	10	40	9	125	1990	7.1 ^{e,f}	1993	0.2
Mozambique	58	16	20	47	•••	••	189	1994	24.0 ^{a,g}	1994	10.5 ^g
Myanmar	58	••	16	31		•••	189	1995	56.5 °	1995	1.3
Namibia	16	••	12	26	•••	•••	400	1992	7.2 ^{a,d}	1996	17.6
Nepal	65	••	26	49	•••	••	167	1993	0.9 ^e	1992	0.0 ^{d,i}
Netherlands	•••	4	4	••	36	29	13	•••	••	••	••
New Zealand	•••	5	6	•••	24	22	10	•••	••••	•••	
Nicaragua	36	••	15	24	•••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	110	1990-91	1.6 ^{e,f}		····· ········
Niger	41	••	15	43		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	144	1993	15.4 ^{b,e}	1993	1.3 ^b
Nigeria	55	18	16	35	24	7	222	1993-94	22.5 ^{e,g}	1993-94	3.8 ^g
Norway	•••	4	5	••	36	36	8			•••	
Oman	54		10	14		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	20	•••	•••		
Pakistan	37		25	40	27	4	150	1995	11.5°	1995	0.2 ^{d,g}
Panama	•••	8	10	7	56	20	90	1984-86	3.1 ^h	1994	0.3'
Papua New Guinea	13		23	30	46	28	275	1992	0.1 ^{a,g}	1992	0.0
Paraguay	29	7	8	4	24	6	166	1987-90	8.8 ^h	1992	0.0
Peru	53	9	11	11	41	13	250	1989-90	41.0 ^h	••••	••
Philippines	48	••	•••	30	43		400	1993	0.6 ^{e,g}	•• •••	
Poland	16			•••	51	29		1995	4.7 ^{c,l}		·····
Portugal	• ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		5		38	15	60				···· ······
Puerto Rico	•••	• •••	· ·······	•••		······	<u>.</u>	•••	•••	•••	•••
Romania	31	••	•• •••	6		· · · · · · · · · · · ·	120				· ······
Russian Federation	30	••	••••	3	67	30		1995	0.5 h	1995	0.0
		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•• • •••••• •••		·····						

U 2.	16			-		-					
	Prevalence of anemia	birti b	Low- hweight abies	Prevalence of child mainutrition	Smc preva	oking alence	Incidence of tuberculosis		Adult HIV-1 so	∍roprevaler	nce
	% of pregnant women 1985–95	% c 1980	if births 1989-95	% of children under 5 1990–96	% of male 1985–95	adult female 1985–95	per 100,000 population 1995	Survey year	% inf Urban high- risk group	ected Survey year	i
Rwanda	•••	••	17	29		••••	260	1984	87.9 ^{e,f}	1995	
Saudi Arabia			••	•••	53		22	•		••	
Senegal	26		11	22	48	35	166	1994	22.1 ^{e.g}	1994	
Sierra Leone			17	29			167	1995	26.7 °	1990	
Singapore		8	7	. 14	32	3	82	•.	••		
Slovak Republic	. .		6		43	26	40	1995	0.0 a,c	1992	
Slovenia			6		35	23	35	1995	0.0 ^c	1995	
South Africa	37		.		52	. 17	222	1994	20.1 ^a	1995	
Spain	:	1	. 1		. 48	. 25	250				
Sri Lanka	39	25	17	38	55	. 1	49	1993	0.5 ^{d,e}		
Sudan	36	17	15	34			211	1080	10 1 ag	1995	

Saudi Arabia	,				53		, 22		, 		••
Senegal	26	:		. 22	48	. 35	166	1994	22.1 ^{e,g}	1994	1.1 ^{b,g}
Sierra Leone			17	29	•• .		167	1995	26.7 ^e	1990	0.8 ^{b,d}
Singapore		8	7	14	32	3	82		••		••
Slovak Republic			6		43	26	40	1995	0.0 a,c	1992	0.0
Slovenia			6		35	23	35	1995	0.0 °	1995	0.0
South Africa	37			9	52	17	222	1994	20.1 ^a	1995	10.4 ^m
Spain		1	1		48	25	250				
Sri Lanka	39	25	17	38	55	1	49	1993	0.5 ^{d,e}		
Sudan	36	17	15	34			211	1989	19.1 ^{a g}	1995	3.0 ^d
Sweden	••		5		22	24	7				
Switzerland			5		36	26	18				
Syrian Arab Republic		10	8	· · ·			58				
Tajikistan	50						133				·• .
Tanzania			14	29			187	1993	49.5 ^e	1995-96	13.9 ^g
Thailand	57	12	13	13	49	4	173	1995	34.4 ^{c,g}	1995	2.4 ^{g,i}
Togo	48		20	25			244	1993	7.3 ^{a,b,}	d	
Trinidad and Tobago	53		10		. 42	. 8	20	1983-84	40.0 ⁿ	1990	0.3
Tunisia		7	10	. 9	58	6	55	1987	0.0 ^e	1991	0.0
Turkey		8	8	10	63	24	57	1992	0.1ª	1987-88	0.0
Turkmenistan					27	1	72				
Uganda	30			26	10	0	300	1987	86.0 ^e	1994–95	21.2
Ukraine		6	5			•	50	1995	13.0 ^{cn}	1995	0.0
United Arab Emirates	46	8	8				30				÷.
United Kingdom					28	. 26	. 12				
United States		7	7		28	23	10				
Uruguay	20		8	.4	41	27	20	1996	13.0 ^{b,c}	1991	0.0
Uzbekistan				. 4	40	, 1	55				
Venezuela	29		. 9	5	••	. •.	44	1994	25,0 ^{d,h}		 .
Vietnam	52		17	45	73	. 4	166	1995	7.5 ^{c,f}	1995	0.0 ^g
West Bank and Gaza				·. ,							
Yemen, Rep.			19	30			96	1992	0.0 ^{a,f}		. •.
Yugoslavia, FR (Serb./Mont.)					52	31	50				
Zambia	34		13	29	39	7	345	1992-93	58.0 ^a	1994	27.9
Zimbabwe		15	14	16	36	15	207	1994-95	86.0 ^{b.e}	1995	35.2 ^g

Women attending urban

antenatal

clinic

25.3^g

World	48 w	12 w	129 w
Low income	51	6	160
Excl. China & India			195
Middle income	48	16	118
Lower middle income	52	13	136
Upper middle income	42	22	74
Low & middle income	50	9	146
East Asia & Pacific	59	6	125
Europe & Central Asia	58	26	72
Latin America & Carib.	40	20	89
Middle East & N. Africa			71
South Asia	41	4	209
Sub-Saharan Africa			220
High income	39	22	37

a. Patients with sexually transmitted diseases. b. HIV-1 and/or HIV-2. c. injecting drug users. d. Sample size unknown. e. Sex workers f. Data are best available but not reliable because of small sample size, g. Data averaged. h. Homosexual or bisexual men. i. Not specifically urban. j. For Yunnan Province. k. For Tamil Nadu State. I. UNAIDS data. m. National data. n. Data are from UNAIDS 1996.

The limited availability of data on health status is a major constraint to assessing the health situation in developing countries. Surveillance data are lacking for a number of major public health concerns. Estimates of prevalence and incidence are available for some diseases but are often unreliable and variable. National health authorities differ widely in their capability and willingness to collect or report information. Even when intentions are good, reporting is based on definitions that may vary widely across countries or over time. To compensate for the paucity of data and ensure a reasonable degree of reliability and international comparability, the World Health Organization (WHO) prepares estimates in accordance with epidemiological and statistical procedures.

Adequate quantities of micronutrients (vitamins and minerals) are essential for healthy growth and development. Studies indicate that more people are deficient in iron (anemic) than any other micronutrient, and most of those suffering are women of reproductive age. Anemia during pregnancy can harm both the mother and the fetus, causing loss of the baby, premature birth, or low birthweight. Estimates of the prevalence of anemia among pregnant women are generally drawn from clinical data, which suffer from two weaknesses: one, the sample is not random, but based on those who seek care; and two, private clinics or hospitals may not be part of the reporting network.

Low birthweight, which is associated with maternal malnutrition, raises the risk of infant mortality and stunts growth in infancy and childhood, increasing the incidence of other forms of retarded development. Estimates of low-birthweight infants are drawn mostly from hospital records. But many births in developing countries take place at home without assistance from formal medical practitioners and are seldom recorded. How this factor skews the data is uncertain. A hospital birth may indicate higher income and therefore better nutrition, or it could indicate a higher-risk birth, possibly skewing the data toward lower birthweight. Changes in this indicator are more likely to reflect changes in reporting practices than improvements or deterioration. The data should be treated with caution and no comparisons within or across countries should be attempted.

Estimates of child malnutrition are from national survey data on weight for age. Weight for age is a composite indicator of both weight for height (wasting) and height for age (stunting). The disadvantage

of this indicator is that it cannot indicate whether malnutrition is due to wasting or stunting. Still. weight for age is useful for comparisons with earlier surveys because it was the first anthropometric measure in general use. Assessment methods vary, but the indicator used here reflects weight less than minus two standard deviations from the median weight for age of the U.S. National Center of Health Statistics reference population age 0-59 months. This reference population, adopted by the WHO in 1983, is based on children from the United States. who are assumed to be well nourished.

Data on smoking are obtained through surveys and should be interpreted with caution because a one-time estimate of the prevalence of smoking does not give any information on its duration (usually longer for males).

Tuberculosis (TB) has reemerged as a global health problem. From an economic point of view this epidemic is about wasted lives and lost productivity. From a health perspective it is about the need to efficiently organize and finance the health sector to serve the needs of the population. And from a social perspective it is about the need to provide equitable access to appropriate health services because TB is most likely to be contracted by the poor. Data on case notifications and treatment outcomes are reported to the WHO by national TB control offices. WHO checks these data for inconsistencies and adjusts them where necessary. The data in the table show the overall incidence of TB rather than just smear-positive incidence,

Adult HIV-1 seroprevalence rates reflect the rate of HIV-1 infection for each country's adult population. The global HIV pandemic currently involves two HIV viruses: HIV-1 and HIV-2, HIV-1 is the dominant type worldwide. HIV-2 appears to be less easily transmitted than HIV-1, and the progression from HIV-2 infection to AIDS appears to be slower than that for HIV-1. AIDS is late-stage infection characterized by a severely weakened immune system that can no longer ward off life-threatening opportunistic infections and cancers. This table uses only seroprevalence surveys measuring HIV-1, except where otherwise noted. Estimates of HIV seroprevalence are not based on national samples. Most HIV data originate from diagnostic centers or screening programs and so are subject to selection (usually high-risk groups) and participation bias. The results from high-risk groups should not be considered indicative of prevalence in the general, lowrisk population (World Bank 1997a).

Definitions

· Prevalence of anemia, or iron deficiency, is defined as hemoglobin levels less than 11 grams per deciliter among pregnant women. . Low-birthweight babies are newborns weighing less than 2,500 grams, with the measurement taken within the first hours of life, before significant postnatal weight loss has occurred. · Prevalence of child malnutrition is the percentage of children under 5 whose weight for age is less than minus two standard deviations from the median of the reference population (see About the data). • Smoking prevalence is the percentage of men and women over 15 who smoke tobacco products. • Incidence of tuberculosis is the estimated number of new tuberculosis cases (all forms). • Adult HIV-1 seroprevalence is the estimated percentage of people over 15 who are HIV-1 positive.

2.16

Data sources



drawn from a variety of sources, including the United Nations Update on the Nutrition Situation; the WHO's World Health Statistics Annual, Global Tuberculosis Control Report 1997, and

Tobacco or Health: A Global Status Report 1997; the World Bank's Confronting AIDS: Public Priorities in a Global Epidemic; the WHO-EC Collaborating Centre on AIDS' European HIV Prevalence Database; and the U.S. Bureau of Census' HIV/AIDS Surveillance Database.



	Life expectancy at birth		per 1,000 live births		Una mo	Under-five mortality rate per 1,000		Child mortality rate			Adult mortality rate			
					per			Female per 1,000	M per :	Male per 1.000		nale 1,000		
		1990		1990		1990	1388-37	T200-21	1 1960	1995	1980	1992		
Albania	.69		4/	37		40	. 15	15	140	122	82	65		
Angela	. 59		153	124	139	209	· · · ·	. ** .	220	102	197	133		
Argentina		73		124.		205	".		205	176	102	40 <u>0</u> 84		
Armenia	73		26	16		20	".	- "	158	209	85	108		
Australia	74	78	11	6		 7		· · · ·	167	110	85	60		
Austria	73	77	14	5		6	2	1	197	148	92	64		
Azerbaijan	68	69	30	20		23			262	231	127	91		
Bangladesh	48	58	132	77	207	112	47	62	383	314	388	292		
Belarus	71	69	16	13		17			255	301	95	100		
Belgium	73		12	. ? .			2	1	173	135	90	68		
Benin	49		120	. 87	205	140	89	90	486	472	397	399		
Bolivia	. 52	61	. 118	. 67	. 171	. 102	53	. 47 .	357	292	273	237		
Bosnia and Herzegovina	. 70		31	 	:"				181		108			
Brozil			67			00	. To	. 10	. 34⊥. 	∠⊥2 191	161	103		
Bulgaria	71		20	16		20			190	213	101	106		
Burkina Faso	44	46	121		241	158	107	110	467	426	362	340		
Burundi	47	47	121		195	176	101	114	489	481	400	403		
Cambodia	40	53	201	105		170			473	370	355	298		
Cameroon	50	56	94	54	172	102	64	75	489	413	415	341		
Canada	75		10	6		7	2	1	161	125	85	65		
Central African Republic	46	49	. 117	. 96	193	164	63	. 64	. 540	505	424	406		
Chad	. 42 .	48	147	115	206	. 189		. ••	556	470	449	385		
Chile	69			. 12		. 13		. 2	218	155	120	82		
China	. 67		. 42	. 33		. 39	. 10	. 11	185	155	148	130		
Hong Kong, Unina	. (4		. 11 .	4 .		 21			150	214	160	57 110		
Congo Dem Ren	49		40 .			51 .	1	r	251	214	102	110		
Congo, Rep.	50		++	90	" .				408	 405	 298	 313		
Costa Rica	73	77	20	12	29	15			159	115	100	68		
Côte d'Ivoire	51	54	108	84	157	150	•••		421	392	346	333		
Croatia	70	72	21	9		10			233	176	106	78		
Cuba	. 74	76	. 20	8	. 22	. 10		·· .	135	122	94	78		
Czech Republic	70	. 74	16	. 6		. 10	2	2	225	195	102	83		
Denmark	.74	. 75	8	. 6		. 6	1 .	. 1 .	163	145	102	92		
Dominican Republic	.64		74 .	. 40		. 47	18	. 20 .	183	155	138	100		
Ecuador	53	70	100		175	. 40.	. 12		229	179 078	204	110		
El Salvador	. 50	69	120 		125	40	.22 .	20	410	229	178	154		
Eritrea	46	55		64		120		69		429		342		
Estonia	69	69	17	10	••••	16			291	284	110	95		
Ethiopia	41	49	155	109	213	177			491	442	401	352		
Finland	73	77	8	. 4		5	. 1	1	206	150	74	64		
France	. 74	. 78		5		, , 6	2	. 2	190	155	85	58		
Gabon	48	. 55	116	. 87	*.	145			474	386	387	322		
Gambia, The	40		159	. 79		107	. 83	79	584	511	466	419		
Georgia	.71		. 25	. 17	. .	. 19		 л	210	145	94	70		
Germany	(3) 50		12 .	5.	157		2	1 62	100	140 320	334	753 253		
Greece	. 53 7/	78	19	/⊥ Ջ	TO!	. TTO .	. 03	.02	400 134	113	204 86	200 61		
Guatemala	58	, o 66	10 81	41	140	56			336	245	266	166		
Guinea	40	46	185	122		210	122	112	589	498	507	497		
Guinea-Bissau	39	44	168	134	· · · · ·	223	· · · ·		535	584	517	572		
Haiti	52	55	123	72	200	130	59	58	348	391	275	329		
Honduras	60	67	70	44	101	50	•••		306	166	237	111		

£

- -

	Life expectancy at birth		Infant mortality rate		Under-five mortality rate		Child m ra	Aduit mortality rate				
	years 1980 1996		per 1,000 live births 1980 1996		per 1,000 1980 1996		Male per 1,000 1988–97	Female per 1,000 198897	Ma per 1 1980	Male per 1,000 1980 1995		ale .000 1995
Hungary	70	70	23	11	••	13	2	2	270	330	130	138
India	54	63	116	65	173	85	29	42	261	229	279	219
Indonesia	55	65	90	49	124	60	30	27	368	262	308	205
Iran, Islamic Rep.	60			36	130	37		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	221	158	190	149
Iraq	62	62	80	101	93	136		#	207	182	191	143
Ireland				5				1	175	125	103	
Israel	/3		15		19				138	105	85	. 65
Italy						(<u>1</u>	1	103	125		
Jamaica		(4 80		<u>+</u> ∠		¹⁴	·····		120	101	121	
Jordan		71		30		35	······		123	171		120
Kazakhstan	67	65		25	···· ·································	30		····· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	312	296		120
Kenya	55	58	72	57	115	90	33	33	417	362	339	295
Korea, Dem. Rep.	67	63	32	56		••	••	••	270	215	156	102
Korea, Rep.	67	72	26	9	18	11	••	••	270	230	156	96
Kuwait	71	77	27	11	33	14	6	5	172	126	116	68
Hargat Republic	60	ē7	13	26		36				176	131	120
Lao PDR	45	53	127	101		140			531	444	439	375
Latvia	69			16	::	18			281	328	106	102
Lebanon	65		48	31		36		····· ·· ·· ···	241	191	181	135
Libro	53		108		······	20	···· ··· ··· ··· ··	······ ···	3/1	347	2/9	258
Libya		70		10		13			2/0	210	218 02	100
Macedonia, FYR			54	16		<u>+3</u> 18			275	144		92
Madagascar			138	88	175	135			353	445	278	384
Malawi	44	43	169	133	271	217	126	114	429	553	349	487
Malaysia	67	72	30	11		14	4	4	230	182	149	110
Mali	42	50	184	120	291	220	136	138	454	412	362	326
Mauritania	47	53	120	94		155			505	467	416	396
Mauritius	66		32		38	20			277	222	181	116
Mexico	67	72	51	32	76	36	15		205	162	121	89
Moldova	66		35	20				:	. 289	275	173	128
Mongolia									320	221	2/3	182
Mozembique		45	155	103		214		19	204	3 3	207	702
Myanmar		60	109	80	134	109			384	308	313	252
Namibia	53	56	90	61	108		30		427	356	366	304
Nepal	48	57	132	85	179	116	•••		376	327	395	354
Netherlands	76	77	9	5	 	6	2	1	133	110	74	65
New Zealand	73	76	13	6	······	7	·····		177	137	91	.70
Nicaragua	59	68	90	44	120	57			277	177	189	130
Niger			150	118			212	232	562	510	453	401
Nigeria	46	53	99	78	196	130	118	102	535	450	453	377
Norway		78		4	**	6			144	118		60
Pakistan	55				161	102			389	201	326	134
Panama	70	74	32	99	<u>101</u>				172	130	291 117	88
Papua New Guinea		58	67	25 62					<u>+ / -</u> 514	371	478	339
Paraguay	67					45			198	158	144	108
Peru	60	<u>-</u> 68	81	42	126	58		31	287	211	229	157
Philippines	61	66	52	37	69	44	28	25	323	254	259	189
Poland	71	72	21	12		15	2	2	253	179	105	92
Portugal		75	24						199	163	95	76
Puerto Rico		75	19	12		. 14			159	147	78	61
Romania	69		29			28			216	270	116	119
Russian Federation	67	66				25			341	472	120	172



	Life expectancy at birth		Infant mortality rate		Und moi r	er-five rtality ate	Child m ra	Child mortality rate			Adult mortality rate				
			per :	1.000			Male	Female	Ma	ile	Ferm	ale			
	ye 1980	ars 1996	live 1980	births 1996	per : 1980	1,000 1996	per 1,000 1988-97	per 1,000 1988-97	per 1 1980	.000 1995	per 1 1980	,000 1995			
Dwanda			128	120	218	205		73	502	540	400	161			
Saudi Arabia		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. 120.	22	210	205	. 07		283	181	241	1/19			
Senegal	45	50	91	. 60	218	. 20 .	96	80	586	561	516	496			
Sierra Leone	35		190	174	335	284		00	540	589	527	470			
Singanore		76	12	4	1.3	. 201		1	199	130	115	75			
Slovak Republic	70	'.° 73	21			13	· · · ·	 	226	221	105	93			
Slovenia		74	 15		".				250	188	105	81			
South Africa	57	65		49	".	66									
Spain	76	77	12		· · ·	6	2	2	144	140	69	57			
Sri Lanka	68	73		15	48	19	10	9	210	172	152	108			
Sudan	48		94	74	132	116	62	63	537	445	462	378			
Sweden	76	79	7	4	••••	5	1	1	142	102	76	60			
Switzerland	76		9	5	· · · · ·	6	1	1	145	115	70	58			
Syrian Arab Republic	62	69	56	31	74	36				217		154			
Tajikistan	66	69	58	32		38			190	200	129	197			
Tanzania	50	50	108	86	176	144	59	. 52	451	485	370	417			
Thailand	63	69	49	34	58	38	11	11	280	199	210	119			
Тодо	49	50	110	87	175	138	75	90	457	377	375	311			
Trinidad and Tobago	68	73	35	13	39	15	4	3	234	170	166	130			
Tunisia	62	70	69	30	100	35	19	19	227	171	224	148			
Turkey	61	69	109	42	133	47	. 12	14	153	158	98	111			
Turkmenistan	64	66	54	41		50			263	250	154	122			
Uganda	48	43	116	99	180	.141	82	72	463	622	395	558			
Ukraine	69	67		. 14	· · ·	. 17	· ·· ·		282	294	112	112			
United Arab Emirates	. 68	75	55	. 15	· · · ·	17	6	5	153	122	106	92			
United Kingdom	74	77	. 12	6		.7	1	. 1	160	120	96	69			
United States	. 74	. 77	13	7		. 8	2	. 2	194	160	102	85			
Uruguay	. 70	74		. 18	. 43	. 22			176	174	91	83			
Uzbekistan	<u>6</u> 7	. 69		. 24		. 35			219	209	116	101			
Venezuela	. 68	73	36	. 22	. 42 .	28	· ···	۰۰ .	219	173	123	. 94			
Vietnam	63	68	. 57	40	. 60	. 48		••	262	206	204	136			
West Bank and Gaza	 .	. 68	" .	. 38	· · · · ·		. 10	7			·				
Yemen, Rep.	49		141	98	198	130		47	382	384	304	331			
Yugoslavia, FR (Serb./Mont.)	. 70	72		14		. 19			164	170	106	99			
Zambia	. 51 .	. 44		112	149	202	. 96	93	482	534	413	494			
Zimbabwe	55	. 56			. 107	86	26	26	389	391	321	393			
World	63 w	67 w	80 w	54 w	132 w	73 w	3 w	3 w	247 v	y 217 y	189	v 164 w			
Low income	58	63	98	68	145	94			263	231	241	206			
Excl. China & India	51	56	116	88	175	131			402	354	346	304			
Middle income	63	68	65	37	· · · · ·	45	6	6	268	238	168	141			
Lower middle income	63	67	69	40	· ·· · ·	49	7	7	285	260	180	155			
Upper middle income	66	70	53	30	•••	36	2	2	226	181	136	107			
Low & middle income	60	65	87	59	133	80	6	6	265	233	215	184			
East Asia & Pacific	65	68	56	39	75	47	•		222	180	180	1.45			
Europe & Central Asia	68	68	41	24		30		••	269	303	114	128			
Latın America & Caribbean	65	70	59	33	82	41	3	ż	225	182	151	114			
Middle East & North Africa	59	67	96	50	141	63			249	211	208	177			
South Asia	54	62	120	73	174	93			278	239	292	230			
Sub-Saharan Africa	48	52	115	91	193	147	••		487	448	404	376			
High income	. 74	77			· · ·	7	2	2	174	142	91	70			

Age-specific mortality data such as infant and child mortality rates, along with life expectancy at birth, are probably the best general indicators of a community's current health status and are often cited as overall measures of a population's welfare or quality of life. They may be used nationally to identify populations in need, or internationally to compare levels of socioeconomic development. Despite variations in the quality of these data, discussed below, there is general agreement that age-specific mortality rates, especially child mortality rates, are a key indicator in any health monitoring system.

The main sources of mortality data are vital registration systems and direct or indirect estimates based on sample surveys or censuses. However, civil registers with relatively complete vital registration systems—that is, systems covering at least 90 percent of the population—are fairly uncommon in developing countries. Thus estimates must be obtained from sample surveys or derived by applying indirect estimation techniques to registration, census, or survey data. Survey data are subject to recall error and require large samples, especially if disaggregation is required. Indirect estimates rely on estimated actuarial ("life") tables that may be inappropriate to the population concerned. The life expectancy at birth that is estimated using this method would be accurate only if current mortality conditions were to remain the same for the entire life of the birth cohort.

Life expectancy at birth and age-specific mortality rates for 1996 are generally estimates based on the most recently available census or survey (see *Primary data documentation*). Extrapolations based on dated surveys may not be reliable for monitoring changes in health status.

Definitions

217

• Life expectancy at birth is the number of years a newborn infant would live if prevailing patterns of mortality at the time of its birth were to stay the same throughout its life. • Infant mortality rate is the number of infants who die before reaching one year of age. per 1,000 live births in a given year. • Underfive mortality rate is the probability that a newborn baby will die before reaching age 5, if subject to current age-specific mortality rates. • Child mortality rate is the probability of dying between the ages of 1 and 5, if subject to current age-specific mortality rate is the probability of dying between the ages of 15 and 60—that is, the population of 15-year olds who will die before their 60th birthday.

Data sources



United Nations Department of Economic and Social Information and Policy Analysis, *Population and Vital Statistics Report;* demographic and health surveys from national sources; and United Nations Children's

Fund (UNICEF), The State of the World's Children 1998.





Source: Osmographic and hearth our elisiand filtro Epoli starrissmolares.

In many countries parents nake 6-ther a preference for sons or a preference for a certain sex distribution of their children. Son preference is most prominent in North Arrical South Asia, and East Asia, and has been documented by demographic and health survays in these regions. No consistent pattern for gender preference has been found in Sub-Sanaran Africa, while in some countries in Latin Americal there is a weak preference for daughters.

Preferences for boys lead to discrimination in how parents treat their sons and daughters. For example, boys receive clear preference with respect to school attendance. Findings are less clear and consistent in other areas, although in some South Asian countries one-year-old boys have higher immunization rates than one-year-old girls. The effect of gender preferences on mortainty is often orficult to ascertain because infamm mortainty is nigher for boys than lor girls in all countries. Child mortainty is between ages 1 and 5) before important to this age group. When remare child mortainty is higher, there is good reason to believe that girls are discriminated against.

The data provide only indirect evidence or discrimination by parents, but an alternative explanation exists. One consequence of son preference is that girls tend to grow up in larger families than boys, as parents attempt to achieve their desired sex distribution of children through continued childbearing. The higher number of sublings reduces the amount of resources per child even it there is no discrimination in allocation of household resources. It has been estimated that, if gender preferences were absent, pregnancy rates would decrease by 9-21 percent in countries that have high son preference.